NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION

NJSWE UNIVERSITY OF HBADAN

UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN

VOL.10, ISSN 1119-29 IX JUNE, 2011

NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION

VOL. 10 ISSN 1119-28 IX

2011

Published by: Department of Social Work University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION EDITORIAL BOARD. Editor-in-Chief Prof. Oluremi A.A. Bamisaiye From the second second

Faculty of Education University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

Guest Editor:

Prof. Oyesoji Aremu, Department of Guidance and Counselling, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

Managing Editor:

Dr. Funmi Folaranmi Department of Social Work, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

Editors:

Prof G.G. Ejikeme M.Sc, MSSN, Ph.D Department of Sociology Faculty of Social Science University of Jos, Jos. Dr. J.O. Fayeye, Department of Sociology, University of Ilorin, Ilorin. Dr E.O. Olumodeji, University of Jos, Jos. Dr. E.O. Okafor. Department of Sociology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. Dr. J.K Mojoyinola, Department of Social Work, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. Dr. E.M Ajala, Department of Social Work, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

Consulting Editors:

Prof Miu Chung Yan, School of Social Work, University of British Columbia, Canada. Prof. Uzo Anucha, B.Sc, MSc. Ph.D School of Social Work, York University, Toronto, Canada Prof. Linda Kreitzer, MSW, Ph.D. Faculty of Social Work University of Calgary Alberta, Canada Prof. Vishante Seapaul University of Kwazulu Natal South-Africa And

Maka 1 1797 - Visionalyye Sector 5 7 707 Marsh Mil

1. Line of the second secon

Editorial Advisers:

Advisers: Prof. A.E. Idyorough M.Sc. MSSW, Ph.D Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social Science, University of Jos, Jos. Prof. Funmi Togonu Bickersteth B.Sc. MSSD, Ph.D Department of Psychology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State. Prof. Olaolu Soyombo Department of Sociology, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos

Business Editor:

Dr. T.G. Adegoke, Department of Social Work, University of Ibadan, Ibadan.

> Bready Arrana, el., juinest Fellor

Editria Suppore devia des Conecil and do methos Perchelos; A piremai si Goidonce & Cospechina: University of Ilicata, Nigo Small esessionementematicon

standard, diver then scholandly and margins, Anorghie of the authors,

NJSWE UNIVERSITY OF JIBADAN

CONTENTS SOCIO-PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS AS DETERMINANTS OF TRADE UNION LEADERS' PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIA Article Page 1. Socio-Psychological Factors as Determinants of Trade Union Leaders' Performance in Nigeria - Dr Tajudeen Akanji & Dr Abiodun Ambode 1. 2. "Self" in the Nigerian Context: Implication for Social Work Practice in Nigeria Ernest Osas Ugiagbe, MSW, Ph.D and Mrs Helen E Eweka, MSW 14 An overview of Health Consequences of Overweight and Obesity 22 to Healthy Living - Babadare Abubakar Positioning Community Social Work in Peace Building: Frame Working Nigeria's Post-ethnic Communal Conflict Dr. Majaro - Majesty Henry Oluwasegunfunmi and Dr. Abiona I Adekeye 29 Educational Acceleration for the Non-gifted and Talented Children 5. in Nigeria: Putting Students' Social, Emotional and Intellectual Development at Risk - Fakolade, O.A. Ph.D and Archibong, I.E. 41 6. Visual Arts and Societal Development in the New Millenium **KAFARU** Abiodun 47 7. Effects of Safety Education Programme on Knowledge About Stress and Alcohol among Student Nurses in South-West Nigeria Isaiah Mobolaji Ojedokun (Ph.D) 54 Impact of Job Burnout on Workers' Well-being and Job Performance 8. in Selected Banks in Kwara State, Nigeria - E.M. Ajala, Ph.D. 64 9. Impact of Health And Socio-Economic Factors on Happiness and Life Satisfaction: A Study among People Living in Oke-ogun Area of Oyo State, Nigeria - J.K. Mojoyinola, Ph.D 75 10. Effectiveness of Self-instructional Strategy in the Management of School Violence among Transitional Students in Junior Secondary Schools in Ibadan, Nigeria Dr. Aremu, Oyesoji Amos, Adeyemi, Shade Vivian & Oke, Daisi Idowu 86 11. Knowledge and Attitudes on HIV/AIDS among University Undergraduates 101 in Ogun State, Nigeria - Adijat Motunrayo ARIYO. 12. Determinants of Household Food Insecurity: Comparison By Gender of Household Heads in a Peri-urban Setting Of Southwestern Nigeria. Olumakaiye, M. F. (Ph.D) 114 13. Assessment of Insidious Effects of Noise Pollution from Personal Music Playing Functions on Audictory Perception of Youths in Ibadan, Nigeria Dr. Osisanya, Ayodele & Dr. Ganiyu, Yinka Ololade 128

Gabesther Educational Publishers

ASSESSMENT OF INSIDIOUS EFFECTS OF NOISE POLLUTION FROM PERSONAL MUSIC PLAYING FUNCTIONS ON AUDICTORY PERCEPTION OF YOUTHS IN IBADAN, NIGERIA

Osisanya, Ayodele And Ganiyu, Yinka Ololade Department of Special Education, Faculty of Education University of Ibadan E-mail: ayo_osisanya@yahoo.com

Abstract

The study investigated the insidious effects of noise from personal music playing functions on the perception and understanding of speech sounds among the sampled youth population. A descriptive survey design was adopted for the study through the use of purposive sampling technique. Two hundred and fifty (250) samples drawn from the youth population in Ibadan metropolis participated in the study. A validated Hearing and Health Risk Exposure Scale, with reliability co-efficient of 0.75 was used to generate data for the study. The results were analysed, using frequency counts, percentage and bar charts. The findings revealed that continued usage of Music Playing Functions (MPFs) by the youths has negative effect on their auditory perception and functional ability of their hearing mechanism. 73.6% of the participants experience difficulty with their hearing as a result of exposure to MPFs. 66% of the participants claimed that exposure to such noise has affected their ability to concentrate and learn smoothly; while 68% of the participants always ask people to repeat themselves whenever on telephone or interpersonal conversation which in effect indicated reduced hearing functions and insensitivity of the hearing organs to speech sounds. Based on the findings of this study, it was recommended that the youths should avoid hearing exposure to high music playing functions that can generate health hazards. Government should come up with an enforceable policy that will enable the manufacturers of personal music players reduce the maximum sound output level of such gadgets, while all stakeholders, ministries and institutions concerned with education, health, youth affairs and related issues should mount up a regular public awareness campaign to curtail the insidious effects of noise exposure on the general wellbeing of all and sundry. Key words: Auditory Perception, Music Playing Function, Noise, Sound, Youth

Introduction

e

4

3

2

2

f

2

y

3

2

l

3

S

f

1

1

5

Hearing as one of the sensory functions is referred to as the ability to localize, detect and perceive sound signals through the use of in-built mechanical and physiological apparatus, bio- electrical impulses and cognitive functions to attach meaningful understanding to the perceived sound signals based on the auditory perceptive functions whenever there is acoustical vibrations and stimulation (Martin & Clark,2012). As vital as this sensory function might be, this ability to perceive sound signals can be impaired by a lot of factors in which excessive exposure to noise (high and loud sound) is one of them.

Sound is an essential and constant component of human environment (Pope & Mays, 1995), but whenever it is excessively high (loud), then it becomes unwanted, unexpected by virtue of its being unpleasant, interfering and harmful to human systems (Osisanya, 2008). In line with this, any loud sound is referred to as "Noise" because of its discordant and negative impacts on the general well-being of the people. Noise is any sound regarded as unwanted sound for having irregular frequencies and intensities (Osisanya, 1998). It may be a continuous or intermittent sound, and may be of high frequency or low frequency, which is undesired for a normal hearing. For example, the typical cry of a child produces sound which is mostly unfavourable to normal hearing since it is unwanted sound or better to be classified as 'Noise' (Rao, 1995).

Noise can be defined as a loud, irritating, unpleasant sound or a kind of random disturbance in an electric circuit which interferes with the reception of sound signals. According to Deepak (2008), '*Noise*' has several types which include: air-traffic noise; noise from rail road; construction noise; noise in building; noise from consumer products; noise in industry and road-traffic noise which is more peculiar to the cities. The main sources of road-traffic noise are motor and exhaust system of automobiles, smaller trucks, buses and motor cycles, narrow streets, and tall buildings which produce a canyon in which traffic noise reverberate (Environmental Protection Agency, 1994). In the world over today, road-traffic and community noise remain the leading environmental noise pollution. On the other hand, air-traffic noise is a kind of loud sounds from engines of aerop-lanes. helicopters and all kinds of aircraft (Cantoni, 1991; & Weiner, 1990). Rail road noise comprises of excessive noise from locomotive engines, horns and whistles, and shunting operation in rail yard (Cantoni, 1991). Hence, this can affect neighbouring communities and rail road workers. Deepak (2008) in his article on noise pollution, asserted that rail engines can produce a high frequency and high level screech that can reach peak levels of 120dB or 140dB at distant feet. Construction noise which includes noise from the construction of high ways, city streets and buildings is the major contributors to noisy situation in urban areas. The source of this noise includes pneumatic ham-mers, air compressors, bulld-ozers, loaders, dump trucks (and their back up signals) and pavement breakers. Due to this kind of noise, apartment dwellers are often annoved by the effect of such noise in their homes, especially, if the building is not well designed and constructed. Internal building noise which emanated from plumbing, boilers, generators, air conditioners, and fans can also be audible and annoying (Wetherill, 1987). In addition, improperly insulated walls and ceiling can as well reveal the sound of amplified music, people's voices, noisy activities footballs and from neighbouring units. Use of certain household

equipments such as vacuum cleaners and some kitchen appliances has also been identified to be noise producing equipment. The con-tributions of the household equipments and kitchen appliances to daily noise dose are usually minimal. Also, it has that vard maintenance been found equipment, such as lawn mowers and snow blowers which can be hazardous to hearing, if used over a longer period of time, as it has been identified as noise makers (Weiner, 1990; Cantoni, 1991).

Industrial noise is usually considered mainly from the point of view of environmental health and safety, in the sense that sustained exposure to noise can cause permanent hearing damage, as the noise generated by numerous operation which take place in the industrial settings through the sound output of various engines used, usually have a deleterious effect on man. Adegbenro (1998) observed that in Nigeria. noise pollution is becoming a great nuisance to environmental sanitation, espe-cially, in urban and industrial cities. However. industrial noise is capable of generating noise induced hearing loss (NIHL) which occurs when loud sound is transmitted into the auditory system. In relation to this, Owolawi (1998) affirmed that noise induced hearing loss has been one of the commonest hazardous conditions suffered by most workers at high risk occupation and locations such as industries, factories, airport. Noiseinduced hearing loss is an increasingly prevailing disorder resulting from continuous exposure to high intensity sounds, especially, over a long period of time. Gelfand (2001) submitted that noise-induced further impairments are usually associated with a notch shaped high frequency sensori-neural loss, although, the notch often occurs at 3000Hz, but it is worse at 4000Hz. The symptoms of noise-induced hearing loss are usually presented equally in both ears and usually occur initially at high frequencies (3; 4 or 6KHZ) and then spread to the low frequencies such as 500Hz; 1KHZ; 2KHZ (Chen & Tsai, 2003).

Effect of noise varies from its effect on hearing, to commun-ication, health and could also have some psychological effects. For instance, Gelfand (2001) observed that some of the abnormalities of noise include metabolic exhaustion of the hair cells, structural changes and degeneration of structure within the hair cells. Osisanva (1998) noted that high level of noise always interferes with verbal communication. Thus, when an individual's communication is interfered, such poses threat on the life of such person, because noise is capable of causing some physiological problems such as heating on human, a sensation of vibration in the bones of the cranium, movement of air in the sinuses and nasal passage, blurring of the visual organ and difficulty in maintaining balance and coordination (Sampath, Muratis & Kuma, 2004; Nakamoto, Lino & Kodera, 2005).

To this end, Rabinowitz (2000) that noise influences man admitted physiologically and socially, and that, it can impair hearing, intrude on communication, be disturbing, and could lead to fatigue and reduce effectiveness and productivity of anyone exposed to such noise. In essence, continuous exposure to intense noise or long term exposure to noisy environment can lead to permanent impairment of audit-ory cells, and consequently, diminishes hearing ability. Ademokoya (1995) noted that noise-induced hearing loss could lead to mental breakdown. Also, it has been observed that exposure to excessive noise is a major cause of hearing disorders worldwide, according to the findings of the Scientific Comm-ittee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCEN-IHR, 2008).

In line with the general observation and assertion, the leading cause of noise pollution is industrial noise. However, nowadays, noise from Personal Music Playing Functions (PMPFs) has more devastating effect compared to all other types and sources of noise pollution. According to SCENIHR (2008), excessive noise can damage several cell types in the ear and lead to tinnitus, temporary or permanent hearing loss. In fact, studies have shown that excessive exposure to PMPs and functional music at maximal or near maximal output volume can lead to irrever-sible temporary and hearing impairment with regular evidence of tinnitus (ringing in the ear), as well as psycho-social imbalance. Tinni-tus and hearing fatigue may occur more frequently in teena-gers chronically exposed to music, including PMP users, than non-users (SCENIHR, 2008). Also, in line with the Year 2008 report of SCENIHR, it was evident that every individual who is exposed to noise from personal music players and mobile phones, including a music playing functions is liable to some health hazards or difficulties. The report also indicated that over the last few years then, there was a trend of an increasing population risk due to constant usage of PMPFs, with improved qualities, better packaging and attractive design. Based on the improved design of these PMPFs, the increase in unit sales of portable audio devices including Music players three (MP3) has been phenomenally high in Europe and African countries over the last four years (2004 - 2007). Since then, there has been increase in the health risk associated with the use of personal music playing functions. A sample of social noise on a sampled population of 18 - 25 years of

age in the United Kingdom (UK) by Smith, Davis, Ferguson and Lutman (2000) showed that the level of preferred listening on PMPs was 74dB(A); which was 72.6% in the low noise category and 80.3% in those with significant social noise exposure. At the tail of distribution, it was found that 6.9% adjusted to levels in excess of 90dB (A). The average level of adjustment in a quiet room was 85dB (A) with a mean value of 92dB in those who had significant social noise exposure (Smith et.al, 2000). Similarly, the Royal National Institute for Deaf People (RNID) in the year 2005 carried out a study regarding hearing loss and the use of MP3 players and personal stereo over a period of 12 days. The result of the study showed that 66% of young people (18-30years old) go clubbing at least once a month. 73% of people who have ever been to a club, gig, concert or festival have had ringing in their ears (tinnitus); 64% of the respondents reported that PMPFs have negative impact on their lives; 46% of the same category of respondents acknowledged that ringing in the ears shows an indication of a kind of ear damage. At the same time, some of the respondents acknowledged that the ear damage may be permanent depending on the length or period of exposure.

Based on the above and the rate at which students are being exposed to noise from personal music playing functions; it is evident that most of them seem not aware of the potential health risk and implication of this as deleterious to their hearing and general well being. In fact, some of the youths who are exposed to the excessive use of music playing functions lack the understanding of the side effects of this electronically controlled acoustic energy producing gadgets. Hence, it is worthwhile to expose the youths to the potential health risk as a result of continuous exposure to noise from personal music playing functions.

Purpose of the Study

The study investigated the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music playing functions among the youths in Ibadan. Specifically, the study intended to:

- assess the level of awareness of the students on the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music playing functions;
- identify major potential health risks of exposure to noise from music playing functions;
- examine the effects of exposure to noise from personal music playing functions on the general well being of the youths;
- determine how exposure to regular high musical sounds can lead to health imbalance;
- 5. suggest ways of educating adolescents on the potential risks of exposure to noise from personal music playing functions and
- serve as an advocacy for the preventive care and strategies to safeguard the hearing mechanism and to dissuade the youths from indiscriminate or excessive use of personal music playing functions.

Research Questions

- 1. Are the youths aware of the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music playing functions?
- 2. What are the major potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music playing functions?
- 3. How can exposure to personal music playing function lead to health imbalance among the youths?
- 4. What are the ways through which public awareness could be raised on the hearing conservation, insid-ious effects of

excessive sound exposure and the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music playing functions among the youths?

Methods

Research design

The method adopted for this study was the descriptive survey research design. This method was chosen because it helped to describe record, analyse and interpret the conditions that exist naturally.

Population

The main population for the study comprised all the youths in Ibadan metropolis of Oyo state.

Sample and sampling techni-ques

This study involved Two hundred and fifty (250) youths purposively selected from Ibadan North Local Government Area of Oyo State. The samples were between the ages of fifteen (15) and thirty five (35) years, and were of both masculine and feminine gender.

Research Instrument

The instrument used for the study was a validated research scale which covers both the objectives and research questions of the study. The scale "Hearing and Health Risk Exposure Scale" was an adapted version from the Potential Health Risk Exposure to Noise Scale (PHRENS), to suit the existing nature and culture of Nigerian society. The of thirty-six instrument consists (36)structured questions, divided into three sections. The first section consists of personal information about the respondents, while the second consists of items which provide information on the hearing functions and use of personal music players, and the third section involved some set of statements that require the sincere responses of the respondents to health related issues and advocacy. Cronbach alpha was used to test the reliability of the instrument and reliability co-efficient was found to be 0.75.

Methods of Data Analysis

Data collected through the research instrument were analysed with the use of descriptive statistical techniques of frequency counts, percentage and bar charts.

Results

The demographic information of the participants based on the responses in line with age and gender was presented in the bar chart and tables below.

Age range	Frequency	Percent
15-20 years	46	18.4
21-25 years	121	48.4
26-30 years	64	25.6
31-35 years	19	7.6
Total	250	100



Figure 1: Age Distribution of the Respondents

Figure 1 above shows that 18.4% of the respondents were between 15-20 years while those between 21-25 years represented 48.4%. Others were between 26-30 years and

31-35 years, and they were 25.6% and 7.6% respectively. By implication, those who were between the age- ranges of 21-25 years represented the largest proportion among the sampled proportion.

Sex	Frequency	Percent
Male	146	58.4
Female	104	41.6
Total	250	100

Table 2: Sex distribution of the respondents based on percentage



Figure 2: Sex Distribution of the Respondents

Figure 2 above shows that 58.4% of the respondents were males, while 41.6% were females. This indicates that male gender is more vulnerable to the use of personal music player.

Which statement best describes your hearing function?	Frequency	Percent (%)
Good	199	79.6
With little trouble	39	15.6
With lot of trouble	2	0.8
Difficulty in hearing sounds	9	3.4
Total	249	99.6
Have you had your hearing	Yes 84	33.6
tested at any time before now?	No 165	66.0
and the first sector and the	Total 249	99.6
If yes, when was the last time it	Less than 1 year 56	22.4
was tested?	1-3 years ago 14	5.6
	3-5 years ago 9	3.6
	More than 5 years 3	1.2
	Total 82	32.8
Have you ever worn any type of	Yes 2	0.8
hearing aid prior to this time?	No 248	99.2
	Total	100.0

 Table 3: Frequency distribution of the respondents in percentage based on persona assessment of hearing functions

Table 3 shows that 79.6% of the respondents regarded their hearing as "good", 15.6% reported having "little trouble", 0.8% claimed having a "lot of trouble", while 3.4% reported "difficulty in hearing sound signals". 33.6% of the respondents have had their hearing tested and 66% of the respondents haye not had their hearing tested. 22.4% of those had their hearing tested did so within the last one year, while

5.6% had their hearing tested in the last 1-3 years, 3.6% had their hearing tested in the last 3-5 years and the remaining 1.2% had their hearing tested more than five years ago. As regards wearing of any kind of hearing aid, it was found that 0.8% of the tested had worn a hearing aid prior to this study, while the remaining 99.2% of the respondents had not worn a hearing aid.

Table 4: Frequency distribution	based on the use of person	nal music player	
How often do you listen to a	Frequency	Percent (%)	
personal stereo/mp3 player?	Regularly	42.0	
	105	34.3	
All Dar 1	Occasionally	16.8	
6-11	87	6.0	
	Rarely	al insuit in	
	42	99.6	
	Not sure		
	14	anany survey colored	
	241	paron and the same year	
	Total		
	249	्र रेग का का समय	
When using personal stereo, how	Less than 1 hour p/day	4.0	
long do you listen to it?	10	31.2	
	2-3 hours per day	43.6	
	78	19.6	
	More than 3 hours p/day	O AUTAUE CASA LEAN	
1.2.41	109	98.8	
	More than 5 hours per		
	day 49		
and the second second second	Total	ab to Water and a day	ē. 8
THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	247	a an gritten tital	
Do you always use headphone	Yes	62.4	
with your mp3 player?	156	30.8	
nun sanah itan hami a ami n	No	at of Miranlef * bots	
S	77	93.2	
Q	almost and in the	o been in the second	
	Total	L late and had a	1
	233	the quint parts	

From table 4 above, as regards the listening time to personal stereo on a daily basis, it was revealed that 42.0% of the respondents regularly listen to personal stereo, while 34.3% of the respondents listen to MPFs occasionally. 16.8% rarely listen to it while the remaining 6.0% of the respondents claimed not sure how often this is used. Based on the usage of their personal music players, 4.0% of the respondents stated using MPFs less than 1 hour per day, while 31.2% admitted using it up to three hours per day. 43.6% use it more than three hours per day, and 19.6% indicated using it more than five hours per day. On the issue of using headphone, 62.4% claimed that they always use headphone with their mp3 player, while only 30.8% said "No". By implication, majority of the youths in our society use MPFs more than three hours per day regularly and continuously.





Figure 3 above shows that 13.6% of the respondents do listen to rock music, 16% pop. 19.6% listen to R'n'B, 27.2% do listen to dance music. 12.0% listen to radio/TV only. While the remaining 9.6% listen to any other kinds of music such as Gospel music

etc. With these findings, there is a clear indication that majority of the youths listen to dance music, rock, pop and R'n'B regular.



Figure 4: Bar chart showing the respondents in line with when using personal stereo

The information above reveals that 13.6% of remaining 4.0% of the sampled population the respondents do listen to their personal use it when in the car. stereo whenever they are reading, 16.4%

listen to music at home, 11.6% do that in Answering the Research Questions school, 41.6% when relaxing, 7.6% when Research Question 1: Are the youths aware

walking, 4.0% when troubled, while the of the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music players?

Table 5: Responses of the respondents based on the awareness of health risks

I am aware of the dangers	Frequency	Percent (%)
associated with the noise from	Yes	58.4
personal music players.	146	41.6
	No	100.0
	104	
	Total	
2	250	

Table 5 above shows that 58.4% of the respondents were aware about the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music playing function, while 41.6% were not aware of the health risks prior to the time of this study.

Discussion of Findings

Based on the responses of the continued usage in spite of the awareness of respondents about awareness of health risks the risk involved attests to the addictive properties and value attached to MPFs by the players, it was discovered that majority of you this all over the world.

the respondents had the knowledge of damaging effects of MPFs to their healthy living but they still used it. The study corroborated the year 2005 study of Royal National Institute for Deaf People (RNID) which admitted that 80% of youths interviewed knew the risk and implication of exposure to high volume noise. This continued usage in spite of the awareness of the risk involved attests to the addictive properties and value attached to MPFs by the you this all over the world.

Research Question 2: What are the major potential health risks from personal music playing functions?

When I use personal music players	Frequency	Percentages (%)
consistently, I usually feel headache.	Yes	64.4
	161	35.6
	No	The reaction and
	89	100.0
	Total	STUDIET THOTE AND
even where the effect of	250	Line to be been that
Noise from personal music players	Yes	25.2
always disturbs my daily life/activities	63	74.8
	No	
	187	100.0
	Total	
	250	
I have to get people to repeat themselves	Yes	68.0
whenever I am using phone.	170	32.0
and second all the reduct 14.	No	
	80	100.0
	Total	A. 19 (1997)
	250	ter All to All and
I cannot hear people very well when I	Yes	70.0
am using personal music players except	175	30.0
they speak louder.	No	100.0
	75	A CONTRACTOR
	Total	
	250	
Most times I experience difficulty to	Yes	73.6
hear and speak for a period of time	184	26.4
immediately I stop using personal music	No	
players.	66	100.0
	Total	
	250	

Table 6: Types of Health risks from MPFs

Dan-

Table 6 reveals responses of respondents as regards major potential health risks from personal music players; this implies that the respondents who claimed to have headache when using personal music players were 64.4%, while those who claimed otherwise were 35.6% of the sampled population. Only 25.2% agreed that noise from personal music players constitutes nuisance to their daily life, while 74.8% claimed not having any difficulty with noise on their daily activities. 68% of them agreed that they always get people to repeat themselves whenever they are using the phone, while those who disagreed were 32%. As regards hearing well when using personal music players, 73.0% of the respondents responded having difficulty hearing others when using music players. Also, 73.6% of the respondents reported having difficulty to communicate very well after using their personal music players.

Discussion of Findings

The findings revealed that majority of the respondents agreed having difficulty in interpersonal communication most of the time as a result of regular exposure to personal music players. Most of the respondents always feel uncomfortable, restless and constant headache due to regular usage of personal music playing functions. The finding was in line with that of Muralikrishna (1995) that noise has a severe impact on human and living organisms, and that noise is capable of causing annoyance, physiological prob-lems, poor human performance, sleeplessness and thereby inducing the people to become restless, lose concentration and presence of peace of mind during their daily activities.

Research question 3: How does exposure to personal music playing functions (noise) affects the general well being of youths?

Table 7: Effects of Noise on General- well being

I can hear better in one ear than the other- one of my ears is better in function than the	Frequency	Percentage
other	Yes 184	73.6
	No	20.1
	66	100.0
	Total	100.0
	250	
I can hear better in a quite environment than	Yes	49.6
in a noisy area	124	50.0
the second se	No	
	125	99.6
	Total	
	249	
I feel exposure to noise has hampered my	Yes	63.6
ability to read and memorise well.	159	36.4
	No	
	91	100.0
	100	
	Total	
	250	
I feel, exposure to noise has affected my	Yes	66.0
ability to concentrate and learn smoothly.	165	34.0
	No	
	85	100.0
	Total	
	250	
After listening to loud music I sometimes	Yes	512
hear a high frequency in my head with no	128	48.4
external source of sound being presented	No	10.1
(ringing in the ear.)	121	99.6
(Total	22.0
	249	~

Table 7 above shows responses of the respondents as regards how exposure to noise affects the youths as a result of constant usage of personal music playing functions. 73.6% of the respondents claimed better hearing in one of their ears, 63.6% observed that their ability to read and well has been affected memorise considerably and that they could not concentrate and learn smoothly as a result of constant usage of personal music playing function. 51.2% of the respondents confirmed having ringing in the ear

(tinnitus), which is the basic effect of exposure to noise.

Discussion of Findings

Based on the responses of the respondents on the effect of noise on the youths, it is evidently clear that personal music playing functions have deleterious effect on the general well being of the youths. Majority of the respondents reported having difficulty with their daily activities. This finding is in agreement with that of Osisanya (1998) which affirmed that exposure to noise is capable of creating tinnitus (ringing in the ear), poor memory and inability to hear well with competing sound signals. Also, the outcome is in line with the observation of Royal National Institute for Deaf people (RNID) in the year 2005 that 73% of people who have ever been to club, concert or festival have that ringing in their ears after a night out or in the morning after.

Research Question 4: What are the ways through which public awareness could be raised on the hearing conservation, insidious effects of excessive sound exposure and the potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music players among youths?

adde of according to methods of a done man chess constant of the	Table	8:	Respons	es to	Methods	of Pu	iblic A	wareness	Considered	Effective
--	-------	----	---------	-------	---------	-------	---------	----------	------------	-----------

To alert users to stop listening to music at	Frequency		Percentage
high volume for long period of time	Yes	210	84.3
because it might damage hearing	No	39	15.6
mechanism.	Total	249	99.6
Youths should be discouraged from	Yes	201	80.4
wearing or using headphone/ ear piece	No	49	19.6
without adequate control.	Total	250	100.0
Government should mandate the	Yes	191	76.4
manufacturers of the music playing	No	59	23.6
functions to limit the maximum sound	Total	250	100.0
output of the gadgets.			
If you were aware that noise from	Yes	205	82.0
personal music players can damage your	No	45	18.0
ears, would you stop using it?	Total	250	100.0
To advocate for industrial safety	Yes	214	85.6
regulation/ control	No	35	14.0
and the second s	Total	249	99.6
Promotion of health talk/ education is	Yes	213	85.4
considered necessary.	No	37	14.8
Second second second second second second	Total	250	100.0

Table 8 above reveals that 84% of the respondents preferred the users of MPFS to be dissuaded from listening to music at high volume for a long period of time, while 80.4% of the respondents expressed that the users should be discouraged from using earpiece without adequate control measure. 76.4% of the respondents expect government to come up with enforced policy on the maximum sound limit of the MPES 85.6% support advocacy/ direct public campaign to curtail exposure to noise, especially, from MPFS, while only 14.8% did not support advocacy for industrial safety regulation control. Finally those who supported the promotion of health talk/education as one of the media through which awareness can be disseminated on the potential health risk exposure to noise from personal music players among the youths were 85.2%; while 14.8% represented those who feel there is no need for such promotion of health talk/education.

Discussion of findings

The findings of this study served as a way to sample the mind of the consumers towards the pros and cons of personal music playing functions. The outcome in a way represents the expectation and feeling of the consumers towards the prevention of insidious effects of exposure to MPFs. This study concurred with the findings of Kim, Hong, Shim, Kim, Cha and Yeo (2009) in which no significant difference in hearing was found with respondents who used Personal Listening Devices (PLDs) daily, however; respondents who used PLDs for longer than five years had higher hearing thresholds, while those respondents who used PLDs for longer than 15 years also had higher hearing thresholds at 4,000 Hertz. These findings suggested that hearing was negatively affected with longer PLD usage, particularly at higher frequencies. Kim et. al (2009) therefore suggested that consumers be made aware of the long term effects of PLDs on hearing health and learn to adopt safer listening practices to prevent hearing loss.

Conclusion

Continuous usage and exposure from music playing functions has been found to have deleterious effects on the auditory perception and functional hearing ability of the youth population. The effects are capable of placing a serious plague on their communication ability as well as impair their socio-educational advancement if preventive measure is not in place. In fact, the exposure has greatly affected the general wellbeing of the sampled youths, based on ignorance and addictive psycho-social lifestyle. Therefore, this paper is of the opinion that both teenagers and adolescents should be dissuaded from regular usage of music playing functions, and concerted advocacy cum enlightenment campaign be mounted to curtail the explosion of sudden hearing impairment among the Nigerian youths.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are given:

- youths should try to avoid regular or uncontrolled exposure of their hearing functions to technological gadgets such as earpiece of personal music player that can generate damaging noise for a long period of time;
- ear protectors should be worn by youths that engage in menial jobs in noisy environments such as autom-obile, industries, quarries, manufacturing firms, feed mills and gun firing areas;
- iii. all youths should be made to go for hearing assessment so as to determine the status of their hearing sensitivity or

144

insensitivity, and endeavour to conform to conservation guides;

- iv. youths should take regular breaks from using head-phones in order to give their ears a rest;
- v. youths should avoid using high volume to draw out background noise because this could adversely affect their hearing sensitivity;
- vi. manufacturers of techno-logical gadgets should be constrained by law to install a sound limit on personal music players or reduce the maximum sound output level of all the personal music playing functions;
- vii. health authorities should educate youths on the poten-tial dangers of continuous exposure to loud music, and how to protect themselves against it;
- viii. parents should try to dissuade their wards from using personal music players for long period of time;
- ix. religious societies should ensure that maximum sound output of their public address system (PAS) should be made low in line with Health and Safety Act;
- (x) individuals should consider perfect hearing as a necessity. Therefore, they must not allow any habit or attitude to send them out of natural speaking and hearing realm;
- (xi) government should come up with an enforceable policy that will enable the manufacturers of personal music players reduce the maximum sound output level of such gadgets and
- (xii) all stakeholders, ministries and institutions concerned with education, health, youth and related issues should mount up a regular public awareness campaign to curtail the insidious effects of noise exposure on the general well being of all and sundry.

References

Adegbenro, C.A. (1998). Effect of industrial noise pollution. *Nigerian journal of speech and hearing.* 1, 48-50

Ademokoya, J.A. (1995). An effect of direct and indirect instructional strategies or reasoning skills of some hearing impaired students. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Ibadan

Cantoni, C. (1991). Letter to David Pritzker, Administrative Conference of the United States, Mar. 16, 1991.

Chen and Tsai (2003). Hearing loss among workers at an oil refinery in Taiwan. Archives of Environmental Health. 58(1), 55-58

Deepak, M. (2008). Noise Pollotion; sources, effects and control. Retrieved from: http//www.legalerviceindia.com/articles/noip .htn

Environmental Protection Agency (1994). Environmental Protection Agency Act

Gelfand, S. (2001). Auditory systems and related disorders: essentials of Audiology: second edition. New York: Thieme

Kim, M.G., Hong, S.M., Shim, H.J., Kim, Y.D. Cha, C & Yeo, S.G. (2009). Hearing threshold of Korean adolescents associated with the use of personal music players. *Yonsei Medical Journal;* 50 (6), 771-776.

Martin, F.N.S., & Clark, J.G. (2012). Introduction to Audiology (11th). New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc

Muralikrishna, K. V. (1995). Air pollution and control In Kaushal & Co., & Kakinda, A.P. ed. Nakamoto, Y., Lino, Y., & Kodera, K. (2005). Temporal bone histopathology of noise-induced hearing loss. *Nippon Jibiinkoka Gakkai Kaiho*. 108(2), 172-81

Osisanya, A. (1998). The imply-cations of Oto-Destructive pro-perties of Noise in Nigerian society. *Nigerian journal of speech and hearing*. 1, 44-47

Osisanya, A. (2008). Influence of noise pollution on the overall speech perception of the urban dwellers in Nigeria. Being a paper presented at the 17th Annual Scientific Conference of Otolaryngological Society of Nigeria (ORLSON), Ile-Ife, November 24-26, 2008

Owolawi, W.O. (1998). Noise induced hearing loss. *Nigerian journal of speech and hearing*. 1, 41-43

Pope, C., & Mays, N. (1995). Qualitative research: reaching the parts other methods cannot reach: an introduction to qualitative methods in health and health services research. 311, 42-45

Rabinowitz, P. (2000). Noise-induced hearing loss. *American family physician*. 61:2749-2756, 2759-2760

Rao, P.R. (1995). Noise pollution and control. *Encyclopedia of environmental pollution and control*; 2

Royal National Institute for Deaf People (2005). Research reveal huge risk of hearing loss amongst MP3 player and personal stereo users.

Sampath, S., Muralis, S.D., & Kuma, V.S. (2008). Ambient noise levels in major cities in Kerala. *Journal of Indian Geophysics union.* 8(4), 293-298

Scientific Committee on Emer-ging and Newly Identified Health Risks (2008). Potential health risks of exposure to noise from personal music players and mobile phones including a music playing function. Statement adopted at the 26th plenary session

Smith, P.A., Davis, A., Ferguson, M., & Lutman, M.E. (2000). The prevalence and type of social noise exposure in young adults in England. *Noise and Health*. 2(6), 41-56

Weiner, E. (1990). Rising clamor by airport neighbors shows gains in fight against noise. *N.Y Times National*, Apr. 17, p. A10.

Wetherell, E.A. (1987). Control of noise and vibration in dwellings: A practical alternative *Inter-Noise* 87, Beijing, China.

a light frame hands of a south of