LARS N RELIGIONS

Scholars

RELEGIOUS

(JUSREL)

ISSUE 5

JOURNAL OF UNIVERSITY SCHOLARS IN NIGERIA
(JUSTEL)

ISSUE NO. 5. PP. 670 - 1013

A publication of Nigerian University Scholars in Nigeria (NUSREL)

September, 2015

Contact us: jusrel.us@gmail.com

All Rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior permission of the copyright owner or the publishers.

ISSN: 2315-5884

Jemilah Publishers E-mail: jemshud@yahoo.co.uk 35 Ibrahim Taiwo Road, Kaduna

EDITORIAL ADVISORS

- Professor Rasheed A. Raji, President and Editor in-Chief Dean, Postgraduate School, Al-Hikmah University, Ilorin.
- 2. Professor Muslih Tayo Yahya, University of Jos, Jos.
- Professor Umar Habila Damdem Danfulani, Dean Faculty of Art, University of Jos, Jos.
- Professor Gwamna Dogara Je'adayibe, Dean, Faculty of Art, Nassarawa State University, Kefi.
- Professor Abdurafi Oyewumi Omotosho, Department of Islamic Law, University of Ilorin, Ilorin
- Professor Yahya Imam, Department of Religious Studies, University of Ilorin, Ilorin.
- Professor Cyril O. Imo, Department of Religion and Philosophy, University of Jos, Jos.
- 8. Professor O. E. Alana, Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies, Kogi State University, Anyigba
- Professor Musa Abdur-Raheem, Department of Islamic Studies, Ekiti State University, Ekiti.
- Professor M.A. Opeloye, Department of Religious Studies, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

Editor
Huud Shittu Ph.D
Department of Religion and Philosophy
University of Jos
Jos

NAME AND ADDRESSES OF THE CONTRIBUTORS

- 1. Dr. L. O. Abbasin the Department of Arabic and Islamic Studies, Faculty of Arts, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.
- Dr. Mustapha, Adejoro Raheem in the School of Arts and Social Sciences. National Open University of Nigeria, Lagos.
- 3. Oluwasegun Peter Aluko, a Postgraduate Student in the Department of Religious Studies, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State
- 4. Imasuen, Emmanuelar Okpunwa in the Department of Politics and Governance, Kwara State University, Malete, Ilorin
- 5. Dr. Franca Shiminenge Jandois in in the Department of Religion and Philosophy, Benue State University, Makurdi
- Comfort K. Gemade in the Department of Religion and Philosophy, Benue State University, Makurdi
- Terna E. Iwen in the Department of Christian Religious Studies, Federal College of Education, Obudu, Cross River State
- 8. Isaac Adesina Abolarin in the ECWA Theological Seminary, Igbaja Kwara State, Nigeria
- Shadrach Adedoyin Makanju, a Postgraduate Student in the Departments Religious Studies, University of Ibadan, Ibadan,
- Jones Ugochukwu Odili in the Department of Religious and Cultural Studies, Faculty of Humanities, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt
- 11. Odewale, Victor Taiwo, a Postgraduate Student in the Department of Religious Studies, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife
- 12. Dr. E.I Aimiuwu in the Department of Music, Faculty of Arts, University of Jos, Jos

13. David Bahal Azomo in the Directorate of Student	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Pag
Affairs, University of Jos, Jos		
14. Jeffrey Ushahemba Tortya	Editorial Advisors	ii
15. Anthony Nathan a postgraduate Student in the		
Department of Religion and Philosophy, Benue State	Contributors	iii
University, Makurdi		
16. Afaor Dorathy Nguemo in the Department of Religion	Contents	V
and Philosophy, Benue State University, Makurdi	The Law of Rajm (Stoning) in Islam:	
17. Yusuf Mashood Dagbo in the Department of Research	Legality and Controversies L. O. Abbas	670
and Documentation, National Institute for Cultural	Leganty and Controversies L. O. Applas	070
Orientation, North Central Zone, Ilorin	Using Shari'Ah as an alternative for	
8. Badirudeen Abdulganiyu in the Department of islamic	Promotion of National Integration in Nigeria	
Studies, F.C.T. College of Education, Zuba	Mustapha, Adejoro Raheem	687
9. Anaana, Vitalis Terhemba in the Department of Religion		
and Philosophy, Benue State University Makurdi.	A Christian Perspective of Prayer Healing as an	
20. Ishado Paulina Ingiego, postgraduate Student in the	Alternative to Orthodox	
Department of Religion and Philosophy, Benue State	Samuel Zubairu Josiah	704
University, Makurdi		
21. Esthons, Faith Iliya is with the Department of Christian	Use of Editorial Cartoons to Project Christian	
Religious Studies, Taraba State University, Jalingo.	Values in Fighting Corruption in Nigeria	
22. Nenchi, Ishaku Julius is with nthe Department of	Odewale, Victor Taiwo,	718
Religion and Philosophy, University of Jos		
23. Dr Patricia Ebere Nwazonobiis a lecturer in the	Youth and Values: Concerned Issues in	
Department of Philosophy and Religion, Faculty of	Contemporary Nigeria Jeffrey Ushahemba Tortya	720
Social Sciences and Humanities, Ebonyi State	& Anthony Nathan	739
University, Abakaliki	Family Values amidst Indigenous Religion,	
24. Dr. Terna Afella is with the Department of Religion and	Islam and Christianity in South-Western Nigeria	
Philosophy, Benue State University, Makurdi	Oluwasegun Peter Aluko	752
25. Chiseh Suleiman Yerima is in the Department of	oran and an a sect and an	702
Christian Religious Studies, Federal College of	Perils of HIV/AIDS in the Cultural Practices on	
Education, Zaria	Ukwuaniland Jones Ugochukwu Odili	773
26. Samuel Zubairu Josiah is in ECWA IDCC Office, Ilorin.	Addition) Straight at Lynn Charles and Land Con-	
Kwara State.	Religious And Cultural Dynamite: Peril To Societa	al
	Ethics Patricia Ebere Nwazonobi	787

THE LAW OF RAJM (STONING) IN ISLAM: LEGALITY AND CONTROVERSIES

Dr. L. O. Abbas

Introduction

Application of penalties ('uqūbāt) under the criminal code of Islamic law requires a critical and careful examination in the appropriateness and legality. This becomes necessary so as to ensure fairness, equity and justice within the framework of Islamic legal system. One of such penalties as popularised under the criminal law of Islam is Rajm otherwise known as stoning to death in connection with a crime of promiscuity (zinā). When was it codified as a concept? What is the legal rationale behind its codification? What are the misconceptions that characterize its application and the realities which surround its conceptualization? These are the major concerns that this paper provides responses. In achieving this, expository and historical methods are adopted. It is our supposition that through this exposition, an holistic assessment of Rajm as a concept in Islamic Legal System will be examined.

Law of Rajm Described

Rajm is an Arabicword that means "stoning" and it is commonly used to refer to the <code>Hudūdpunishment</code> wherein an organized group throws stones at a convicted individual until that person dies. This, under Islamic law, is said to have been applied as the prescribed punishment in cases of adulterycommitted by a married man or woman. The application of <code>Rajm</code>, in some cultures, was seen as allowing the lager community to participate in the administration of justice. Stoning as a capital punishment has been used

since ancient times to punish people judged as criminals. According to Sanaz, such crimes include prostitution, adultery and murder. As the time passed, stoning became criticized as a cruel punishment and as such was abandoned for methods believed to have been more humane namely hanging and decapitation.⁴

In Islam, the punishment by stoning to death has a long tradition in connection with the punishment for adultery. In the opinion of Alasti, the Talmudic law is argued to have primarily influenced the Prophet of Allah, Muhammad Sallallahu 'alayhi wasallam (Peace and Blessings of Allah be upon him) I the application of Rajm as a punishment for zinā. Alasti further notes that most traditions in this regard portray Muhammad (S.A.W.) as having initially prescribed stoning for Jews who had been found guilty of adultery and then later referring to the Jewish law whenever he was passing similar sentences on members of his own Community. The source goes further to stipulate that the Prophet (S.A.W.) used to criticize the Jews for relaxing their adultery laws when Jewish people replaced stoning with smearing of coal in the face. ⁵

According to Biblical law, capital punishment is the penalty for offences that violate ritual prohibitions such as deliberate desecration of the Sabbath as well as laws regarding violation of interpersonal relationships in crimes such as murder, kidnapping and incest. According to Mishnah, there are four methods of execution for such capital crimes namely stoning, burning, strangulation and beheading.⁷

In the Old Testament of the Bible, stoning is prescribed as the method of execution for crimes such as murder, blasphemy and apostasy. However, the Talmud seriously limits the use of the death penalty to criminals who had been earlier warned not to commit the crime in

the presence of two witnesses. The penalty would therefore be applied if the warned still persisted in the commission of the crime.³ Death by stoning firstly found an expression in the popular fury analogous to 'lynching'. Later on, it came to be a socially acceptable and legally recognized method of execution. As such, stoning was regulated by law as an appointed means of capital punishment as noted in the Bible, Deuteronomy 17: 5-7 and Acts 7:58. Similarly, punishment by stoning is also mentioned in Acts 7: 57-58 as the means by which Stephen, the first martyr was sentenced to death – "And casting him forth without the City, they stoned up." ¹⁰

Legality of Rajm

It must be emphasized here that there is always a rationale (maqsad) for every act or legal ruling in Islam. This is a general philosophy of Islamic Law, the applicability of which does not exclude the concept of Rajm. In spite of various controversies which trail the legality or otherwise of the application of Rajm as a punishment for adultery as will be discussed later, the bottom line and a point of convergence is the fact that fornication and adultery are social vices which are vehemently kicked against by Islam to prevent the society from their negative social implications. Islam considers fornication and adultery, known in Qur'ānic term as zinā, a major sin, an indecent act and an evil path. Allah says in the Qur'ān "And come not near unto adultery. Lo! It is an abomination and an evil way". 11

The evil consequences of zinā as a social vice include paternity conflict over a child, hatred between the contesting fathers, matrimonial infidelity/disharmony and most often, spread of sexually transmitted diseases such as HIV AIDS. As earlier hinted at, social vices such as murder,

kidnapping, incest and blasphemy or apostasy were punished through execution by stoning in the Old Testament. It is to this effect that article 63 of Iran criminal code states that: Adultery is the act of intercourse including anal intercourse between a man and a woman who are forbidden to each other unless the act is committed unwittingly. ¹²

Rajm in the Light of Controversies

There are so many controversies expressed by scholars of Islam concerning the legality of punishment by stoning for the crime of adultery. While those who are strictly in support of this punishment based their arguments on various traditions of the Prophet (S.A.W.), the second school of thought vehemently opposed its legality going by injunctions contained in the Qur'ān.

Concerning the opposition to the legality of the punishment for adultery by stoning, some minority sects such as Kharijites found in Iraq as well as a small group of modernist scholars known as Ouranists are at the vanguard.-Their argument is that the injunction cannot be found in the Qur'an. 13 In the Sunni figh, stoning is declared as the punishment for sex that is not allowed at all under the Shari'ah. In contrast to the Sunni School, the Shi'a gives support to the legality of Rajm as a punishment for adultery. The position of this school is that some of the witnesses in this crime should be women. The rationale behind this could be the possibility of suspicion if the witnesses are all men in a case involving a woman. Besides, the school also considers mostly the witness of a woman as valid as half of a man's witness validity. Thus, before an accused is sentenced to Rajm in Shi'a system, the witnesses may be four men; three men and two women; two men and four women; one man and six women but

witnesses must include at least one man. This position, as maintained by the *Shi'a* is the generally acceptable principle prescribed in the Qur'ān. Furthermore, *Shi'a* jurists grant discretionary powers to the judge in cases of homosexuality to sentence the accused to death either by sword, *Rajm* (stoning to death), death by throwing from a high wall or burning the accused to death. ¹⁴

Among the four schools of Jurisprudence, the Hanafi and the Shafi' jurists uphold the legality of the application of *Rajm* as a penalty for adultery. In the Hanafi school of thought, the accused must be *Muhsan* i.e. an adult and a free Muslim who has previously enjoyed legitimate sexual relations in matrimony regardless of whether the marriage still exists or not. ¹⁵ The Shafi' school further recommends that the first stone be thrown by the Imam or his deputy in all cases, followed by the Muslim Community witnessing the stoning punishment. ¹⁶

The Hanbali Jurists considered the application of Rajm as a punishment for the married adulterers and adulteresses irrespective of whether they are divorced or widowed.17As for the Maliki school of thought, Raim is seen as the required punishment for illegal sex by a married or widowed person, as well as for any form of homosexual relations among men.18 It may be necessary at this juncture to reference some of the traditions of the Prophet (SA.W.) often quoted to argue in favour of stoning to death as the penalty for adultery. This will enable us see clearly the contents of such traditions which shall be subjected to critical analysis in the subsequent segment. One of such traditions is the one attributed to 'Aishah, the wife of the Prophet (S.A.W.) as follows: "The verse of the stoning and of suckling an adult ten times were revealed, and they were (written) on a paper and kept under my bed. When the messenger of Allah expired and we were

preoccupied with his death, a goat entered and ate away the paper" Another prominent tradition recorded by Imam Bukhari and which is credited to Abū-Hurayrah is quoted below:

A man from Banū Aslam came to Allah's Apostle while he was in the mosque and called (the Prophet) saying, "O Allah's Apostle! I have committed illegal sexual intercourse." On that, the Prophet turned his face from him to the other side, whereupon the man moved to the side towards which the Prophet had turned his face, and said, "O Allah's Apostle! I have committed illegal sexual intercourse." The Prophet turned his face (from him) to the other side whereupon the man moved to the side towards which the Prophet had turned his face, and repeated his statement. The Prophet turned his face (from him) to the other side again. The man moved again (and repeated his statement) for the fourth time. So when the man had given witness four times against himself, the Prophet called him and said, "Are you insane?" He replied, "No." The Prophet then said (to his companions), "Go and stone him to death." The man was a married one. Jabir bin 'Abdullah Al-Ansari said: I was one of those who stoned him. We stoned him at the Musalla ('Id praying place) in Medina. When the stones hit him with their sharp edges, he fled, but we caught him at Al-Harra and stoned him till he died. (SahihBukhari 7:63)

The above tradition was also recorded in a slightly different version by Muslim as follows:

Abu Hurayrah reported that a person from amongst the Muslims came to Allah's Messenger while he was in the mosque. He called him saying: Allah's Messenger. I have committed adultery. He (the Holy Prophet) turned away from him, He (again) came round facing him and said to him: Allah's Messenger, I have committed adultery. He (the Holy Prophet) turned away until he did that four times, and as he testified four times against his own self, Allah's Messenger called him and said: Are you mad? He said: No. He (again) said: Are you married? He said: Yes. Thereupon Allah's Messenger said: Take him and stone him. Ibn Shihab (one of the narrators) said: One who had heard Jabir b. 'Abdullah saying this informed me thus: I was one of those who stoned him. We stoned him at the place of prayer (either that of 'Id or a funeral'). When the stones hurt him, he ran away. We caught him in the Harra and stoned him (to death). (Sahih Muslim 17:4196; 17; 4191; 174198)

The first quoted tradition explains the reason for the disappearance of the verse from the Qur'ān, while the second establishes the application of the penalty (stoning to death) in respect of an adulterer from the tribe of *Aslam*. These two traditions are not the only ones narrated on the issue of *Rajm*, there are still many others. For example, in the *Sunan* of Abū Dāūd, another tradition attributed to Jabir Ibn Abdullah reported another case of stoning to death during the life time of the Prophet (S.A.W.) as follows: "A man committed fornication with a woman. So the Apostle of Allah ordered regarding him and the prescribed punishment of flogging was inflicted on him. He was then informed that he was married. So he commanded

regarding him and he was stoned to death". Other traditions of the Prophet often referenced by scholars on the topic of discussion include the following: The Prophet said:

"When unmarried couples fornicate they should receive one hundred lashes and banishment for one year. In the cases of a married male committing adultery with a married female, they shall receive one hundred lashes and be stoned to death. If one of the pair is unmarried, one hundred lashes and exile for a year.

The Prophet said: "Do not stone the adulteress who is pregnant until she has had her child." After the birth she was put into a ditch up to her chest and the Prophet commanded them to stone her. Khalid came forward with a stone which he threw at her head, and there spurted blood on the face of Khalid and he cursed her. The gentle Prophet prayed over her and she was buried. Malik came to Abu Bakr and said: "I am a base fellow for I have committed adultery." Abu Bakr replied: "Repent before the Lord and tell no one else." The man still felt guilty and went to Umar who gave him the same reply. Still feeling guilty he went to the Prophet who asked if he was ill or mad, married or single. On hearing that Ma'iz was healthy and married, the Prophet ordered him stoned to death.

The Prophet was told: "My son was employed with this man; he committed adultery with his wife. I gave 100 sheep and a slave girl in compensation." The Prophet said: "Take back your sheep and your slave girl. Your son will receive 100 lashes and a year in exile. As the adulteress has confessed, she will be stoned.

The above referenced traditions paint a clearer picture of what the controversies on the concept of *Rajm* look like considering what were recorded to have

transpired in the life time of the Prophet and his immediate successor, Abu Bakr. In other to have a holistic critical examination of some of the inconsistencies in the traditions, the paper shall go a step further to see what is mostly argued in support to the caliphate of Umar bn. al-Khattab, the second successor of the Prophet. Such traditions attributed to his era as the caliph include the following:

A man went on a journey with the slave-girl of his wife and went into her. The envious wife reported it to Umar who said the husband would be stoned unless the slave girl was owned by him. The wife spoke out to save him: "I had given her as a gift." 'Umar said, "I am afraid that after a long time has passed, people may say, 'We do not find the Verses of the *Rajm* in the Holy Book and consequently they may go astray by leaving an obligation that Allah has revealed.' Surely Allah's Apostle carried out the penalty of *Rajm*, and so did we after him."

A cursory look at most of the traditions quoted in this segment will definitely create more confusion in the readers; mind. However, critical and cross examinations of same call for series of fundamental questions and an urgent need for reconciliation. It is in pursuit of such an intellectual goal that scholars expressed various differences. This, research wants to argue, became necessary, in order to protect the new generation of Muslims from being plunged into serious confusion engendered by textual misgivings of contemporary scholars. In fact, the existence of such traditions referenced above on the pages of collections of revered traditionists such as Bukhari and Muslim adjudges the concept of Rajm as considerable for a non-stop discourse from time to time and generation to generation.

Concerning the Hadith of stoning and suckling an adult ten times which was reported to have been written on a paper and kept under the bed of 'Aishah, Muslim Scholars have rejected its wordings. The prominent argument is that all common routes of transmission for this version of the Hadith either contain narrators charged with dishonesty when disclosing their sources or in the case of the version of Ibn Hanbal's Musnad, conflict with all other versions which bear authentic routes and none of which mentions the goat eating the piece of paper. 19 Of course, it must be noted that the only verse which speaks on the punishment for commission of zinā which is translated to mean either fornication or adultery is contained in the Holy Qur'an as follows: "The adulteress and the adulterer, flog each of them giving a hundred stripes and let not pity for them deter you in the matter of obedience to Allah, if you believe in Allah and the Last Day. And let a party of believers witness their chastisement".20

This is a general legal injunction which applies to all irrespective of gender, marital or personality status. From this generalization, the divine Scripture brings out an exception which is a benefit to the womenfolk and specifically the slave among women. Regarding the exception, Allah says: "And when they (the slave girls) are taken in marriage, then if they are guilty of fornication $(zin\bar{a})$, they shall suffer half of the punishment which is inflicted upon free women". ²¹

The above quoted verses are the only Qur'ānic references for fornication and adultery and they clearly show that flogging, not death is the punishment for either fornication or adultery. Critically looking at Verse 25 of Chapter 4, legal possibility of death by stoning is diametrically unthinkable. Death or stoning to death can never be

thought of as an applicable and prescribed punishment for adultery. This is mainly because, stoning to death or death through any other means cannot be halved as possible and practicable in either imprisonment or flogging.

In furtherance to the expressed controversies engendered and inconsistencies brewed by various traditions quoted in support or against the legality of Raim as the punishment for adultery, another step may still be necessary in our adventure towards a clearer and reconciliatory exposition. To that effect, Shaybani is reported to have said in a conversation with Abdullah b. Aufa as follow: "Did the Holy Prophet (S.A.W.) stone to death? He said yes and I said: "was it before the Chapter entitled the Light (Suratun-Nur/ Qur'an Chapter 24) was revealed or after it?" the reply was I do not know". 22 Similarly, there is a particular Hadith attributed to 'Umar which also attracted the comments of scholars. This is the Hadith in which he is reported to have said: "There are people who say what about stoning for the punishment prescribed in the Book of Allah is flogging!" To such objectors, Umar's reply is stated as:

In what Allah revealed, there was the verse of *Rajm*, we read it, understood and guarded it. The Holy Prophet did stone adulterers to death and we also stoned after him, but I fear that when more time passes away, a sayer would say, we do not find the verse of Rajm in the Book of Allah.²³

In another version, he is reported to have added: "were it not that people would say that Umar added in the Book of Allah that which is not in it, I would have written it." According to Maulana Ali, what Umar meant by the Hadith quoted above was that the verse of stoning was to be found in the Jewish sacred book known as Torah and which, of course, is a Divine Book of Allah or Revelation. 25

In his argument, the use of the words Book of God for the Torah is common in the Hoy Qur'ān itself, the Torah being severally spoken of as *Kitāb Allah* in Qur'ānic portions such as the following:

Mankinds were one community, and Allah sent unto them Prophets as bearers of good tidings and as warners and revealed therewith the Scripture with the truth that it might judge between mankind concerning that wherein they differed. And only those unto whom the Scripture was given differed concerning it, after clear proofs had come unto them, through hatred of one another. And Allah by His will guided those who believe unto the truth of that on which they differed. Allah guides whom He wills unto straight path. ²⁶ Besides, Maulana 'Ali further argues that in all likelihood, Umar only spoke of *Rajm* as the punishment of adultery in the Mosaic Law and he was misrepresented. Otherwise, Umar could not have spoken the words attributed to him. ²⁷

Law of Rajm in the Theory of Abrogation

This segment of the paper is aimed at examining the truth about *Rajm* for a better understanding of its application during the life time of the Prophet (S.A.W.) and after his demise. It is our argument, of course, that various controversies examined in the above segment cannot be divorced from the application of the rule of abrogation (*naskh*) in the Qur'an. This submission is hinged on the argument of some scholars that it is only the recitation of the verse of *Rajm* that has been abrogated from the Qur'an, the application of the ruling still remains. This therefore requires a brief explanation on the concept of abrogation in the Science of *Tafsār*.

According to Maulana Ali, the theory of abrogation has arisen from a misconception of the use of

the word naskh by the companions of the Prophet. Some verses of the Our'an were not textually abrogated as erroneously conceived by many scholars. Rather, going by the submission of Maulana Ali, naskh is often spoken of when the significance of one verse was limited by another. Thus, the later would be said to have abrogated (nusikhat) the former. 28 Similarly, when the wording of a verse gave rise to a misconception and a later revelation cleared up the misconception, the word naskh was metaphorically used in connection with it. This principle does not imply that the first verse was abrogated but that a certain conception to which it had given rise was abrogated. 29 Conceptualizing the theory of abrogation in the former sense will therefore be suggestive of, using Maulana's language, thinking that Allah had made a wrong statement first and then recalled it. 30

The above argument finds credence in the application of naskh to statements of facts ('akhbār') in the Our'an as against the verse containing a commandment or a prohibition ('amrornahy). Also, the indiscriminate idea of hiding under the theory of abrogation if two verses seem irreconcilable to scholars is contrary to the teaching of Islam, maintained by Maulana. 31 The verse of the Our'an often quoted in support of such a scholarly exercise associated with the theory of abrogation is as follows: "Such of our revelations as We abrogate or cause to be forgotten, We bring (in place) one better or the like thereof. Know that Allah is able to do all things". 32 As a matter of application, if the above verse is to be taken in the literal sense, the argument would have been that Our'an 24 verse 2 which stipulates flogging is an abrogation of the supposedly abrogated verse of Rajm. In this sense, a rethink of "Recitation-abrogated and Rulingestablished" formulae advanced by the advocates of Rajm

JUSREL 2015

as the punishment for adultery becomes imperative. Thus, a literal interpretation of the above quoted Qur'anic verse on abrogation should be used to rethink a suitable parlance for the abrogation of the verse of Rajmas "Recitation-abrogated and Ruling-abrogated". submission is in tandem with Shaykh Hada's classification of abrogated verses of the Qur'an into three vis a vis Recitation-abrogated and Ruling-abrogated, Recitation-Ruling-abrogated and Recitationestablished and abrogated and Ruling-established. 33 It is in consonance with the above that Alauddin argues that "those who rejected the lucid unequivocal Our'anic decree regarding the punishment for adultery and fornication evidently place more trust in Ahadith of questionable men than the Qur'an which is the (most) authentic (words) ahadith of Allah" 34

At this juncture, it must also be emphasized that it was the practice of the Holy prophet, quoting from *Bashir al-Din*, that he (SAW) abided by the law of the Torah in deciding cases before a new commandment was revealed to him. In cases in which the guilty person was stoned to death, the crime was committed before the revelation of verse 3 of Chapter 24. He further argues that it is unconceivable that the Holy Prophet would contravene the crystal clear and unequivocal divine commandment of Allah in the Qur'an. ³⁵

The reports in the Hadith collections of Bukhari and Muslim concerning the stoning of a Jewish woman were a confirmation of the fact that the prophet used to judge Jews with their scripture. This is confirmed in the Qur'an as follows:

And We caused Jesus, son of Mary to follow in their footsteps, confirming that which was revealed before him and We bestowed on him the Gospel wherein is guidance and a light, confirming that which was revealed before it in the Torah- a guidance and an admonition unto those who ward off evil. Let the people of the Gospel judge by that which Allah has revealed therein. Whoso judges not by that which Allah has revealed; such are evil- livers. ³⁶

Also there is an evidence of the Apostolic judgement of the Christians by their Book in the following Qur'ānic portion: Is it a judgement of the time of ignorance that they are seeking? Who is better than Allah in Judgement to a people who have certainty in their belief. ³⁷ Finally, the Muslims were also judged by the Prophet and are to be judged according to their Scripture which is the Qur'an as stipulated below: O ye who believe! Take not the Jews and Christians for friends one to another. He among you who takes them for friends is one of them. Lo! Allah guides not the wrongdoing folk. ³⁸

Conclusion

Stoning as a gerund has been used by the Qur'ān in many verses but not in connection with punishment for adultery or fornication. Therefore, this paper has demystified the concept of *Rajm* so as to enthrone a proper administration of justice within the penal code of Islam. In doing this, the paper has been able to retrace the historical circumstances surrounding the codification of *Rajm* as a criminal penalty in Islam, examine various controversies which trail the acceptability or otherwise of the punishment with a reconciliatory exposition that reduced the inconsistencies which characterised the sources of the punishment to a blessing which the prophet of Allah was sent to serve for the Muslims in particular and the humanity in general. This is through the final Scripture

known as the Qur'an and in which the verse of Rajm cannot be established as the punishment for either adultery of fornication but flogging with hundred lashes.

References

- John L. Esposito. Eds. Muhsan: The Oxford Dictionary of Islam. (Oxford University Press), 2004.
- 2. Ibid.
- Sanaz Alasti. "Comparative Study of Stoning Punishment in the Religions of Islam and Judaism" in Justice Police Journal 4 (1), 2007, p.5.
- 4. Ibid.
- Sanaz Alasti. "Comparative Study of Stoning Punishment in the Religions of Islam and Judaism" in Justice Police Journal 4 (1), 2007, p.7.
- Rosenberg Irene. 2004. Maker of God's Mercy and the Four Biblical Methods of Capital Punishment: Stoning, Burning, Beheading, and Strangulation. 2004. 78 Tulane Law Review 1169.
- Sanaz Alasti. "Comparative Study of Stoning Punishment in the Religions of Islam and Judaism" in Justice Police Journal 4 (1), 2007, p.12.
- 8. Ibid.
- Sanaz Alasti. "Comparative Study of Stoning Punishment in the Religions of Islam and Judaism" in Justice Police Journal 4 (1), 2007, p.11.
- 10. Ibid.
- 11. Our'an 17:32.
- Sanaz Alasti. "Comparative Study of Stoning Punishment in the Religions of Islam and Judaism" in Justice Police Journal 4 (1), 2007, p.11.
- Ann Black E., Hussayn Ismail and Nadirsyah Hussayn. Modern Perspectives on Islamic Law. 2014, pp. 222-223.
- Nisrine Abiad. Shariah, Muslim States and International Human Rights Treaty Obligations in British Institute of International and Comparative Law. 2008, pp. 25-26.

- Elyse Semerdjian. 2008. Off the Straight Path. Illicit Sex, Law and Community in Ottoman Aleppo. (Suracuse University Press), 2008, pp. 22-23.
- 16. Ibid.
- 17. "stoning". Does it Have Any Basis in Shari'ah? Retrieved fromhttp://www.Islamonline.net on 2015-11-06.
- Nisrine Abiad. Shariah, Muslim States and International Human Rights Treaty Obligations in British Institute of International and Comparative Law. 2008, pp. 25-26.
- 19. Ismail Poonwala. 2007. The Pillars of Islam: Laws Pertaining to Human Intercourse. (Oxford University Press), 2007, pp. 448-457
- 20. Our'an 24 verse 2.
- 21. Our'an 4 verse 25.
- Bukhari: Mohammad Ibn Isma'il. SahihBukhari. (Lebanon: Dar al- Fikr Publication), 1401A.H.,86:21.
- Bukhari: Mohammad Ibn Isma'il. SahihBukhari. (Lebanon: Dar al- Fikr Publication), 1401A.H., 86:31.
- 24. Abu Daud 37:23.
- Maulana Muhammad Ali. The Religion of Islam. (Taj Company: Delhi), 1986, p.756.
- Our'an 2 verse 213.
- Maulana Muhammad Ali. The Religion of Islam. (Taj Company: Delhi), 1986, p.756.
- 28. Ibid, p.38.
- 29. Ibid.
- 30. Ibid, p.40.
- 11. Ibid, p.39.
- 32. Our'an 2 verse 106.
- Ibrahim B. Syed. Opposing Rajm: Stoning to Death on 2015-11-06.
- Alauddin Shabazz: Stoning is in Conflict with Qur'anic Injunction. Retrieved @ http:// WWW.IRFI.ORG on 2015-11-06.
- 35. Ibid.
- 36. Qur'an 5 verses 46-47.
- 37. Qur'an 5 verse 50.
 - 8. Qur'an 5 verse 51.