Review of DUCATION

INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION JOURNAL, University Of Nigeria, Nsukka

Volume 21, No. 2 November 2010



Review of DUCATION

INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION JOURNAL, University Of Nigeria, Nsukka

Volume 21, No. 2 Nov. 2010

Editor-in-Chief Dr. Nkadi Onyegegbu Director, Institute of Education University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Editor

Dr. Uchenna N. Eze Institute of Education University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Consulting Editors
Professor Angela, Thody
University of Lincolnshire
And Humberside, England

Dr. Chidi Onyia California State University, Dominguez Hills, U.S.A

Professor I.C.S. Ifelunni Department of Educational Foundations University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Professor A. Ali Department of Science Education University of Nigeria, Nsukka

Printed in the Federal Republic of Nigeria at TIMEX: 29 Ogui Rd. Enugu

Tel: 08062885765

ISSN: 978-2585-10-6

Content

Achievement In Integrated Science by J. Gbenga Adewale (Ph.D.) & Tola Olufunke Anjorin	1
Media Images Of Nigerian Democratic Elections And Their Influence On The Nigerian Electorate (2003 And 2007) by Barr. Peter N. Nwokolo	19
Techniques And Tools For Project Monitoring And Evaluation In The Universal Basic Education Programme In Nigeria By Dr. Allen A. Agih	34
Competency Improvement Needs Of Instructors For Effective Teaching Of Cocoa Plantation Establishment And Management To Students Of Schools Of Agriculture In Southwestern States Of Nigeria.	
By Olaitan, S. O, Amusa, T. A & Ellah, B. I.	43
Declining Quality Of Instruction In Public Primary Schools In Nigeria: Teachers' Attribution By Dr Ngwoke, D.U. & Obikwelu, Chizoba	
Effect Of Concept Mapping On Students' Performance And Attitudes Towards Biology In Rivers State, Nigeria By Nnennaya Kalu-Uche	.71
Quality Assurance Of Instructors In Teaching Electronics Maintenance And Repair To Students In Polytechnics In Southwest, Nigeria By J. A. Bakare, A. M. Hassan & U. I. Obute	.83
Influence Of Teachers' Adjustment On Students' Emotional Behaviour And Academic Achievement By Dr. E.C. Umeano & Adimora, Ebere. D	98
Neonatal Tetanus In Nigerian Children: Causes, Symptoms, Predisposing Factors, Prevention And Control, By Dr. V. C. Emeribe, Dr. J. B. Vipene & L. U. Akah	114
Information Needs And Information Seeking Behaviour Of Commercial Motorcycle Operators In Nsukka Metropolis In Enugu State, Nigeria By Dr. R.E. Ozioko & Eke Helen Nneka	22
Evaluation Of Internal Institutional Quality Assurance Mechanisms In Colleges Of Education In Nigeria By Ukoha A. Ukoha, <i>Ph.D.</i>	34

Curriculum Issues And Physics Teacher Preparation by Utibe, Uduak James, Dr. B. C. Madu & Dr. Patrick J. Uko
Status Of Human And Material Resources For Teaching The Basic Sciences In Senior Secondary Schools In Anambra State By Dr Abigail M. Osuafor & Dr Josephine N. Okoli
Constraints To Policy Implementation Of Teacher Preparation Programme In Enugu State: A Case Study Of Federal College Of Education Eha-Amufu By Ugwoke Samuel Chidume (Ph.D)
Misconceptions About Home Economics Teacher Education In Nigeria By Eze, N.M. Ph.D
Techniques Of Supervision For Quality Teacher Preparation By Dr. I. N. Nwankwo, Emeka Nwachukwu A. & Anichukwu Cajetan C202
Gender Differences In Teachers' Control Orientation: Implications For Teacher Preparation. By Ngwoke, D. U. (Ph.D) & Akaname, I. N
Status Of Healthful Living In NCE Awarding Institutions In Enugu State: A Path For Effective Preparation Of The Prospective JSS Teachers By L. O. Asogwa (Ph.D) & C. C. Igbokwe (Ph.D)
by E. O. Asogwa (Fil.D) & C. C. Igookwe (Fil.D)

EFFECTS OF FORMATIVE TESTING ON JUNIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN INTEGRATED SCIENCE

J. Gbenga Adewale (Ph.D.) & Tola Olufunke Anjorin International Centre for Educational Evaluation, Institute of Education, University of Ibadan

Abstract

This study examined the effects of formative testing on students' achievement in Integrated Science. The study adopted a 4 x 2 factorial design with pre-test and post-test control groups in quasi-experimental setting. Treatment was administered at four levels: (teaching, formative testing, feedback and remediation; teaching, formative testing, feedback but no remediation, teaching, formative testing, no feedback and no remediation; teaching, no formative testing, no feedback and no remediation (control group). The moderator variable was parental involvement at two levels (male and female). One hundred and twenty nine junior secondary two (J.S. 2) students from eight selected secondary schools from Ibadan North Local Government Area of Oyo State participated in the study. Three instruments were used: two response instruments- Integrated Science Achievement Test (ISAT) and formative tests and one stimulus instrument (teaching package). The data analysis was done using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) with the pre-test scores serving as covariates. The results indicated that there is a significant main effect of treatment $(F_{(3)(9)} = 89.68; P < 0.05)$ on students' achievement in Integrated Science, parental involvement also has significant effect on students' achievement in Integrated science but no significant interaction between the treatment and parental involvement was recorded. The implications of this study include the use of formative testing, feedback and remediation to complement teaching.

Keywords: Formative testing, Students' Achievement in Integrated Science, Remediation, Feedback, students' parental involvement

Introduction

In Nigeria, the goal of science education is to develop scientifically literate citizens who can think and act rationally. The National Policy

on Education which was first published in 1977 and revised in 1981, 1998 and 2004 (FRN, 2004 revised) in recognition of the importance of science and technology in national development states that:

a greater proportion of education expenditure will be devoted to science and technology. Universities and other levels of the education system will be required to pay greater attention to the development of scientific orientation... More colleges of Technology and Polytechnics will be opened in bid improve a to technology and science education. The ratio of science to liberal arts in our universities has been fixed for 60:40. (p.25).

In support of the need for science and technology, the Science Teacher Association of Nigeria (STAN) in 1979 published the "Curriculum" Newsletter No 1" which contained a statement of philosophy, the methodology, content and evaluation of integrated science. Integrated Science was therefore introduced into the Nigerian school system as advised by the United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); and as a result of the response to the local cries and challenges within Nigeria to reassess secondary school science teaching.

Integrating Principles (according to the STAN Curriculum Newsletter No. I) are intended to produce among other things, a course which:

- is relevant to students' needs and experiences
- stresses the fundamental unity of sciences
- lays adequate foundations for subsequent study and
- adds a cultural dimension to science education.

Integrated science is a course which emphasizes the fundamental unity of science in the contemporary society (Inter-Union Commission on Science Teaching (ICSU), 1968). Integrated Science is taught in the Junior Secondary Schools and is prescribed as a basic introductory course to Physics and Chemistry. Biology, Integrated Again, science metamorphosis undergoing Basic Science at ISS level. Its full implementation is underway. Integrated science is a course which is expected to lay a foundation for specialized science study as well as understanding of increased this At environment. Integrated science will give students (who are youths) general emphasize the education and importance of observation for explaining things in environment. It will also introduce the students to logical thinking and

scientific method. Integrated Science will arouse in the students, curiosity and develop in them, scientific attitudes.

Consequently, attempts have been made in the country to emphasize the process of science and not just factual knowledge. Science educators, through National Bodies and Associations have always been disseminating relevant information which could improve teaching and learning activities of science secondary schools. Bodies like the Science Teachers Association Nigeria (STAN), Nigeria Educational Research Development Council (NERDC), and the Comparative Education Studies and Adaptation (CESAC) have Centre custodians of relevant information which is expected to have raised the status of teaching and learning of science in schools. Furthermore, two science equipment centres were established in Enugu, Enugu State and at Minna, Niger state all in the effort to make science more meaningful in In addition, various the society. curriculum innovations were introduced which led to the 6-3-3-4 educational system in Nigeria. One of the features of the 6-3-3-4 educational system is the continuous assessment, which is expected on proper usage to discourage rote learning which had characterized the educational system in the past. The

definitions, mode of administration, prospects and attributes of continuous assessment have been documented in literature (Osokoya & Odinko, 2005; Ogunneye, 1991; FGN, 1998; Ojerinde & Falayojo, 1984 and Ogunniyi, 1984).

One would expect that in the situation of a country like Nigeria, which is in a dire need of trained scientists to form the basis of and boost the much needed technology; achievement in science at secondary school level should be very encouraging. The contrary is the case; with school science studies characterized by enrolment and poor performance at the senior secondary school level particularly in Chemistry and Physics (Adewale 2002).

It is therefore necessary for much research work geared towards improving the quality of science Nigerian teaching in Secondary Schools to be done. These efforts are likely to have maximum impact at the Junior Secondary School level when it is still compulsory for all students to learn science (Integrated Science): It is also expected that any Integrated enhanced quality in Science teaching will motivate a proportion of secondary greater school students to enrol in single science subjects at the secondary level; and,

achieve better at the senior school certificate examination.

Several efforts have been made to improve the lot of science teaching in Nigeria. From the days of the Nigerian Integrated Science Project (NISP) by the Science Teachers Association of Nigeria (STAN) till date, several teaching packages and strategies have been suggested. invented and learning models have been suggested which are based on some procedures connected with mastery learning principles. Carrol (1963) proposed an individualized mastery learning strategy which contained the following components:-

- Clearly defined educational objectives
- Small units of study
- Criterion referenced testing
- Remediation for students' problems and
- Competence before proceeding to the next hierarchically related unit of instruction.

Although, the mastery learning strategy is found to be effective in facilitating learning, criticisms against it made Bloom propose another approach to the strategy (Bloom, 1968) which focused on mastery defined in terms of behavioural objectives for each unit of instruction, but on a group-

based method. The group work makes testing and remedial activities easier, but the fact that 90% of students are expected to gain mastery of the instruction still makes this strategy impracticable in the Nigerian situation where repeating a class will likely to discourage the students thereby doing more harm than good.

Therefore. formative a evaluation strategy which involves feedback with remediation is proposed. Formative testing which uses feedback and remedial instruction can be seen as an attempt to diagnose learning difficulties in individuals and to identify strengths and weaknesses in performance for the purposes of improving instruction as a panacea for a better educational achievement (Ibeagha, 2002). This study sought to investigate the effects of formative with feedback remediation on ISS achievement in Integrated science.

Formative tests are the tools for educational diagnosis. They have all the characteristics of achievement tests. Bloom (1971) opined that formative tests have diagnostic functions and capacity of providing corrective feedback to both teachers and students. They also reflect units that constitute important learning components and their hierarchical nature; indicating the link between

them. Formative tests are criterion-referenced, that is, students are not scored because they are non-graded tests. But the proportion of students who respond correctly to each of the test item is noted. This proportion is diagnostic because it indicates whether or not majority of the students have attained competence on the step on which the test item is based.

For formative tests to be effective in ascertaining the degree to which students learn what they are supposed to learn, instruction must be relevant to what is being tested. This necessitates that there must be a table of specification which must be followed duly. That is, the teacher must know the principles of test construction which ensures proper relationship between intended curriculum objectives and the (Capper, 1996). Formative testing is effective in promoting students' learning across a wide range of educational settings (Yorke, 2003). Without informative feedback on what they do, students will have relatively little by which to chart their development (Black & William, 1998).

A feedback is information from a teacher to students about their performance in a test. There is general acceptance that feedback is an important component of learning which might lead to a change in

learner's subsequent behaviour (Chauhan, 1985; Ekeruo, Ikedeashi, Ekwe and Nwamuo, 1989). Feedback to students provides reinforcement of successful learning and identifies the specific learning errors that need correction. It is also useful to the teacher by providing information for modifying institution and prescribing group and individual remedial work. Previous researches show that students change as a result of receiving feedback. Afemikhe (1985) reported that formative tests with remediation are more effective in improving students' cognitive achievement.

Apart from the influence of teaching methods or techniques, learner there other are characteristics which affect can students' achievement. One of such characteristics is the and learners' environment. the relationship with parents. Various researchers documented have factors like occupation and education of parents (Idowu 1990); academic materials in the home e.g. television sets, radio sets (Onocha and Okpala 1985) the use of dictionaries and number of books at home (Comber and Keeves, 1973, IEA 1990) as factors that can affect students' achievement. There is a strong suggestion that parents' involvement in their children's formal schooling is vital for their academic success:

though the research evidence is less than conclusive. This is due to the fact that methodological limitations are prevalent in the majority of parents' involvement research: itemized by Baker and Soden (1998). However, the cumulative knowledge from existing studies suggests that each of the different forms or types of parent involvement variables facilitates academic children's achievement There are also indications that they do so in relatively complex ways that interact with family background and social context variables such as ethnicity. family structure. maternal employment status, socio-economic and parental involvement (Schiamberg & Chin, 1986; Mitne, 1989; Tocci & Englehard 1991; Lee & Croninger, 1994).

The term 'parental involvement' refers to a wide range activities that have been characterized by a number of typologies. Nye, Turner and Schwarts (2006) define parent involvement the active as engagement of a parent with their child outside the school day in an activity which centers on enhancing academic performance. Christenson, Rounds and Gorney (1992) also define parental involvement as parent participation in educational activities at both school and home.

Epstein (1987) also suggested that parental involvement is multi-dimensional and included:

- parents providing a home environment that supports learning
- 2) communication between parents and teachers on classroom performance
- parents' active attendance at school activities such as PTA
- 4) parents' engagement and monitoring of home learning activities and
- 5) parents' participation in school based decision making such as school committees.

Parent involvement has been linked with student outcomes which include increased achievement test results a decrease in drop-out rate, improved attendance in school, improved student behavior, higher grades, greater commitment to school work and improved attitude towards school (NMSA, 2000).

Although the impact parent involvement in a child's generally growth accepted is (Sheldon, 2003); educators, parent groups and policy makers continue to debate the issue on whether or not parent involvement beneficial effect on the academic achievement of children. It is on this note that parental involvement was included as a moderating variable.

Statement of the problem

This study seeks to determine the effect of formative testing with feedback and remediation on students' achievement in Junior Secondary Schools (JSS) Integrated Science. It also determines the effects of parental involvement as well as the interaction effect of treatment and parental involvement on students' achievement in JSS Integrated Science.

Research Hypotheses

I. There is no significant main effect of treatment on students'

- achievement in Integrated Science?
- 2. There is no significant main effect of parental involvement on students' achievement in Integrated Science?
- 3. There is no significant interaction effect of treatment and parental involvement on students' achievement in Integrated Science?

Methodology

This study uses a quasi-experimental design with a non-randomized pretest and post-test control group design (4×2) factorial design.

Outline of design

The outline of design is as follows:

Experimental group I	O	X_1	O_2
Experimental group 2	O	X_2 .	O_2
Experimental group 3	Oı	X_3	O_2
Control group	Oı	X_4	O_2

Where

 0_1 represents pre-test measures 0_3 represents post test measures X_1 – teaching with formative testing, feedback and remediation of selected concept within the unit.

X₂ teaching with testing with feedback but no remediation of

selected concept within the unit.

X₃ - teaching, formative testing but no feedback and remediation of selected concept within the unit

X₄ - teaching without testing, feedback and remediation (conventional method)

Table I A 4 x 2 Factorial Design

Parental	Treatment			
involvement	$X_1 = Teach$	X_2 = Teach	$X_3 = Teach$	$X_4 = Teach +$
	+ test,	+ test,	+ test, no	no test, no
	feedback +	feedback +	feedback +	feedback + no
	remediation	no	no	remediation
		remediation	remediation	2
High				
Low				Ω_{λ}

Population and sample The subject

All Junior Secondary Class 2 (J.S.S. 2) students in Ibadan North Local Government Area in Oyo State formed the population for this study. The schools involved were coeducational, and had registered students for junior school Certificate Examination for at least five years. This is to ensure comparability of schools.

Sampling technique and sample

For this study, a random sampling for the selection of eight schools out of 25 in the Local Government Area was carried out. One arm of JS 2 was randomly selected and an intact class was used as samples in each of the eight schools selected. Two schools were randomly assigned to a

treatment. This is to ensure that each school had equal chance of receiving any of the four treatments.

Instrumentation

The following instruments were used in this study

Integrated Science Achievement Test (ISAT)

Formative Tests (FT)

Parental Involvement Questionnaire (PIQ)

Integrated Science Achievement Test

This is a 40 – item multiple choice test with four options on the following topics:-

Table 2 Table of Specification

Topics	Knowledge (40%)	Understanding (30%)	Thinking (30%)	Total
The Skeletal (17.5%)	3	2	2	7
Muscular Systems (17.5%)	3	2	2	7
Matter (10%)	2	1		4
Water (10%)	1	2	1 0-	4
Separation Techniques (10%)	2	1	10 PM	4
Tools (10%)		1 2 2 2 2	2	4
Forces (10%)	2	1	1.	4
Simple Machines (15%)	2	2	2	6
Total	16	12	12	40

The instrument was developed by the researchers and validated by trial-testing the 120-items generated using 120 I.S.S. 2 students who did not take part in the study. The Kuder Richardson 20 reliability coefficient of the test was established to be 0.82. The difficulty index of the items ranged from 0.35-0.58, while discriminating index ranged between 0.2 and 0.62. Forty good items were selected to serve both as pre-test and post-test. instrument was scored for one (1) mark per item after administration. This means that the maximum obtainable score is 40 marks, and the minimum obtainable score is zero (0).

Formative Tests

These are short tests of 10 items testing the selected topics each week

during treatment to determine the students' mastery of the topics taught previously and to provide remediation on the item(s) wrongly answered; using the least score to determine topics to be re-taught by the teacher in the following lesson. These instruments were scored on the basis of one (1) mark per items; with the maximum obtainable score as ten (10) and the minimum obtainable as zero (0).

Parental Involvement Questionnaire (PIQ)

The instrument was developed by the researchers to determine how involved the parents are in their children's study of Integrated Science. The questionnaire consists of two sections A and B. Section A dealt with personal information like

age, school and sex of the respondents. Section B consists of nine (9) items on home study conditions and availability infrastructural facilities provided by parents that can aid the learning of integrated Science. The items of the instrument were examined by test construction experts for content validity. The corrected version was trial tested to determine the internal consistency and construct validity using Cronbach Alpha. A coefficient $\alpha = 0.79$ was established which is judged to be high enough to warrant the use of the instrument. In this instrument every positive response one while negative scored a statement or no response scored Therefore, the maximum zero. rating can be nine (9) while the minimum rating can be zero (0).

Procedure for administration of Instruments

Integrated Science Achievement Test was administered to the students involved in the study before the commencement of treatments. The instruments were scored and the performance records were used as pre-test scores. The four treatments were then administered in the eight schools (two schools per treatment).

Experimental Group |

The first lesson (instruction) was presented. At the end of each weeks

(last period), the formative test I was administered, followed by the scoring. Areas of difficulties were identified, and scripts distributed to the students. The difficult concepts were remediated before the second presentation followed. The same process was followed till the last instruction. At the end, the integrated Science Achievement test (ISAT) was administered and scored.

Experimental Group 2

The first lesson (instruction) was presented. At the end of each week (last period), the formative test I was administered and scored. Scripts were given to the students without identifying the difficult concepts and remediating. The same process was followed till the last instruction. At the end, the Integrated Science Achievement test (ISAT) was administered and scored.

Experimental Group 3

The first lesson (instruction) was presented. At the end of each weeks (last period), the formative test I was administered and scored. Scripts were not given to the students and no remediation of any difficult concept was provided. The same process was followed till the last instruction. At the end, the Integrated Science Achievement test (ISAT) was administered and scored.

Experimental Group 4 (Control)

The first lesson (instruction) was presented. There were no formative tests, no feedback and remediation of any difficult concept was provided. At the end, the integrated Science Achievement test (ISAT) was administered and scored

Data Analysis

The data collected were analyzed using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Pre-test was used as covariate to adjust for any difference in the background of the students.

The post hoc test using Scheffe's test was employed to find out the direction of significant differences.

Results and Discussion

The three research hypotheses were tested and the research question was answered in this section.

Research Hypothesis I

Is there any significant main effect of treatment on students' achievement in Integrated Science?

Table 3: Effect of treatment and parental involvement on students' achievement in Integrated Science

3	Sum of				
Source of Variation	Squares	at	i i ean Square	Ē	Sig.
Pre-test	128.591	1	128.591	6.371	.013
Treatment	4634.931	3	1544.977	76.545	.000
Parental involvement	163.488	. 1	163.488	8.100	.005
Treat * Parental	99.265	3	33.088	1.639	.184
involvement			*		
Residual	2401.886	119	20.184		
Total	8099.930	127			

There is a significant difference between students exposed to treatments and those exposed to conventional method of teaching ($F_{(3,119)} = 76.545$; P < 0.05). From Table 4, the students exposed to teaching, testing with feedback and remediation performed better (36.15) than those exposed to other methods [teaching, testing, no

feedback, no remediation = 23.07; teaching, testing, feedback without remediation = 21.15; conventional strategy i.e. teaching, no testing, no feedback, no remediation = 20.9].

Table 4: Post-Hoc Analysis of the Treatment and Parental involvement on Students' Achievement in Integrated Science

Variable + Category	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05		
	41.4	1	2	
Treatment	7	_		
Teaching, no testing, no feedback, no remediation	30	20.90		
Teaching, testing, feedback without remediation	34	2).15		
Teaching, testing, no feedback, no remediation	30	23.07		
Teaching, testing with feedback and remediation	34		36.15	
Parental involvement				
Low		24.56		
High			26.80	
Multiple R		= .838		
Multiple R Square		= .703		
Adjusted Multiple R Square		= .684		

There is no significant difference between the performance of students exposed to teaching, testing, no feedback, no remediation = 23.07; teaching, testing, feedback without remediation = 21.15; conventional strategy i.e. teaching, no testing, no feedback, no remediation = 20.9.

Research Hypothesis 2

Is there a significant main effect of parental involvement on students' achievement in Integrated science? The result reveals that parental involvement has significant effect on

students achievement in Integrated science ($F_{(1, 119)} = 8.100$; P < 0.05). From Table 4, male students performed better (26.8) than female students (24.56) in Integrated science.

Research Hypothesis 3

Is there a significant interaction effect of treatment and parental involvement on students' achievement in Integrated science? The result shows that the interaction effect of treatment and ability on students' achievement in Integrated science is not significant. This implies

that students' parental involvement is not sensitive to the treatments.

Research Question I

What is the composite contribution of treatment and parental involvement students' on achievement in Integrated science? Table 4 reveals that there is a high multiple correlations between the students' achievement in Integrated science (dependent variables) and treatment and students' parental involvement (independent variables). A multiple correlation coefficient of 0.838 is observed. Therefore, the coefficient of determination Adjusted R² is 0.684. This means that 68.4% of the variance in students' achievement in Integrated science is accounted for by the two independent variables (treatment and students' parental involvement) and the remaining 32.5% of the variance in the students' achievement in Integrated science are accounted for by other variables beyond this study.

Discussion

The results revealed that students exposed to teaching, testing feedback and remediation performed significantly better than those in the other three treatments. The results show that testing has positive contribution to the students' achievement in integrated science. It is logical to think that this method is

raising potent students' is achievement because the teacher first taught the lesson, then (s)he administered test, marked the test. returned the test scripts (feedback) to the students. Using the least performers as a benchmark, the teacher went through the teaching again in order to help the students achieve the stated objective. Since students exposed to this treatment performed in this way, it can then be concluded that the method tends to confirm the potency of treatment procedure as effective instructional strategy that could be used to reverse the current trend of poor performance in Integrated Science examinations. The findings of this study corroborate those of Bloom (1971) who stated that formative tests have diagnostic functions and have the capacity of providing corrective feedback to both teachers and students. In addition, the findings in this study confirm those of Ibeagha (2002) where he concluded that formative testing which feedback and remedial instruction can be seen as an attempt diagnose learning difficulties individuals and to identify strengths weaknesses in performance for the purposes of improving instruction as a panacea for a better educational achievement. Afemikhe (1985) also reported that formative tests with remediation are

more effective in improving students' cognitive achievement. Although, the anxiety on of students' achievement is inconclusive, regular testing, (like the one used in this study), assists students to eliminate examination anxiety and leads them to be more involved in their studies because formative tests students to identify the essential areas of the instruction to learn. They also help students to understand the examiner and the nature of tests more, and raise their confidence in their abilities on the instruction before the final examination. Hence, formative tests help in reducing anxiety. However, some other studies have indicated that feedback strategy has no on students' significant effect performance. Yeany, Waugh and their Blalock (1979); in investigated the effects achievement diagnosis with feedback on science achievement of some preservice teachers. Their finding was that the feedback corrective strategy did not yield statistical significant difference in the achievement of the subjects, although the feedback group performed better than the control group.

The results also show that there is a significant effect of parental involvement in the students' achievement in Integrated science. Students whose parents show high

level of involvement performed significantly better than students whose parents' were not as involved. It is logical to think that students of parents who provide materials and \ suitable psychological environment are likely to perform better that the students whose parents do not provide learning materials and environment. This study supports that of Nye, Turner and Schwarts (2006) where they found that parent involvement is the active engagement of a parent with their child outside the school day in an activity which centers on enhancing academic performance. Christenson, Rounds and Gorney (1992) also found that parental involvement is the parent's participation in educational activities at both school and home. Epstein (1987) found that parents' activities like communicating with the teachers on classroom performance; parents' active attendance at school activities such as PTA; parents' engagement and monitoring of home learning activities and parents' participation in school - based decision making such school committees psychological support for the child and the child can learn better.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Feedback with remediation has been found to be more facilitating in improving learning outcomes than feedback alone; which in turn has been found to be more facilitating than teaching with formative testing alone. The study has shown that a combination of formative testing, feedback and remediation used alongside teaching will prove to be an effective instructional strategy that could be used in reversing the current trend of poor performance in Junior Secondary Certificate Examination in Integrated Science. It is therefore recommended that teaching + testing + feedback/ + remediation should be used in Integrated science classes as this will improve students' achievement. There is a significant effect of parental involvement in the students' achievement in Integrated science in favour of the involved parents (high level of involvement) it is therefore recommended that parent should be involved in provision of educational materials that can be used by students in their academic work.

References

Adewale, J. G, (2002). Modern Trends in Physics Teaching at the Secondary Schools Level in S. O. Ayodele (ed.) Strategies Across the Curriculum – Modern Methods of Teaching Ibadan. Powerhouse Press and Publishers (pp 230-241).

Afemikhe, O. A. (1985). The effect of formative testing on students' achievement in secondary school Mathematics.

University of Ibadan: An unpublished PhD Thesis.

Bajah, S. T.; Onocha, C. O.; and Okpala, D. N. Languages spoken at home by students. Second science International Science study under the auspices of the International Association for Academic Evaluation of Achievement (IEA)

Baker, A and Soden, L, (1998). The Challenges of parent involvement research. New York, N. Y: ERIC Clearinghouse on Urban Education (EDU 19030).

Black, P. and Williams, D., (1998).

Assessment and classroom learning.

Assessment in Education 5(1), 7 - 74.

- Bloom, B. S. (1968). Learning for Mastery. Evaluation comments. Los Angeles: Centre for the study of Education: Univ. of California.
- Bloom, B. S. (1971) Human and Characteristics School Learning: New York: McGraw Hill.
- Carroll, J. (1963) A model of school learning. Teachers' College Record. 64: 723-733.
- (1985) Advanced Chauhan, S. S. Educational Psychology: New Delhi: Vanni Educational Books.
- Christenson, S. Rounds, L and Gorney, S. (1992). Family and Student Factors Achievement: An Avenue to increase students' success .School Psychology Quarterly, 7, 178 - 206.
- Comber, L. C. and Keeves, J. P. (1973). Science education in nineteen countries. Studies International in Evaluation. Stockholm:/Almgvist and Wicksell.
- Earnest, J. (1974). Maths and Science. Santa Barbara Company, Univ. of California.

- Ekeruo, I. C., Ikedeashi, A. E., Ekwe, A. O. and Nwamuo, P. A. (1989). Essentials of Educational Agbor Central Psychology. Books Ltd.
- Epstein, J. L. (1987) Toward a of family school theory connections: Teacher practices and parent involvement across the school years. In K. Hurrelmann, F. Kaufmann & F. Losel (Eds). Social Intervention: Potential and Constraints. New York: de Gruyter.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria (2004). National policy on education (Revised) NERDC Press, Yaba, Lagos, Nigeria.
- Ibeagha, A. J. (2002) The effect of formative testing on some Oyo State students' achievement in senior secondary school Economics. Univ. of Ibadan. Ibadan: Unpublished M.Ed Project,.
- Idowu, A. A. (1990). Some Home Environment Variables and Scholastics **Aptitudes** determinants of Students' Achievement in Secondary School Ecology in Nigeria.

- University of Ibadan, Ibadan: Unpublished Ph. D Thesis.
- Inter-Union Commission on Science Teaching (ICSU) (1968) Congress on the integration of science teaching: Conclusion.
- Kelly, A. (1981). "Science achievement as an aspect of sex roles" In Kelly A (ed) The missing Half: Girls and science education. Manchester:

 Manchester Univ. Press (pp. 73 84).
- Kotte, Dieter (1992), Parental involvement differences in science achievement in 10 countries 1970/71 to 1983/84. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Lee, V, and Croninger, R. (1994) The relative importance of home and school in the development of literacy skills for middle-grade students.

 American Journal of Education, 102 (3), 286 329.
- Mitne, A. (1989) Family Structure and the Achievement of children. In W. Wiston (Ed) Education and the American Family Albany, SUNY Univ. Press.

- National Middle School Association (NMSA) (2000). Research summary No. 18.
- Ogunneye, W. (1991) Continuous assessment in the school system: It's methodological diagnostic remedial implication for teaching and learning. The Nigerian Teacher 1 (1).
- Ogunniyi, M. B. (1984). Measurement and Evaluation in Psychology and Education; Lagos, Nigeria: Longman.
- Ojerinde, D. and Falayajo, W. (1984)

 Continuous assessment: A new approach. Ibadan: University

 Press Ltd.
- Okebukola, P.A.O. (1992) Can good concept mappers be good problem solvers in science?

 Educational Psychology 12 (2), 113 129.
- Onocha, C. O. & Okpala, P. N. (1985): Family Environmental Correlates of Science Achievement of Pupils in Primary Schools. *Journal of STAN*, 23 (1 & 2) 150 –
- Osokoya, M. M. and Odinko, M. N. (2005) The practice of continuous assessment in primary schools in Emeke, E. A.

and Abe, C. V. (eds). Evaluation in Theory and Practice; PEN Services Ibadan.

Pitburn, M. F. (1979). Spatial Reasoning and Formal thought as factors in Science Achievement for New Zealand students. Abstract of papers NARST ERIC/SMEAC

Schiamberg, L; and Chin, C. (1986)

The influence of family on educational and occupational achievement. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science Philadelphia PA.

Sheldon, S. (2003) Linking school-family community partnerships in urban elementary schools to students achievement on state tests. *Urban Review*, 35 (2), 149 – 165.

Tocci, C. M and Englehard, G. (1991) Achievement, parental support and gender differences in attitudes toward Mathematics. *Journal of Educational Research*, 84 (5) 280 – 286.

Yorke, M. (2003) Formative assessment in higher education: Moves towards theory and pedagogic practice. Higher Education 45 (4): 477 – 501.