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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the Hyers-Ulam stability of a perturbed generalized Lienard equation, using a nonlinear extension of Gronwall-Bellman integral inequality called the Bihari integral inequality.
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## 1. Introduction

Generalised Lienard equation has been considered by many researchers. These include: Kroopnick (see [10], [11]) who studied properties of solutions to a generalized Lienard equations with forcing term and also studied bounded $L^{p_{-}}$ solutions of generalized Lienard equation, Nkashama [13] considered periodically perturbed non conservative system of Lienard type. In 2014, Ogundare and Afuwape [15] studied conditions which guarantee boundedness and stability properties of solutions of generalized Lienard equations. However, none of these researchers have studied the Hyers-Ulam stability of the perturbed generalized
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Lienard equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+c(t) f(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) g(u(t))=P(t, u(t)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad c, a \in C\left(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, for $\mathbb{R}_{+}=\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{I}=$ $\left(t_{0}, b\right)(b \leq \infty), \quad P \in C\left(\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. In this paper, we shall consider Hyers-Ulam stability of (1) and also the case where $P(t, u(t))=0$.

The stability problem of functional equation started with the question concerning stability of group homomorphism proposed by Ulam [18] in 1940 during a talk before a Mathematical Colloquium at the University of Wincosin, Madison. In 1941, Hyers [7] gave a solution of Ulam's problem for the case of approximate additive mappings in the context of Banach spaces. The result obtained by Hyers opened up research in Hyers-Ulam stability. Rassias [16] in 1978 generalized the theorem of Hyers by considering the stability problem of the unbounded Cauchy differences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) . t>0 \quad p \in[0,1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This phenomenon of the stability that was introduced by Rassias leads to Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability (or the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability), see [8].

Thereafter, the result reported by Rassias was improved, see [14], [2], [5], [1], [17], [6], [19], [9].

## 2. Preliminaries

We present the following definitions, lemmas and theorems for subsequent use in this work.

Definition 1. Equation (1) is Hyers-Ulam stable, if there exists a constant $K>0$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that for $u(t) \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime \prime}+c(t) f(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) g(u(t))-P(t, u(t))\right| \leq \epsilon, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists a solution $u_{0}(t) \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{I}, \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$of the equation (1), such that $\mid u(t)-$ $u_{0}(t) \mid \leq K \epsilon$, where $K$ is called Hyers-Ulam constant with initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u^{\prime}(t)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. (Generalized First Mean Value Theorem, [12]) If $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are continuous in $\left[t_{0}, t\right] \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ and $f(t)$ does not change sign in the interval, then there is a point $\xi \in\left[t_{0}, t\right]$ such that $\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(s) f(s) d s=g(\xi) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} f(s) d s$.

Definition 3. A function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to belong to a class $S$ if:
i $\omega(u)$ is nondecreasing and continuous for $u \geq 0$.
ii $\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) \omega(u) \leq \omega\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)$ for all $u$ and $v \geq 1$.
iii there exists a function $\phi$, continuous on $[0, \infty)$ with $\omega(\alpha u) \leq \phi(\alpha) \omega(u)$ for $\alpha \geq 0$.

Lemma 4. (see [3], [4]) Let $u(t), f(t)$ be positive continuous functions defined on $a \leq t \leq b,(\leq \infty)$ and $K>0, M \geq 0$, further let $\omega(u)$ be a nonnegative nondecreasing continuous function for $u \geq 0$, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq K+M \int_{a}^{t} f(s) \omega(u(s)) d s, \quad a \leq t<b \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \leq \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(k)+M \int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s\right), a \leq t \leq b^{\prime} \leq b \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(u)=\int_{u_{0}}^{u} \frac{d t}{\omega(t)}, \quad 0<u_{0}<u \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $\omega(0)>0$ or $\Omega(0+)$ is finite, one may take $u_{0}=0$ and $\Omega^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $\Omega$ and $t$ must be in the subinterval $\left[a, b^{\prime}\right]$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(k)+M \int_{a}^{t} f(s) d s \in \operatorname{Dom}\left(\Omega^{-1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main Result

The main results of this work are given in the following theorems.

Theorem 5. Let the functions $a, f, c, g$ and $P$ be as defined earlier such that $a(t) \geq \delta, a^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ on $\mathbb{I}$ with $f \in S$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) d s=M<\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u(t))=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} g(u(s)) d s<\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then equation (1) is Hyers-Ulam stable with the Hyers-Ulam constant $K$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{\delta}(L+L A|u(\xi)|) \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is as defined in (7).
Proof. It follows from inequality (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon \leq u^{\prime \prime}(t)+c(t) f(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) g(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t)-P(t, u(t) \leq \epsilon \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (12) by $u^{\prime}(t)$, gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\epsilon u^{\prime}(t) \leq \\
& u^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t)+c(t) f(u(t))\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+a(t) g\left(u(t) u^{\prime}(t)-P(t, u(t)) u^{\prime}(t) \leq \epsilon u^{\prime}(t)\right. \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G(u(t))$ in (10) is nondecreasing, monotonic and belongs to class $S$, we have from (13) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\epsilon u^{\prime}(t) \leq \\
& u^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t)+c(t) f(u(t))\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+a(t) \frac{d}{d t} G(u(t))-P(t, u(t)) u^{\prime}(t) \leq \epsilon u^{\prime}(t) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (14) from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a(s) \frac{d}{d s} G(u(s)) d s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} P(s, u(s)) u^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s \\
&  \tag{16}\\
& \quad+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a(s) \frac{d}{d s} G(u(s)) d s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} P(s, u(s)) u^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (14) by parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s+a(t) G(u(t)) \\
& \quad-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a^{\prime}(s) G(u(s)) d s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} P(s, u(s)) u^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{align*}
a(t) G(u(t)) \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s & -\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a^{\prime}(s) G(u(s)) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} P(s, u(s)) u^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and $a(t) \geq \delta$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta G(u(t)) \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s \\
&+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} P(s, u(s)) u^{\prime}(s) d s \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the absolute value of both sides, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta|G(u(t))| \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)^{2} d s \\
&+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|P(s, u(s)) \| u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose $\mid G\left(u(t)|\geq|u(t)|, \quad| P(t, u(t))|\leq A| u(t) \mid\right.$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq L$ for $L>$ 0 . It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
|u(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon L+\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)^{2} d s & \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{\delta} A \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \right\rvert\, u(s)\right) \| u^{\prime}(s) \mid d s \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem (2), for $t_{0}<\xi<t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon L+\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)^{2} d s+\frac{1}{\delta} A u(\xi) \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon L+\frac{1}{\delta} L A|u(\xi)|+\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|)\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d s \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon L+\frac{1}{\delta} L A|u(\xi)|+\frac{\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|) d s \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \lambda$, for $\lambda>0$ this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon L+\frac{1}{\delta} L A|u(\xi)|+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|) d s \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon(L+L A|u(\xi)|) \text { and } \epsilon \geq 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (26) and the fact $f \in S,(25)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|u(t)|}{R} \leq 1+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f\left(\frac{|u(s)|}{R}\right) d s \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\frac{|u(t)|}{R}=z(t)$, then (27) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \leq 1+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(z(s)) d s \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega(z(t))=f(z(t))$, By (7), we obtain

$$
z(t) \leq \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) d s\right)
$$

Substituting for $z(t)$, we have

$$
|u(t)| \leq R \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) d s\right)
$$

Replacing $R$ by (26), we obtain

$$
|u(t)| \leq \epsilon \frac{1}{\delta}(L+L A|u(\xi)|) \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) d s\right)
$$

By (9), we have

$$
|u(t)| \leq \epsilon \frac{1}{\delta}(L+L A|u(\xi)|) \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
K=\frac{1}{\delta}(L+L A|u(\xi)|) \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right) .
$$

Since,

$$
\left|u(t)-u_{0}(t)\right| \leq|u(t)| \leq K \epsilon .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|u(t)-u_{0}(t)\right| \leq K \epsilon .
$$

Example 6. Consider the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+(t+1)^{-2} u^{2} u^{\prime}+t^{4} u^{4}=2 u^{2}(t) .
$$

The equation is Hyers-Ulam stable by the conditions of Theorem 5.
Next we consider the case $P(t, u(t))=0$.
Theorem 7. Let all the conditions of Theorem 5 remain valid with

$$
P(t, u(t))=0 .
$$

Equation (1) is Hyers-Ulam stable with Hyers-Ulam constant defined as

$$
K=\frac{1}{\delta}(L)\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right) .
$$

Proof. From inequality (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon \leq u^{\prime \prime}(t)+c(t) f(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) g(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t) \leq \epsilon . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
P(t, u(t))=0,
$$

using equation (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon \leq u^{\prime \prime}(t)+c(t) f(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \frac{d}{d t} G(u(t)) \leq \epsilon . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (30) by $u^{\prime}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon u^{\prime}(t) \leq u^{\prime \prime}(t) u^{\prime}(t)+c(t) f(u(t))\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+a(t) \frac{d}{d t} G(u(t)) u^{\prime}(t) \leq \epsilon . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (31) from $t_{0}$ and $t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s \leq \frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}(t) \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a(s) \frac{d}{d s}(G(u(s))) d s \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s & \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a(s) \frac{d}{d s} G(u(s)) d s \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by part, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s & \\
& +a(t) G(u(t))-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a^{\prime}(s) G(u(s)) d s \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and $a(t) \geq \delta>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta G(u(t)) \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(u(s))\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2} d s \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the absolute value (32), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta|G(u(t))| \leq \epsilon \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)^{2} d s \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq L$, for $L>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(u(t))| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \epsilon L+\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(|u(s)|)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)^{2} d s \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $|G(u(t))| \geq|u(t)|$, then (34) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|u(t)|}{P} \leq 1+\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f\left(\frac{|u(s)|}{P}\right)\left(\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right|\right)^{2} d s \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{\epsilon}{\delta} L \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|u(t)|}{P} \leq 1+\frac{\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f\left(\frac{|u(s)|}{P}\right) d s \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \lambda$, using this in (3.31), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|u(t)|}{P} \leq 1+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f\left(\frac{|u(s)|}{P}\right) d s \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\frac{|u(t)|}{P}=z(t),(37)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t) \leq 1+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) f(z(s) d s \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 4 , for $\omega(z(t))=f(z(t))$ with $\Omega$ defined as in (7), we obtain

$$
z(t) \leq \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} c(s) d s\right)
$$

By (9), we have

$$
z(t) \leq \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right)
$$

Substituting for $z(t)$, we have

$$
|u(t)| \leq P \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right)
$$

Replacing $P$, with (36), we have

$$
|u(t)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\delta}(L) \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right)
$$

where

$$
K=\frac{1}{\delta}(L) \Omega^{-1}\left(\Omega(1)+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\delta} M\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|u(t)-u_{0}(t)\right| \leq|u(t)| \leq K \epsilon
$$

with condition (4).

Example 8. Consider the equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+t^{-2} u^{2} u^{\prime}+t^{-4} u^{2}=0, \text { for } t>0
$$

This equation is Hyers-Ulam stable by all the properties of Theorem 7.
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