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#### Abstract

Population increase has led to increased pressures on many socioeconomically and ecologically important tree species including Vitellaria paradoxa C.F.Gaertn.and Parkia biglobosa (Jacq.) R.Br.ex G.Don. This portends great threats to the continued existence of such species including the myriads of goods and services they provide. There is need to put on record, scientific evidence of the current status of these species in order to draw attention to the pressures on their populations and the need for deliberate actions for their conservation and sustainable management. We assessed densities and distribution of Vitellaria paradoxa and Parkia biglobosa in some agro ecosystems in Oyo State, Nigeria with a view to generating information on the current stocking of the species in the various ecosystems and provide base line data for subsequent resource monitoring and sustainable management planning. Oyo State was stratified into three agro-ecological zones viz: dry-woodland/Southern Guinea savanna, moist-woodland/derived savanna and rainforest. A multistage sampling procedure was used in collecting data on densities and distribution of Vitellaria paradoxa and Parkia biglobosa in the agro ecosystems through field survey. Descriptive statistics, cross tabulation, simple t-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to analyze the data. Student's t-test and analysis of variance indicate that there was no significant difference at $5 \%$ level of probability in the densities of $V$. paradoxa and P. biglobosa in the cultivated and fallow land. Potential mother trees for regeneration were found mainly on crop farms while younger trees dominate the fallow plots. This is an indication of extraction of older trees on fallow plots apparently for domestic energy supplies. It is recommended that the management of $V$. paradoxa and $P$. biglobosa should be intensified through enrichment planting and deliberate cultivation in plantations in order to meet the high demand pressures on their populations.
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## INTRODUCTION

Traditional farming systems in the tropics are known to rely on trees and shrubs for soil fertility maintenance (FAO, 2004). The importance of trees in agro-ecosystems has long been realised by farmers, and this is evident in the deliberate incorporation of trees in crop prociaction systems (Sène,. 1985 and Hopkins, 1985). Inquiry into current and past farming practices has clearly shown that rural communities have a wealth of knowledge as to which trees improve agronomic crops' growth, provide fodder during dry seasons and help maintain soil structure for successful farming on sloppy terrain (Bayala, 2006). Traditionally, farmers in Africa preserve these valuable resources by nurturing them in agroecosystems. In fallow, the incidence of protected trees of varied species and sizes
indicates a strong correlation between conservation and the productive values of trees (Bonkoungou, 2002).

Many rural households in Nigeria depend on forest resources to meet their subsistence needs for staple and supplementary foods, construction materials, fuel, medicine, cash, local ecosystem services and farm inputs, such as animal feed and nutrients for crops (Raintree, 1999). In rural areas, the contribution of indigenous agroforestry fruit trees such as Vitellaria paradoxa and Parkia biglobosa to food supply is essential for food security as they provide an alternative source of food, when stored food supplies are dwindling绿d the agronomic crops are not yet ready for harvests.

Vitellaria paradoxa and Parkia biglobosa have been widely recognised as important indigenous fruit tree species throughout their range of distribution (Bonkoungou, 2002; Oni, 2006). They are highly valued by farmers for their economic potentials. Vitellaria paradoxa's fatty kernels are sold both in local and international markets, thereby contributing considerably to wealth creation. Parkia biglobosa seeds (used as condiments) play significant roles in the nutritional requirements and primary health care status of the people of Nigeria (Oni, 2006). Furthermore, both species are known to contribute significantly to farmers' income, particularly in the savanna region of Oyo State, Nigeria (Jimoh and Adedokun, 2005; Jimoh and Haruna, 2007).

Unfortunately, many stands of these species are being destroyed either for agricultural purposes or for charcoal production for the supply of domestic cooking energy. There is need to draw the attention of various stakeholders to the reduction in the wild populations of these valuable species in order to trigger off necessary actions for their conservation, enrichment planting and possibly deliberate plantation establishment. Therefore, this study aims at providing basic information on the current status of these species in three agro ecosystems in Oyo State, Nigeria.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Oyo State is located in South-western part of Nigeria. It lies between latitudes $7^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $9^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and longitudes $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. It has a total land area of 28,454 square kilometres. The vegetation pattern of the State is that of rain forest in the South and Guinea savanna in the North (Fig. 1).

The study area was stratified into three, based on ecological zones i.e. dry-woodland/Southern Guinea savanna, moist-woodland/derived savanna and rainforest (Odebiyi et.al., 2004). Two Local Government Areas (LGAs) were randomly selected from each ecological zone ( $18 \%$ sampling intensity) using the table of random numbers. They include: Atisbo LGA and Olorunsogo LGA from dry-woodland savanna; Orire LGA and Ibarapa East LGA from moistwoodland, Iddo LGA and Akinyele LGA from rainforest zone (Fig. 1). Stratified sampling technique was used to select four study sites in each of these LGAs. This was achieved by
partitioning each LGA into four geographical zones i.e. North, South, East and West, based on the information obtained from each LGA headquarters. A village/community was randomly selected to represent each zone in each LGA. Thus, a total of 24 villages/communities were selected and two land use types (crop farm and fallow land) were studied in each village.

Two 1-hectare plots were marked out for each land use type ( crop farm and fallow) and then, within each plot, two $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 50 \mathrm{~m}$ sub-plots were randomly selected for sampling. The numbers of trees of the two species ( 5 cm and above) found on the selected sub-plots were counted to determine their density, frequency and relative abundance. The trees were assessed for height using Hagar altimeter and diameter at breast height (dbh) using diameter tape. The measured trees were classified based on diameter, as saplings ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}-9.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), poles ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and adult trees (above 20 cm ). Each tree of the two species found on the selected plots was counted to determine the density and relative abundance of the different tree sizes.

Relative abundance was calculated using Eqn. 1:
Relative abundance $(\%)=\frac{\text { Number of trus in the size class }}{\text { Total number of trues }} \times 100$ ... Eqn. 1

Simple t-test was used to test for significant difference between densities of each of Vitellaria paradoxa and Parkia biglobosa in the two land use types

The simple $t$-test was estimated using Eqn. 2:


Where:
$\overline{X_{T}}=$ Means of densities of each species in crop
farm
$\overline{X_{C}}=$ Means of densities of each species in fallow land
$V a r_{T}=$ Variance of each species in crop farm
$\operatorname{Var}_{C}=$ Variance of each species in fallow land
$n_{T}=$ Number of each species in crop farm
$n_{C}=$ Number of each species in fallow land


Fig. 1: Map of Oyo State Showing selected LGAs used in the study (inset: Map of Nigeria showing Oyo State)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Species Densities and Distribution

The highest mean density of $V$. paradoxa was recorded in the fallow land of the dry wood land ecological zone ( 24.88 trees/ha) followed by 24 trees/ha in the fallow land of the moist wood land (Table 1). There were more saplings and pole size trees in the fallow land than in crop farms (Table 2) probably due to extensive removal of trees during land preparation and frequent removals during weeding of crop farms. This reduces the population that grows to mature trees which may eventually threaten the germplasm of the species, as mature trees which would produce seeds would not be available. The lowest mean density of $V$. paradoxa was recorded in the crop farm of rainforest ecological zone. This may be expected since the species is
peculiar to savanna ecosystems. In relation to size classes, mature trees of $V$. paradoxa of $\mathrm{dbh}>20 \mathrm{~cm}$ at average height of 18.2 m had the highest mean densities in the crop farm probably as a result of the fact that most of the seedlings and saplings might have been cleared off during land preparation while mature trees were given management preference. On the other hand, the dominance of the saplings of $V$. paradoxa in the fallow land may be attributed to the intensive exploitation of the adult trees for charcoal -making and effect of uncontrolled bush burning that might retard the growth of the young shoots of the species. It is shown in Tables 4 and 5 that densities of $V$. paradoxa among the three ecological zones were significantly different.

Table 1: $\quad$ Mean densities of $V$. paradoxa and $P$. biglobosa in agro-ecosystems of different ecological zones of Oyo State, Nigeria

| Ecological zones |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Land uses | Density (No. of Plants/ha) |  |
|  |  | V. paradoxa | P.biglobosa |
| Dry woodland | Cultivated land | 20.60 | 23.25 |
|  | Fallow land | 24.88 | 25.00 |
| Moist wood land | Cultivated land | 17.50 | 24.28 |
|  | Fallow land | 24.00 | 20.63 |
| Rainforest | Cultivated land | 3.75 | 5.38 |
|  | Fallow land | 3.38 | 5.00 |

Table 2: $\quad$ Relative abundance (\%) of $V$. paradoxa and $P$. biglobosa among ecological zones and between land use types in Oyo State, Nigeria

| Ecological zones | Land use | Relative abundance (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dry wood land |  | V. paradoxa |  | P. biglobosa |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0-9.9 \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{cm})}{10.0-20.0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >20 \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0-10.0 \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0-20.0 \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >20.0 \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | land | 20.06 | 29.73 | 50.21 | 9.52 | 30.72 | 74.16 |
|  | Fallow land | 49.23 | 27. | 23.05 | 21.10 | 27.62 | 65.24 |
|  | Cultivated land | 20.50 | 28.56 | 50.96 | 5.52 | 20.31 | 65.17 |
| Moist wood land | Fallow land | 58.3 | 31.09 | 50.56 | 12.96 | 16.27 | 58.36 |
|  | Cultivated <br> land | 18.60 | 14.07 | 18.00 | 7.15 | 20.78 | 21.08 |
|  | Fallow land | 14.67 | 16.67 | 17.67 | 10.02 | 15.81 | 19.16 |

There were significant differences in the densities of $V$. paradoxa in the two land use types of the three ecological zones (Tables 3 and 7). The higher density of $V$. Paradoxa recorded in the fallow land is in agreement with Popoola and Tee (2001) who reported a density of 23-105 trees/ha of $V$. paradoxa in the savanna ecosystem in Benue State, Nigeria. Conversely, Odebiyi et al. (2004) reported 3.8 and 4.3 trees $/ \mathrm{ha}$ in the fallow land in moist woodland and dry woodland, respectively in Nigeria.
The Highest density of $P$. biglobosa was recorded in the fallow of dry woodland ( 25.00 trees/ha) followed by the cultivated land of moist woodland ( 24.28 trees $/ \mathrm{ha}$ ). This is an
improvement over the findings of Odebiyi et al. (2004) who reported 8.2 and 5.2 trees/ha in cultivated and fallow land respectively. Analysis of Variance and simple $t$-test show that densities of P. biglobosa in the two land use types of the three ecological zones are not significantly different (Tables 4 and 6). This could be an indication that this species enjoys farmer's protection in all the ecological zones probably because of its economic and ecological benefits.
Distributions of $V$. paradoxa and P. biglobosa were significantly different in the three ecological zones; but their mean densities do not differ in moist woodland and dry woodland (Tables 4,5 and 6). This corroborates the
findings of Bonkoungou (2005) that $V$. paradoxa and $P$. biglobosa were equally distributed in African parkland and Odebiyi et al. (2004) that the species were almost equally
dominant at Igangan, Ogbomoso, Saki and Ilorin Moist woodland and Dry woodland ecosystems.

Table 3: $\quad$ Analysis of Variance (ANOVA for Densities of $V$. paradoxa in the two land use types of the three ecological zones

| SV | Df | SS | MS | F cal. | F tab. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ecological zones | 2 | 33450.04 | 1725.02 | $236.63^{*}$ | 3.23 |
| Land use types | 1 | 117.18 | 117.18 | $16.07^{*}$ | 4.08 |
| Error | 42 | 306.13 | 7.29 |  |  |
| Total | 47 | 3873.35 |  |  |  |

* = Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability.

Table 4: $\quad$ T-test for Densities of $V$. paradoxa in the three ecological zones.

| Ecological zones | Mean |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dry woodland | 22.25 a |
| Moist woodland | 20.75 a |
| Rainforest | 3.57 b |

* Means with the same letter are not significantly different $(P>0.05)$

Table 5: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Densities of P. biglobosa in the two land use types and the three ecological zones

| SV | Df | SS | MS | F cal. | F tab. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ecological zones | 2 | 3498.04 | 1749.02 | $291.99^{*}$ | 3.23 |
| Land use types | 1 | 7.52 | 7.52 | 1.26 ns | 4.08 |
| Error | 42 | 251.38 | 5.99 |  |  |
| Total | 47 | 3756.94 |  |  |  |

* = Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability. ns $=$ Not significant at $5 \%$ level of probability.

Table 6: T-test for Densities of P.biglobosa in the three ecological zones.

| Ecological zones | Mean |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dry woodland | 24.00 a |
| Moist woodland | 22.50 a |
| Rainforest | 5.19 b |

* Means with the same letter are not significantly different $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$

Table 7: T-test for mean densities/hectare of $V$. paradoxa in the land use types of each ecological zone

| Ecological <br> zones | Land uses | Mean | SD | Df | T. cal. | T.tab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dry wood | CL | 6.87 | 1.14 | 7 | $3.27^{*}$ | 2.36 |
| land | FL | 2.96 | 0.58 |  |  |  |
| Mioist | CL | 5.83 | 1.52 |  |  |  |
| Wood land | FL | 8.00 | 0.40 |  |  |  |
| Rainforest | CL | 1.13 | 0.40 | 7 | $3.50^{*}$ | 2.36 |
|  | FL | 1.67 | 0.77 |  |  |  |

Source: Field survey, 2011

* = Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability. ns = Not significant at $5 \%$ level of probability.

CL = Cultivated land
$\mathrm{FL}=$ Fallow land

Table 8: $\quad$ T-test for mean densities of $P$. biglobosa in the land use types of each ecological zone

| Ecological <br> zones | Land uses | Mean | SD | Df | T. cal. | T.tab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Dry wood <br> land | CL | 7.71 | FL | 8.29 | 0.42 | 7 |

Rainforest
Source: Field survey, $2011 \quad$ * = Significant at $5 \%$ level of probability.
Ns $=$ Not significant at $5 \%$ level of probability.
$\mathrm{CL}=$ Cultivated land $\quad \mathrm{FL}=$ Fallow land

## Population Structure

Generally, all size classes of the two species were not equally distributed in the two land use types. Saplings of $V$. paradoxa were less abundant on crop farm than the fallow land (Figs. 2 and 3) especially in the dry wood land and moist wood land. This is different from Odebiyi et al. (2004) who reported that saplings of $V$. paradoxa and P. biglobosa were absent in moist and dry woodland agro-ecozones. This might be as a result of seed dispersal
through animal or human factors in the study area during the time interval. In the dry and moist wood land ecological zones, mature trees of $P$. biglobosa were more abundant than those of V. paradoxa in the two land use types (Figs. 4 \& 5). This may be attributed to the exploitation of $V$. paradoxa for charcoal- making of which $P$. biglobosa is spared. Abundance of the two species was low in the rainforest zone of the study area apparently because they are savanna species.


Fig. 2: Size class distribution of $V$. paradoxa on cultivated land in the three ecological zones of Oyo State, Nigeria


Fig. 3: Size class distribution of $V$. paradoxa on fallow land in the three ecological zones of Oyo State, Nigeria


Fig. 4: Size class distribution of $P$. biglobosa on cultivated land in different ecological zones


Fig. 5: Size class distribution of $P$. biglobosa on fallow land in the three ecological zones

## CONCLUSION

The results of this study have shown that farming activities and wood extraction for domestic energy have marked impacts on the regeneration of the two species in study area. Although matured trees are retained on crop farms, they do not enjoy similar protection on fallow lands. The species are neither domesticated nor established in plantation like other economic tree species. This portends great danger for the sustainability of these species. Therefore there is need for intensive management of the species most especially the saplings on crop farms as well as the holistic conservation of the mature trees on the fallow land. Synergistic efforts are required on domestication, enrichment planting and plantation establishment of the species for sustainability. Farmers are advised to desist from felling live trees of these species for charcoal and firewood production.
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