LORENZ-BASED CHAOTIC SECURE COMMUNICATION SCHEMES

I.A. Kamil and O.A. Fakolujo

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering University of Ibadan, Nigeria ismaila.kamil@ui.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

Secure communication systems employing chaos have recently attracted significant interest. This is partly due to their high unpredictability and simplicity of implementation over conventional secure communications systems. This study presents the implementation of four chaotic modulation techniques employing Lorenz system as chaos generator. The techniques are Chaotic Masking (CM), Chaos Shift Keying (CSK), Chaos On-Off Keying (COOK), and Differential Chaos Shift Keying (DCSK). Simulations were carried out using Simulink in Matlab environment to implement these techniques. A qualitative evaluation of the transmitted signal waveforms in all the cases considered showed that DCSK gives the highest level of security followed by CSK while COOK gives the least level of security. **Keyword:** Secure communication, Chaos, Lorenz system, Modulation

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent years have witnessed appreciable growth in personal communications most especially in the area of mobile communication and the internet [1,2]. Data encryption and security are essential ingredients of personal communication that are recently receiving attention because of the need to ensure that the information being sent is not intercepted by an unwanted listener. Besides, these are very essential for protecting the content integrity of a message as well as its copyright [2].

A secure communication system as it is generally called, transforms the information signal in such a way that only an authorized receiver who has a prior knowledge of the transformation parameters can receive the information. The security of this information is a measure of the difficulty encountered by an unauthorized interceptor who attempts to decode it. There have been a good number of approaches to secure communications reported in the literature, but most of the commonly employed conventional encryption and security schemes are complex in hardware [3,4]. A secure communication is not only a system where privacy is ensured, it must also ensure the integrity of the transmitted message i.e. the exact information meant for the receiver is received.

Chaos based secure communication has been of much interest in the recent time since it offers potential advantage over conventional methods due to its simplicity [3] and high unpredictability which means higher security. Besides, analog implementation is possible [5].

Many chaotic secure communication schemes have been reported in literature but only a few of actually them have witnessed practical implementation. This paper attempts to model and simulate four of these schemes using Simulink in Matlab. The choice of Simulink was to bring the schemes as close to practical implementation as possible since each Simulink block can easily be replaced by a practical unit. The four schemes considered were Chaotic Masking, Chaos On-Off Keying, Chaos Shift Keying and Differential Shift Keying.

2. THEORY

2.1. Background

Chaos communication is rather a new field in the communication research. It evolved from the study of chaotic dynamical systems, not only in mathematics, but also in physics or electrical engineering somewhere at the beginning of 1990 [6]. Prior to this period, the evolution of chaos has caused much euphoria among the mathematicians and physicists, while the engineering community has observed the development with skepticism.

Chaotic signals are irregular, aperiodic, uncorrelated, broadband, and impossible to predict over long times. These properties coincide with the requirements for signals applied in conventional communication systems, in particular spreadspectrum communications, multi-user communications, and secure communication.

2.2. Chaotic System

The chaotic system employed in this work is the Lorenz system One of the earliest indications of chaotic behaviour was developed by Edward N. Lorenz in the 60's [7]. [8] stated that the Lorenz system was published as a model of two-dimensional convection in a horizontal layer of fluid heated from below. The original equations for this 3rd –order non-linear system are [9-12]:

$$\dot{x} = -\sigma x + \sigma y$$

$$\dot{y} = rx - y - xz$$

$$\dot{z} = -bz + xy$$
(1)

where x, y and z are the variables and σ , r and b are dimensionless parameters usually assumed positive. Varying the values of the parameters leads to series of bifurcation and eventually chaos. Typical parameter values are σ =10, b=8/3 and r=20 [9].

2.3. Chaos Modulation Schemes

Four modulation schemes considered in this paper are Chaotic Masking (CM), Chaos On-Off Keying (COOK), Chaos Shift Keying (CSK) and Differential Chaos Shift Keying (DCSK).

2.3.1 Chaotic Masking

In chaotic masking, two identical chaotic are used: one at the transmitter end and the other at the receiver. As shown in Fig. 1, the message signal m(t)is added to the chaotic mask signal c(t) giving the transmitted signal s(t). The chaotic system at the receiver end produces another copy of the chaotic mask signal $\hat{c}(t)$ which is subtracted from the transmitted signal r(t) to obtain the recovered message signal $\hat{m}(t)$.

Assuming a noise free channel and perfect synchronization between the two chaotic systems, $s(t)=r(t), c(t)=\hat{c}(t)$ and $m(t)=\hat{m}(t)$.

For higher security of the message signal, Yang reported that the message signal is typically made about 20dB to 30dB weaker than the chaotic signal [13].

2.3.2 Chaos Shift Keying

In this modulation scheme, the message signal, which is a digital signal, is used to switch the transmitted signal between two statistically similar attractors $c_0(t)$ and $c_1(t)$ which are respectively used to encode bit 0 and bit 1 of the message signal. The two attractors are generated by two chaotic systems with the same structure but different parameters [13, 14].

At the receiver end, the received signal is correlated with a synchronized reproduction of any of the two chaotic signals used in the transmitter. The message signal is recovered by low-pass filtering and thresholding the synchronization error. The block diagram representation of the scheme is shown in Fig. 2.

2.3.3 Chaos On-Off Keying

Chaos On-Off Keying is similar to CSK in all respects except that only one chaotic signal is used in transmission of message signal. When the message signal is bit 1, the chaotic signal is transmitted, but when the message signal is bit 0 no signal is transmitted. The same procedure is used in demodulating the received signal as in CSK as shown in Fig. 3.



Figure 1: Chaotic Masking



Figure 2: Chaos On-Off Keying



2.3.4 Differential Chaos Shift Keying

In Differential Chaos Shift Keying, no synchronization is required as in the other three schemes earlier described. The same chaotic signal used at the transmitter (called reference signal) is transmitted and used to demodulate the message signal at the receiver end. This is illustrated in Fig. 4.

In this scheme, every bit is transmitted two sample functions. The first sample function serves as the reference while the second one carries the information. Thus, bit 1 is sent by transmitting the reference signal twice in succession and bit 0 is sent by transmitting the reference signal followed by an inverted copy of the reference signal. The two sample functions are correlated in the receiver and the decision is made by thresholding [15].

3. SIMULATION

3.1. Lorenz system

Cuomo et al observed that a direct implementation of Eq.(1) with an electronic circuit is difficult because the state variables in Eq.(1) occupy a wide dynamic range with values that exceed reasonable power supply limits [16]. However, this difficulty can be eliminated by a simple transformation of variables; specifically, for the coefficients

 σ , r, and b used, an appropriate transformation is u=x/10, v=y/10, and w=z/10. With this scaling, the Lorenz equations are transformed to:

$$\dot{u} = \sigma(v - u)$$

$$\dot{v} = ru - v - 20uw$$

$$\dot{w} = 5uv - bw$$
(2)

The above equation was implemented using Simulink with the parameter values taken as σ =16, r=45.6, and b=4. The time series for the three state variables is shown in Fig. 5.

3.2. Self Synchronization of Lorenz system

The receiver is made up of two stable subsystems decomposed from the original system using Pecora & Carrol Scheme [16-19]. In the second approach using v as the drive signal, the first subsystem, (u'), is given by:

$$\dot{u}' = \sigma(v - u') \tag{3}$$

The second response subsystem, (v', w'), is given by:

$$\dot{v}' = ru' - v' - 20u'w'$$

 $\dot{w}' = 5u'v' - bw'$ (4)



Figure 4: Differential Chaos Shift Keying

The complete response system is therefore given by:

$$\dot{u}' = \sigma(v - u')$$
$$\dot{v}' = ru - v' - 20u'w'$$
(5)
$$\dot{w}' = 5u'v' - hw$$

Since the two subsystems are stable, $v \approx v'$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus synchronization is achieved.

The transmitter and the receiver systems were modeled with Simulink. For the transmitter, the initial conditions were u(0)=200, v(0)=1 and w(0)=1

and for the receiver, the initial conditions were u'(0) = 250, v'(0) = 1 and w(0) = 1. A parameter variation of 0.1 was also introduced between the transmitter and receiver systems. The time series and orbit difference for the two systems are as shown in Fig. 6.

3.3. Chaos Modulation Schemes

The four schemes earlier described were modeled and simulated with Simulink using self-synchronized Lorenz system. The simulation results are shown in Figs. 7 to 10.



Figure 6: Self synchronization of two Lorenz systems using v as drive signal with different initial conditions and parameter values, (a) Time series of v and v' (b) Synchronization Error.



Figure 7: Chaotic Masking using Lorenz systems (a) chaotic signal (b) transmitted signal (c) recovered message signal with synchronization error (d) transmitted message signal (e) recovered message signal



Figure 8: Chaos Shift Keying using Lorenz systems (a) transmitted signal (b) correlated signal (c) thresholded and filtered signal (d) transmitted message signal (e) recovered message signal



Figure 9: Chaos On-Off Keying using Lorenz systems (a) transmitted signal (b) correlated signal (c) thresholded and filtered signal (d) transmitted message signal (e) recovered message signal

	5										
(a)	imili ki	MARKAN ALAMAN	in Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An	THE MANAGEMENT	TURNER RELIGIONELLE		Thumphum ter	AMERICAN AND A MARK	HO TOMAK ANA TAKA	ALLAN ATAINTINA	
-:	0	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.01
(b)	n nin	an na shi na shi ku	KING KANGKANANAN	undin undin t			ALL AND	WALLOW (CONTRACTOR	ANN IN A ANY FEELO	niini kiya ka	
-:	0	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.01
(c) 1(][اللبافنأيا	a latin latin .		Tana an			() had the point of the loss	alifet open gegelenste staat te staat te I		
-10	0	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.01
(d) 1(][ti di anti altri detti detti fitti									
-10	0	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.01
(e)	2	//~			 /			/	l V		
-1	0	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.01
(f) 1.	\$E					1					
-0.5	0	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.01
(g) 1.				l				l			
-0.5	0	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.01
						Time					

Figure 10: Differential Chaos Shift Keying using Lorenz systems (a) chaotic signal (b) transmitted signal (c) correlated signal (d) thresholded signal (e) filtered signal (f) transmitted message signal (g) recovered message signal

4. DISCUSSIONS

The results obtained in Fig 6 showed that a difference in initial conditions and slight parameter variation that would otherwise cause the two chaotic systems to produce divergent time series, had no effect when the two were synchronized using self synchronization approach.

Figs. 7, 8, 9 and 10 confirmed the effectiveness of the four modulation schemes as the message signals were recovered at the receiver. The transmitted signal waveforms confirmed the security of the chaos modulation schemes. It could be observed that DCSK provided the highest security followed by chaotic masking. COOK provided the lowest level of security. The data transmission rate of DCSK was however twice those of others.

5. CONCLUSSION

We have discussed in this paper the use of Simulink to demonstrate various chaotic secure communication schemes. We have assumed an ideal noiseless communication channel in this study. Further work is on going to demonstrate same for a practical noisy channel.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] M. P. Kennedy, R. Rovatti, and G. Setti, *Chaotic Electronics In Telecommunications*. Boca Raton: CRC Press LLC, 2000.
- W. Bender, N. Gruhi, A. Morimoto, and H. Lu, "Techniques in Data Hiding " *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. Vol. 35, pp. pp 313-336, 1996.
- [3] M. Itoh, "Spread Spectrum Communication Via Chaos " *Int. Jour. of Bifurc. & Chaos*, vol. Vol. 9, pp. pp. 155-213, 1999.
- [4] A. R. Volkovskii, L. S. Tsimring, N. F. Rulkov, and L. Langmore, "Spread Spectrum Communication System with Chaotic Frequency Modulation," *Chaos*, vol. Vol. 15, pp. pp. 1-6, 2005.
- [5] L. S. Tsimring and R. Tenny, "Security Issues in Chaos-based Communication and Encryption", ," *Proc. of Winter School on Chaotic Communication, Institute for Nonlinear Science, UCSD*, 2003.
- [6] A. Abel and W. Schwarz, "Chaos Communications – Principles, Schemes and Systems," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. vol. 90, no. 1, pp. p.691-709, 2002.

- [7] E. N. Lorenz, "Deterministic non-periodic flow," *Journal of Atmo. Sci.*, vol. Vol. 20, pp. pp. 130-141, 1963.
- [8] P. G. Drazin, *Nonlinear Systems* Cambridge University Press., 1992.
- [9] Y. Gauthier, "Application of the Lorenz Chaotic System to Secure Communication and Encryption," Carleton University., 1998.
- [10] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lorenz_attractor, "Lorenz Attractor," *Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia,* 2008.
- [11] C. Sparrow, "The Lorenz Equations," *Chaos, ed. A.V. Holden, Princeton Univ. Press,* 1986.
- [12] E. Sánchez and M. A. Maltiás, "Transition to Chaotic Rotating Waves in Arrays of Coupled Lorenz Oscillators," *Int. Jour. of Bifurc. & Chaos*, vol. Vol. 9, pp. pp. 2335-2343, 1999.
- [13] T. Yang, "A Survey of Chaotic Secure Communication Systems," Int. Jour. of Comp. Cognition, vol. Vol. 2, pp. pp 81-130, 2004.
- [14] H. Yu and H. Leung, "A Comparative Study of Different Chaos Based Spread Spectrum Communication Systems," *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Cct. & Syst. (ISCAS 2001)*, vol. Vol. 2, pp. pp. 213-216, 2001.
- [15] G. Kolumban, M. P. Kennedy, and L. O. Chua, "The Role of Synchronization in Digital Communications Using Chaos – Part II: Chaotic Modulation and Chaotic Synchronization," *IEEE Trans. Circuits & Syst. I: Fund. Theory & Appli.*, vol. Vol. 45, pp. pp. 1129-1140, 1998.
- [16] K. M. Cuomo, A. V. Oppenheim, and S. H. Strogatz, "Synchronization of Lorenz-Based Chaotic Circuits with Applications to Communications," *IEEE Trans. Circuits & Syst. II - Analog & Digital Signal Processing*, vol. Vol. 40, pp. pp. 626-633, 1993.
- S. Boccaletti, A. Farini, and F. T. Arecchi, "Adaptive Synchronization of Chaos for Secure Communication ." *Phy. Rev. E*, vol. Vol 55, pp. pp. 4979-4981, 1997.
- [18] T. L. Carroll, "Communicating with Use of Filtered, Synchronized, Chaotic Signals," IEEE Trans. Cct. & Sys. I- Fund. Theo. & Appl., vol. Vol. 42, pp. pp. 105-110, 1995.
- [19] L. M. Pecora and T. L. Carroll, "Synchronization in Chaotic Systems," Phy. Rev. Lett., vol. Vol 64, pp. pp. 821-824, 1990.