NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION



UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN

VOL.14, ISSN 1119-28 IX JUNE, 2015

NIGERIAN JOURNAL

OF

SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION

VOL. 14 ISSN 1119-28 IX

June, 2015

Published by:
Department of Social Work,
University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Art	ticle	Page
1.	Psycho-Social Correlates of Antepartum Anxiety among Women Attending Antenatal Clinics in Odeda Local Government Area of Ogun State, Nigeria –Kotila, P.T. MSW and Mojoyinola, J.K., Ph.D	1
2.	Demographic Variables and Social Networking-Competence as Determinants of Under graduates' Use of Smartphones as Collaborative Learning Media in Private Universitie In Oyo State, Nigeria - Egunjobi A. Olusegun, Ph.D.	
3.	The Triple Burden of Child Labourers: Impacts on Education - Omorogiuwa Tracy, B.I	E 21
4.		30
5.	Breast Cancer-Related Knowledge and Attitude As Correlates Of Screening Intentions among Female In-School Adolescents and Young Adults in Ibadan, Oyo State –Asuzu Chioma, Akin-Odanye Elizabeth Oluwatoyin and Udaghe Angela	43
6.	Overall Injustices, Workplace Deviance and Turnover Intention among Educators and Supporters in Ogun State Universities- Sheyin, Adejoke O, Ph. D	57
7.	Social Work and Development: The Nigerian Experience - Ernest Osas Ugiagbe, MSW, Ph.D, Uyi Benjamin Edegbe, MA, M.sc and Eweka Helen Ehizogie M.sc	73
8.	Psychosocial Factors as Correlates of Non-Compliance with Medication among Hypersive Patients in Lagos, Nigeria - Olubunmi Tolulope Wuraola and Ojedokun, I. M. Ph. D	rten-
9.	Effect of Culture and Human Rights on Sexual Orientation in Africa - Ayangunna, James A., MSW, Ph.D.	96
10.	Undergraduates' Knowledge and Perception of Social Work Course Programme at Bal University in Ogun State- Olaore, Augusta, Ph.D, Ezeokoli Rita Nkiruka, Ph.D and Olufade Olaoluwatomi Farida	bcock 108
11.	Determinants of Quality Romantic Relationship among Emerging Adults in Tertiary Institutions in Oyo State, Nigeria- Alade Adebunmi O, Ph.D	119
12.	Emerging Issues on Women Enrolment in ODL: A Conceptual Critique-Odeyemi Ola Janet, Ph.D	jumoke 134
13.	Job Satisfaction, Work Stress, Leadership Style and Motivation as Correlates of Job Absenteeism among Secondary School Teachers in Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria - Owodunni, Abdulfatai Adekunle and Adisa, Peter Oluwafemi	147
14.	Reducing Stigmatization among Persons with Mental Illness: Challenges for the Psych Social Workers- Abimbola Afolabi, MSW	niatric 159
15.	Refuse Disposal Behaviours Among Rural and Slum Dwellers in Lagos Metropolis, La	agos

DETERMINANTS OF QUALITY ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP AMONG EMERGING ADULTS IN TERTIARY INSTITUTIONS IN OYO STATE, NIGERIA

ALADE Adebunmi O, Ph.D

Department of Counselling and
Human Development Studies
Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan,
Ibadan. Nigeria.
aladebunmi2008@yahoo.com

Abstract

Romantic relationships undoubtedly play a major role in the lives of most young adults since it is the building blocks for a healthy adult marriage. However, attachment style formed in early life through interaction with caregivers and significant others and the individual's personality composition provide a spring board for quality romantic relationship in readiness for marriage. The present study using descriptive survey research design, investigated the predictive influence of personality traits, attachment styles, age and gender on quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions in Oyo state. The sample comprised male and female young adults (N=450) aged between 18-25 years old who responded to three standardized self report questionnaires. Three research questions were raised and answered in the -study. Results indicated that there was significant correlations among the independent variables with correlation coefficients ranging from r=.286 to r=.861 with quality romantic relationship. Multivariates regression analyses indicated that the contribution of the independent variable was significant $[F_{(9,441)} = 2.37]$; p<.0.05] which jointly accounted for about 92.2% variation in the prediction of quality romantic relationship. Conscientiousness made the most significant contribution (β = 1.096; t=31.483; p<0.05) to the prediction while neuroticism ($\beta=.003$; t=.128; p>0.05) and gender (β = .004; t= .007) p>0.05) had insignificant relative contribution. The study provides empirical evidence to suggest that psychological factors, like age and gender have a role to play in quality romantic relationship among emerging adults.

Keywords: Age, Attachment styles, Emerging adults, Gender, Personality traits, Romantic relationships quality

Introduction

Romantic relationship is a significant source of preoccupation and ponderings, as well as a major cause of strong emotional bonding among adolescence. It is regarded as the hallmark and a pivotal developmental task, which plays a major role in the lives of

emerging young adults. In developmental perspective, however, romantic relationships are embedded in fundamental human motivations which form and maintain close relationships and exist in a meaningful progression of relational forms across the life course. Studies on social development in the transition from adolescence to adulthood reiterates the importance of earlier relationships with parents and peers in constructing the social landscape on which adult romantic relationships is established (Dalton, Frick-Hornbury & Kitzman, 2006; Giordano 2003; Giordano, Longmore & Manning 2001). However, there is increased independence from family and association with peers as the adolescent progresses into adulthood and though relationships with parents are maintained, new relationships are formed different from the initial crossgender affiliations to dyadic partnerships. Although it is evident that many emerging adults have difficulties in forming, building maintaining quality and romantic relationship, a great number of them make several attempts and are often frustrated and suffer depression at the little or no effect the effort is yielding.

Dyadic partnerships such that exists in romantic relationships is marked by an amalgam of love, passion, and actual or anticipated sexual activity. In fact, Conger, Cui and Lorenz (2010) stated that romantic relationships, particularly during emerging adulthood, is a quest to build a relationship that is stable, satisfying and in which intimate closeness with partners is achieved. Emerging adulthood has been defined as a specific stage of life between the ages of 18 and 25. They are neither adolescents nor adults with full responsibility for themselves and for others where they evaluate possible romantic relationships, estimate views, seek and prepare for future careers

(Arnett, 2000, 2004). At this stage of development, romantic relationships are voluntary and symmetrical. This is in contrast to the kinship or legal bonds that circumscribe care-giving commonly relationship. It also involves dependency which is reciprocal between the partners, unlike the more asymmetrical dependency of on caregiver. The reciprocal romantic partners dependency of explained to be both greater and more extensive than the reliance of friends.

This romantic bonding is important because contributes relational it to development and foretells the quality of intimate relationships adulthood. in Consequently, it gives young people a sense of identity and serves as a source of social status and not only does it shape the experience, it also presages marital union in adulthood. It is described as the learning context and training ground for future romantic and marital relationships. Young, Furman and Laursen (2010) emphasized that the establishment of romantic relationship and close connection social successful functioning, psychological and emotional well-being and life satisfaction. The inability to develop or maintain relationships successful romantic associated with emotional and physical distress. Thus, romantic experiences are, therefore, the initial steps of a journey towards a mature relationship that is expected to characterise the adult years (Connolly & McIsaac, 2009).

Over time, attachment theory has been a useful theory for conceptualising romantic relationships. Romantic adult partners serve similar attachment functions and satisfy the same needs as primary caregivers do in infancy and the knowledge that an attachment figure is available and responsive provides a strong and pervasive

feeling of security. Although several studies have focused on the individual differences in romantic attachment; and an accumulating body of evidence suggests that most activities are designed to promote and support healthy marriages in adults, yet not many studies are focused on addressing the challenges of emerging adults at building quality romantic relationship. Therefore, this study proposes to examine the extent to which the attachment style, personality and gender will determine quality romantic relationship among emerging adults as they prepare for marriage.

The influence of personality on romantic relationships cannot be because partners' overemphasized the personality is shaped by their interactions with each other and the way romantic partners see each other is a fundamental source of an intimate, healthy and satisfying relationship. Research has shown interrelatedness between general personality. traits and many types of behaviours. Even literature reveals unambiguously that there is a reliable association between self-reported personality traits and relationship quality and satisfaction (Watson, Hubbard, & Wiese, 2000; Robins, Caspi, & Moffitt, 2000, 2002).

Personality refers to the particular combination of emotional, attitudinal and behavioural response patterns of individuals. Caspi, Roberts & Shiner (2005) stated that personality shapes how individuals experience, interpret, and respond to the developmental tasks they face across the life course. More specifically, personality continues to be an important predictor of relationships in adulthood and there is no doubt that enduring, stable personality traits influence how people approach and view their relationships and impact the quality of their relationships. It is, therefore, essential to assess and understand the role of personality within romantic relationships.

The Big Five Factors also called the Five Factors Model (FFM) (Costa & McCrae, 1997) after extensive review have been developed and validated as a widely accepted personality model. The Big Five Personality Traits is a five broad domains or dimensions of personality used to describe human personality. These are neuroticism, extraversion, openness to experiences, agreeableness and conscientiousness.

In this taxonomy, Neuroticism refers to the tendency of an individual to experience unpleasant emotions easily, such anxiety. depression. anger, vulnerability. It also refers to the degree of emotional stability and impulse control, and is sometimes referred to by its low pole-Highly stability. emotional individuals (i.e., individuals high on negative emotionality) are anxious, moody, and insecure in relationships. Extraversion is used to describe an individual with energy, positive emotion, assertiveness, sociability and the tendency to seek stimulation in the company of others and talkativeness. Individuals high on extraversion or positive emotionality are generally described as outgoing, animated, and lively. Individuals low on extraversion or positive emotionality are quiet, submissive, and inhibited.

Furthermore, openness to experience (sometimes called intellect) is the least-well understood trait of the Big Five dimensions and reflects individuals who are inventive and creative. Openness to experiences reflects appreciation for art, emotion, adventure, unusual ideas, curiosity, and variety of experiences. It reflects the degree of intellectual curiosity, creativity and a preference for novelty and the variety a person has. It is also described as the extent to which one is imaginative or independent and depicts a personal preference for a

variety of activities over a strict routine. Agreeableness is a tendency compassionate and cooperative rather than suspicious and antagonistic toward others. It is also a measure of one's trusting and helpful nature, and whether a person is generally well tempered or not. Agreeable thoughtful. individuals are kind. supportive and disagreeable people are · impolite, stubborn. and aggressive. Conscientiousness refers to the tendency to show self-discipline, act on duty, and aim for achievement planned rather spontaneous behaviour, organised and dependable. Individuals who are highly conscientious are responsible, focused, and organised; but those who are low on this trait are irresponsible, forgetful, and unreliable (Atkinson, Atkinson, Smith, Bem Hoksema, 2000).

Attachment style is another important psychological construct that determines quality romantic relationship. The term attachment style represents relatively stable behavioural patterns within one's close relationships. The concept of attachment style is based on the pioneer work of Bowlby (1967) which suggests that the formation of attachment relationships is important to humans across the lifespan. He explained that the attachments formed during the early stages of childhood between an infant and a primary caregiver has a great impact on personality and development of intimate social relationships in adulthood through the use of early relationships as a template by individuals approach which future relationships.

Other researchers such as Hazan &Shaver (1987) used the theory of attachment styles to determine and explain the social relationships, romantic relationships and sexual relationships in youth periods and adulthood. These early

attachment provides an 'internal working model' that guides relational behaviour and expectations as an adult, and forms the basis for adult romantic attachments (Rholes & Simpson, 2004). Also, Mikulincer & Shaver (2012) suggested that the cognitive-affective representations of the self and of others are proposed mechanisms underlying continuity and stability of attachment patterns across ones lifespan and as such influences personality development, psychological functioning, behaviour, and affect regulation in later relational contexts.

Although secure or insecure strategies were originally measured in categories, in recent years, researchers have begun to assess adult attachment on a dimensional basis. Brennan, Clark, & Shaver (1998) identified two reliable dimensions of attachment style namely: attachment avoidance and anxiety. The interaction between these two dimensions determines the individual's attachment strategies and security level. Accordingly, attachment security represents the ability to approach a partner for affection and keeping him or her close, when necessary (Edelstein & Shaver, 2004). On one hand, attachment insecurity has been identified as having either higher levels of attachment avoidance or attachment anxiety (Brennan et al, 1998). Attachment anxiety is defined as involving a fear of interpersonal rejection or abandonment, an excessive need for approval from others, and distress when one's partner is unavailable or unresponsive. On the other hand, attachment avoidance is defined as involving fear of dependence and interpersonal intimacy, an excessive need for self-reliance, and reluctance to self-disclose. People who score high on either or both of. these dimensions are assumed to have an insecure adult attachment orientation. Contrastingly, people with low levels of

attachment anxiety and avoidance can be viewed as having a secure adult attachment orientation (Brennan et al., 1998).

Generally, most research findings are unanimous that romantic relationship qualities vary with age. It was reported that early adolescents subscribe to affiliation or companionships while older adolescents and young adults have more committed, loving, and supportive relationships (Shulman and Kipnis 2001; Shulman and Scharf 2000). Also, Seiffge-Krenke (2003) reported that young adults considered support from their romantic partners as more important than support from their best friends and parents compared to younger adolescents who rate parents or peers higher or do not differentiate support from parents, peers, and partners.

Empirical investigations on gender differences in relationship qualities suggest that females are more relationship-focused than males (Galliher, Welsh, Rostosky, & Kawaguchi 2004). Females are reported to value relationships more for interpersonal qualities while males value them for physical attraction (Feiring 1996). Joyner and Udry (2000) reported that females are more likely to engage in romantic relationships, and experience the emotional and consequences more directly than males. Also, Giordano et al. (2006) stated that females often have long histories of intimate friendships with peers and may be more inclined to turn to them for support which invariably affects their adult relationship quality. Females, compared to males, are considered more "relationship-oriented" and often take more responsibility for maintaining relationships, romantic otherwise (Wood, 2000). However, recent research offers a portrait of gender differences in relationships that is somewhat different from that suggested by past studies. For instance, Giordano et.al (2006) reported

that males have less confidence and a fairly similar level of emotional engagement to relationships than females. Perhaps gender norms are changing (Risman &Schwartz 2002).

Statement of the Problem

Relationships have long been considered an important part of human life and existence. Generally, males and females romantic relationships important in their lives. This suggests that not only parents and peers, but also romantic partners can play a significant role in development. In recent times, establishing a healthy, high-quality, satisfying romantic relationships that can set the stage for successful relationships into adulthood has become difficult and gloomy task for most emerging adults. This is evident in the vast proportion of young adults moving in and out of romantic relationships, which has increased the number of young adult delaying marital commitment. More importantly, the complexity of romantic relationships is exhibited by those who are courageous enough to venture into romantic relationship. These individuals, however, are often not able to able to sustain the commitment to marital life, hence, end up with troubled or unstable relationship. The issue is further confounded by the recent sociological trends in the society today with marked increase in number of failed romantic relationship amongst young adults.

While potential romantic relationships experiences help in setting the stage for formation of stable relationships during young adulthood with prospect for a healthy adult development, it becomes imperative to understand the determinants impacting romantic relationship quality of emerging adults. Therefore, this study seeks to examine the extent to which personality

traits, attachment styles, age and gender determines quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions in Oyo State.

Research Questions

- 1. Is there a relationship among the independent variables (personality traits, attachment style, age and gender) and quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions?
- 2. What is the joint contribution of independent variables (personality traits, attachment style, age and gender) on quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions?
- 3. What is the relative contribution of independent variables (personality traits, attachment style, age and gender) on quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions?

Methodology Research Design

Descriptive survey research design of the *expo-facto type* was used to execute the study since it was considered the most appropriate to systematically describe the phenomenon under study without manipulation.

Participants

Young adults between 18 and 25 years old in tertiary institutions in Oyo state were the target population for this study. However, three major higher institutions of learning situated in semi-urban cities in Oyo state were purposely selected. This was necessary to achieve some sort of uniformity in environmental and cultural influence interplay. These comprised of both male (215) and female (235) students of Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo, Ibarapa Polytechnic, Eruwa

and Oyo State College of Agriculture, Igboora. A simple random sampling technique was used to select one hundred and seventy five students from each institution. Respondents were randomly drawn from four faculties within the institutions based on availability. However, only a total of four hundred and fifty students participated in the study.

Instrumentation

Network of Relationship Inventory– Revised (Furman & Buhrmester, 1985)

Network of Relationship Inventory-Revised was used to assess the qualities of romantic relationships. The inventory measures nine positive qualities (i.e., companionship, affection, disclosure, nurturance, instrumental aid. approval, support, reliable alliance, and satisfaction) and five negative qualities (i.e., conflict, exclusion. dominance. criticism. pressure). Each factor is assessed by three items that are rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (little or none) to 5 (the most). Participants who reported that they romantic partners completed the scales. A romantic partner was defined as "someone physically attracted to, have had intimate contact with (e.g., hand holding, kissing, etc.), considered to be more than a friend, and go out on 'dates' with." Internal consistencies were .94 to .95 (positive qualities) and .83 to .84 (negative qualities). reported reliability whole scale coefficient of 0.91.

Experiences in Close Relationships (Brennan, Clark, & Shaver, 1998)

To assess individual differences in attachment style, participants completed the Experiences in Close Relationships (Brennan, Clark, & Shaver, 1998)). This measure is a 36-item questionnaire that

includes two subscales (avoidant and anxious attachment) with 18 items each. The avoidance of close relationships scale assesses the individual's difficulty with emotional intimacy and relying on someone for support (e.g., "I find it difficult to allow myself to depend on romantic partners"). The anxiety about close relationships scale assesses the desire to be extremely close to one's partner but coupled with concerns about abandonment (e.g., "I worry that my romantic partners won't care about me as much as I care about him/her"). Items were answered on a 7-point scale (strongly disagree =1 to strongly agree = 7). Good internal reliability was demonstrated for attachment-related avoidance and attachment-related anxiety items (Cronbachα in the range of .92 Anxiety and .93 Avoidance).

Big Five Inventory (Johns & Srivastava, 1999).

The five factors of personality were measured with the 44- items Big Five Inventory (BFI) developed by Johns & Srivastava (1999). The BFI reliably assesses Extraversion (α = .80), Agreeableness (α = .73), Conscientiousness (α = .77), Neuroticism (α = .81), and Openness to Experience (α = .78). It contains items such as "I See Myself as Someone Who..

"Tends to find fault with others", "He is outgoing, sociable" etc.

Procedure

The research instruments were

administered with the help of six research assistants in the ratio of two assistants per institution. The research assistants were trained on how to administer questionnaire and the need to guide the respondents in ensuring the questionnaires were properly filled was emphasized. The participants were assured of confidentiality of their responses and adequately briefed on the essence of the research which is mainly for academic purposes and the need to cooperate with the researcher. A total of five twenty and five questionnaires were administered to the participants in the three institutions. However, a total of four hundred and fifty (450) questionnaires were properly filled and retrieved from participants for further analysis.

Method of Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using Pearson's product moment correlation and multiple regression analysis at 0.05 significant level.

RESULTS

Research **Question** One: Is there relationship independent among the variables (personality traits (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) attachment style (avoidant attachment and anxious attachment), age and gender) and quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions?

Table 1: Descriptive statistics and Inter-correlations among the variables

							0					
Variables	N	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Romantic Relationship	450	32.73	8.69	1.00								
Extraversion	450	12.02	4.54	.640**	1.00						-	
Agreeableness	450	11.44	4.34	.836**	.592**	1.00						,
Conscientiousness	450	10.59	4.51	.861**	.782**	.747**	1.00					
Neuroticism	450	10.58	4.11	.775**	.715**	.785**	.807**	1.00				
Openness	450	13.76	4.27	.286**	.299**	.371**	.604**	.408**	1.00			
Avoidance attachment style	450	21.80	6.23	.527**	.346**	.649**	.696**	.553**	.750**	1.00		
Anxious attachment style	450	20.76	4.56	.572**	.463**	.469**	.669**	.535**	507**	723	1.00	
Age	450	9.98	2.36	.612**	.536**	.489**	.664**	.573**	.705**	.721	.233	1.00
Gender	450	10.38	3.39	.712**	.662**	.709**	.636**	.581**	.592**	.813	.683	.300

Key: **Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Table 1 contains descriptive statistics and inter-correlations among the study variables. As shown in the table, emerging adults' quality romantic relationship is significantly correlated with: (1) Extraversion (r = .640; p<.05); (2) Agreeableness (r = .836; p<.05); (3) Conscientiousness (r = .861; p<.05); (4) Neuroticism (r = .775; p<.05); (5) Openness (r = .286; p < .05); (6) Avoidance attachment Style (r= .527; p<.05); (7) Anxious attachment style (r = 0.752; p< 0.05), (8) Age (r = .612; p < .05); (9) Gender (r = .712;There were also significant p<.05). independent among the correlations

variables. This implies that quality romantic relationship is related to an individual's personality traits, attachment style, age and gender.

Research Question Two: What is the joint contribution of independent variables (personality traits, (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) attachment style (avoidant attachment and anxious attachment), age and gender) on quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions?

Table 2: Multiple Regression Analysis on Emerging Adults' Romantic Relationship

Multiple R (adjus	ted)=.961			•					
Multiple R^2 (adjusted)=.922									
Standard error of	estimate= 2.42								
	Analysis of var	riance							
1	Sum of square (SS)	DF	Mean square	F					
Regression	1301.53	9	144.61	2.37					
Residual	26804.94	440	60.92						
Total	33906.46	449							

Table 2 shows that the independent when pulled together have variables significant effect on the emerging adults' quality romantic relationship. The value of R (adjusted) = .961 and R^2 (adjusted) = .922. The analysis of variance performed on the multiple regressions yielded an F- ratio value of 2.37 and was found to be significant at 0.05level. The implication of this is that all the independent variables jointly predict relationship quality romantic among emerging adults.

Research Question Three: What is the relative contribution of independent variables (personality traits, (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) attachment style (avoidant attachment and anxious attachment), age and gender) on quality romantic relationship among emerging adults in tertiary institutions?

Table 3: Relative Contribution of Independent Variables to the Prediction

	Unstandardized	Standardized co			
	coefficients		T	p	
Model	В	Standard error	Beta		
Constant	21.752	.550		39.526	p<0.05
Extraversion	.620	.047	.324	13.285	p<0.05
Agreeableness	.873	.050	.437	17.370	p<0.05
Conscientiousness	2.113	.067	1.096	31.483	p<0.05
Neuroticism	.007	.055	.003	.128	p>0.05
Openness to	.634	.045	.312	14.170	p<0.05
Experience					
Avoidance	.243	.037	.174	6.556	p<0.05
Attachment Style					
Anxious Attachment	.432	.026	.247	5.337	p<0.05
style					
Age	.283	.057	.274	1.556	p<0.05
Gender	.433	.004	.007	.523	p>0.05

Table 3 reveals that each of the independent variables made significant contribution to the prediction of emerging adults' quality romantic relationship. of magnitude of contribution, terms conscientiousness made the most significant contribution (Beta= 1.096; t= 31.483; p<0.05) to the prediction. Other variables contributed in the following order: agreeableness (Beta= .437; t = 17.370; p<0.05); extraversion (Beta= :324; 13.285; p<0.05); openness to experience (Beta= .312; t= 14.170; p<0.05); age (Beta= .274; t= .1.556; p<0.05) anxious attachment style (Beta = .247; t = 5.337) and avoidance attachment style (Beta = .174; t = 6.556, p<0.05), while neuroticism (Beta= .003; t= .128; p>0.05) and gender (Beta= .004; t= .007; p>0.05) had insignificant relative implies contribution. This agreeableness. conscientiousness. extraversion, openness to experience, age, anxious attachment style and avoidance independent attachment style made significant contribution to the prediction of relationship among quality romantic emerging adults while neuroticism and gender did not.

Discussion

present study The examined determinants of quality romantic relationship among emerging adults. The results of the study showed that all the independent variables- personality traits (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) attachment style (avoidant attachment and anxious attachment), age and gender) significantly influenced the dependent variable-quality romantic relationship quality among emerging adults in tertiary institutions. This result corroborated several studies which show that personality traits influence

romantic relationship quality (Watson, Hubbard, & Wiese, 2000; Robins, Caspi, & Moffitt, 2000, 2002; Donnellan, Conger, & Bryant, 2004; Bouchard & Arseneault, 2005; Fisher& McNulty 2008). Extraversion and agreeableness were positively associated with relationship satisfaction and intimacy. agreeableness, Extraversion. openness conscientiousness and experience were linked to the quality of relationships, although romantic consistently than neuroticism. It buttressed the report of Engel, Olson, and Patrick (2002) that conscientiousness was a significant predictor of intimacy for both female and male and of commitment for male alone. A plausible explanation for this is that conscientiousness may be associated with intimacy because the personality factor achievement involves self-control and orientation which may lead conscientious individuals to express greater intimacy in order to achieve more successful relationships. In addition, conscientiousness is associated with reliability, persistency, and goal-fulfillment, which may help explain the association between this personality traits and relationship quality evident through commitment and intimacy.

Also, this finding is in line with Caughlin, Huston and Houts (2000) report that those who are high in neuroticism and generally characterised as anxious, irritable, and emotionally unstable were typically less satisfied in their romantic relationships than those who are low in neuroticism and have less stable relationships. Neuroticism was negatively associated with and predictive of satisfaction intimacy. and Further, neuroticism has been shown to be prospectively linked declines in to relationship satisfaction in relationships and lower levels of satisfaction in future romantic relationships (Donnellan,

Larsen-Rife, & Conger, 2005).

It is also not surprising attachment style has significant relationship with romantic relationship quality. This is in agreement with previous studies (Hazan & Shaver, 1987; Mikulincer, Shaver, & Pereg, 2003; Stackert & Bursik, 2003;) that individuals who are higher in attachment security are more likely to be in long-term. stable relationship and generally report more frequent positive and less frequent negative emotions, as well as greater interdependence, satisfaction. commitment in their relationships. It implies that the individual differences in adult attachment behaviour reflect the beliefs and expectations that people have themselves and their intimate relationships in a previous attachment relationship. These activation models are relatively constant and reflect the individual's primary relationship the caregiver. Thus. childhood with affect adult romantic attachments relationships. Campbell, Simpson, Boldry and Kashy (2005) also reported that anxious attachment is linked to the tendency to exhibit heightened distress levels and a desire to have attachment figures close by or available. Furthermore, they tend to have lower satisfaction than securely attached individuals. In addition evidence indicates that romantic relationships are also more conflictual than are relationships of securely attached couples, most likely due to anxious individuals' intense emotional reactions and how critical their relationships are to their own well-being.

Also, Stackert and Bursik (2003) reported that avoidant attachment individuals are often uncomfortable with emotional intimacy and relying on others for support due to their negative views of others as untrustworthy or unsupportive. They experience less satisfaction with their

relationships and provide less support to their partners (Collins & Feeney, 2000; Feeney & Collins, 2001; Simpson, Rholes, Oriña, & Grich, 2002). In adulthood, people who are more avoidantly attached tend to limit intimacy in their romantic relationships.

In relation to age, this result reechoed the view of previous studies (Furman
2002; Collins 2003; Roisman et.al 2004;)
that as individuals become older, their
relationships tend to increase in commitment
and interdependence, and these relationships
more closely mirror adult relationships. This
implies that there is increase in support from
romantic relationships as youth grow older
thus encouraging support from partners
relative to support from friends and parents.

This result showed that gender did not significantly contribute to the prediction of quality romantic relationship. contradicted earlier findings (Wood, 2000; Yoyner & Udry 2000; Galliher, Welsh, Rostosky, & Kawaguchi 2004; Crockett & Randall, 2006;). However, it supported the findings of Giordano et al., (2006); Risman and Schwartz (2002) that males have less confidence and a fairly similar level of emotional engagement to relationships than females buttressing the fact that gender norms are indeed changing. This implies that beyond gender, the individual is a relational being. Either male or female, issues bothering on intimacy and romance are of equal importance to the individual.

Conclusion

A primary reason for recent scholarly interest in the transition to adulthood is the great shift in the sustenance of relationship and change in the order of major events in the life course of most emerging adults. This study provided empirical data to suggest that personality traits, attachment styles, age and

gender all determine quality romantic relationship among emerging adults though neuroticism personality traits and gender in isolation made insignificant contribution to quality romantic relationship. findings illustrate the complex processes by which personality might affect quality of close social relationships in the short run, and the longer run. They suggest a sequence developmental in which individuals' personality predicts quality relationship romantic during voung adulthood and in later life.

Though other studies have attempted to examine what is known about the course development relationship through adolescence and its influence on relationship formation in adulthood, this study has further shown that attachment styles and personality traits play an important role in relationship formation and progression to emerging adults. Therefore, this study confirms that rather than being trivial or ephemeral, any relationship experience is consequential for young adult partnerships most importantly, early experience with parents and family and thus play an essential role in forming intimate and romantic relationship and in tackling emotional issues. Therefore, by assessing the attachment styles, personality traits of people, age and gender, a counsellor or therapist can predict the extent with which an individual would have quality romantic relationship and may provide necessary intervention for increasing interpersonal relationship.

References

- Arnett, J. J. (2000). Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. *American Psychologist*, 55, 469-480.
- Arnett, J. J. (2004). *Emerging adulthood: The winding road from the late teens*

- through the twenties. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Atkinson, R.L., Atkinson, R.C., Smith, E.E., Bem, D.J., & Hoeksema, S.N. (2000). *Hilgard's Introduction to Psychology* (13th ed.). Orlando, Florida: Harcourt College Publishers.
- Bouchard, G., & Arseneault, J. (2005). Length of union as a moderator of the relationship between personality and dyadic adjustment. Personality and Individual Differences, 39, 1407–1417.
- Bowlby, J. (1979) Attachment and Loss: Vol 1. New York Basic Books.
- Brennan, K. A., Clark, C. L., & Shaver, P. R. (1998). Self-report measurement of adult attachment: An integrative overview. In J. A. Simpson & W. S. Rholes (Eds.), Attachment theory and close relationships (pp. 46–76). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Carver, K. P., Joyner, K., & Udry, J. R. (2003). National estimates of adolescent romantic relationships. In P. Florsheim (ed.), Adolescent Romantic Relations and Sexual Behavior: Theory, Research, and Practical Implications (pp. 23–56). Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Carver, K., Joyner, K., & Udry, J. R. (2003).

 National estimates of adolescent romantic relationships. In P. Florsheim (Ed.), Adolescent romantic relations and sexual behavior (pp. 23 56). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Caughlin, J.P., Huston, T.L., & Houts, R.N. (2000). How does personality matter in marriage? An examination of trait anxiety, interpersonal negativity and marital satisfaction. *Journal of*

- Personality and Social Psychology, 78, 326-336.
- Collins, W.A (2003). "More than Myth: The Developmental Significance of Romantic Relationships during Adolescence." *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 13:1-24.
- Connolly, J. A., & Johnson, A. (1996). Adolescents' romantic relationships and the structure and quality of their close interpersonal ties. *Personal Relationships*, 2, 185–195.
 - Connolly, J., & Goldberg, A. (1999).

 Romantic relationships in adolescence: The role of friends and peers in their emergence and development. In W. Furman, B. B. Brown, & C. Feiring (Eds.), The development of romantic relationships in adolescence (pp. 266 290). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
 - Connolly, J., Furman, W., & Konarski, R. (2000). The role of peers in the emergence of heterosexual romantic relationships in adolescence. Child Development, 71, 1395 1408.
 - Connolly, J.A. & Mc, Isaac, C. (2008).

 Adolescent romantic relationships:
 beginnings, endings, and
 psychosocial challenges. Newsletter.

 Int. Soc. Stud. Behav. Dev. 32:1–5
- Creasy, G. & Ladd, A. (2004). Negative mood regulation expectancies and conflict behaviors in late adolescent college student romantic relationships: The moderating role of generalized attachment representations. *Journal. Res. Adolesc.* 14(2): 235-255. dOI: 10.1111/j.1532-7795.2004.01402005.x
- Cui, M., Wickrama, K. A. S., Lorenz, F. O.,

- & Conger, R. D. (2010). Linking parental divorce and marital discord to the timing of emerging adults' marriage and cohabitation. In F. Fincham & M. Cui (Eds.), *Romantic relationships in emerging adulthood* (pp.123-141). Leiden: Cambridge University Press.
- Dalton, W. T., Frick-Hornbury, D., & Kitzinann, K. M. (2006). Young adults' retrospective reports of parenting by mothers and fathers: associations with current relationship quality. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 133, 5-18. doi:10.3200/GENP.133.1.5-18.
- Donnellan, M. B., Larsen-Rife, D., & Conger, R. D. (2005). Personality, family history, and competence in early adult romantic relationships.

 Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 88, 562 576.
- Donnellan, M.B., Conger, R.D., & Bryant, C.M. (2004). The big five and enduring marriages. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 38, 481-504.
- Edelstein, R. S., & Shaver, P. R. (2004). Avoidant Attachment: Exploration of an Oxymoron. In D. J, Mashek and A, P, Aron (eds). *Handbook of* closeness and intimacy. pp, 397-41
- Engel, G., Olson, K.R., & Patrick, C. (2002). The personality of love: Fundamental motives and traits related to components of love. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 32, 839-853.
- Fisher, T.D., & McNulty, J.K. (2008).

 Neuroticism and marital satisfaction:

 The mediating role played by the sexual relationship. *Journal of*

- Family Psychology, 22, 112-122.
- Feeney, B. C., & Collins, N. L. (2003). Motivations for caregiving in adult intimate relationships: Influences on caregiving behavior and relationship functioning. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29, 950–968.
- Feiring, C. (1999). Gender identity and the development of romantic relationships in adolescence. In W. Furman, B. B. Brown, & C. Feiring (Eds.). The development of romantic relationships in adolescence (pp. 211 234) Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Fraley, R. (2002). Attachment stability from infancy to adulthood: Meta-analysis and dynamic modeling of developmental mechanisms.

 *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 6(2), 123–151.
- Furman, W., & Buhrmester, D. (1992). Age and sex differences in perceptions of networks of personal relationships. *Child Development*, 63, 103–115
- Galliher, R. V., Welsh, D. P., Rostosky, S. S. & Kawaguchi, M. C. (2004). Interaction and Relationship Quality in Late Adolescent Romantic Couples. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 21, 203-216.
- Giordano, P. C. (2003). Relationships in Adolescence. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 29, 257-281.
- Giordano, P. C., Manning, W. D., & Longmore, M. A. (2006). Adolescent romantic relationships: An emerging portrait of their nature and developmental significance. In A. C. Crouter & A. Booth (Eds.), Romance and sex in adolescence and emerging

- adulthood (pp. 127 150). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hazan, C., & Shaver, P. (1987). Romantic love conceptualized as an attachment process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *52*, 511–524. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.52.3.511
- John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). The Big Five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), Handbook of personality: Theory and Research (pp. 102–138). New York: Guilford Press.
- Joyner K, & Udry, J. R. (2000). You don't bring me anything but down: adolescent romance and depression.

 Journal of Health and Social.

 Behaviour 41:369–91
- Karney B, & Bradbury T. (1995). The longitudinal course of marital quality and stability: a review of Theory, Methods and Research. *Psychological Bulletin*. 118:3–34
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (2003). *Personality in adulthood.* New York: The Guilford Press.
- Mikulincer, M., Shaver, P. R., & Pereg, D. (2003). Attachment theory and affect regulation: The dynamics, development, and cognitive consequences of attachment-related strategies. Moti-vation and Emotion, 27, 77–102.
- Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R.(2012).. *An attachment perspective on psychopathology*. World Psy-chiatry11, 11–15.
- Risman, B. & Schwartz, P. (2002). After the

- Sexual Revolution: Gender Politics and Teen Dating. *Contexts*, 1, 16-24.
- Robins, R.W., Capsi, A., & Moffitt, T.E. (2002). It's not just who you're with, it's who you are: Personality and relationship experiences across multiple relationships. *Journal of Personality*, 70, 925-964.
- Robins, W.R., Caspi, A., & Moffitt, T.E. (2000). Two personalities, one relationship: Both partners' personality traits shape the quality of their relationship. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79, 251-259.
- Roisman, G.I, Collins, W.A, Sroufe, L.A, & Egeland, B. (2005). Predictors of young adults' representations of and behavior in their current romantic relationship: Prospective tests of the prototype hypothesis. Attachment & Human Development 7: 105–121.
- Roisman, G.I., Ann S. Masten, J. Coatsworth, D. & Tellegen, A. (2004). "Salient and Emerging Developmental Tasks in the Transition to Adulthood." *Child Development* 75(1):123-133.
- Seiffge-Krenke I (2003) Testing theories of romantic development from adolescence to young adulthood: Evidence of a developmental sequence. International Journal of Behavioral Development 27: 519–531.
- Shaver, P. & Brennan, K. (1992).

 Attachment styles and the "Big Five" personality traits: Their connections with each other and with romantic relationship outcomes. *Personality*

- and Social Psychology Bulletin, 18(5):536,
- Shulman, S, & Scharf M. (2000). Adolescent romantic behaviors and perceptions: age- and gender-related differences, and links with family and peer relationships. *J. Res. Adolesc.* 10:91–118
- Shulman, S., & Kipnis, O. (2001).

 Adolescent romantic relationships: A look from the future. *Journal of Adolescence*, 24, 337 351.
- Simpson, J. A., Rholes, W., Oriña, M., & Grich, J. (2002). Working models of attachment, support giving, and support seeking in a stressful situation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(5), 598–608.
- Stackert, R. A., & Bursik, K. (2003). Why am I unsatisfied? Adult attachment style, gendered irrational relationship beliefs, and young adult romantic relationship satisfaction. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 34(8), 1419–1429.
- Watson, D., Hubbard, B., & Wiese, D. (2000). Self-other agreement in personality and affectivity: The role of acquaintanceship, trait visibility, and assumed similarity. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78, 546–558. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.78.3.546.
- Wood, J. T. (2000). Gender and personal relationships. In C. Hendrick & S. S. Hendrick (Eds.), Close relationships: A sourcebook (pp. 301 313). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.