

SN-0795-0065 VOLUME 2 MAY, 2007

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL DISTANCE EDUCATION (IJODE)



A JOURNAL OF THE DISTANCE LEARNING CENTRE UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN, IBADAN, NIGERIA

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DISTANCE EDUCATION (IJODE)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Professor C.B.U. Uwakwe - Editor-In-Chief

. 14.20a.S.

Professor M.B.M Avoseh,

School of Education,

University of South Dakota, United State of America.

Dr. O.A. Okwilagwe

Dr. R.O. Aderinoye

Dr. A.E. Aiyelari

Dr. Wale Morohunkola

Dr. Duro Adeleke

Dr. J.O. Osiki

Dr. A.O.U Onuka - Coordinating Associate Editor

Submission of Papers:

Papers for consideration for possible publication in the journal should be submitted, in three hard copies and a floppy diskette in MS Version,

to either the Editor-In-Chief: Professor C.B.U. Nwakwe

Department of Guidance and Counselling

University of Ibadan

Ibadan, Nigeria

e-mail: uwakweebudr@googlemail.com or charles.uwakwe@mail.ui.edu.ng

OR

Coordinating Associate Editor

Dr. Adams O.U. Onuka

Institute of Education

University of Ibadan

Ibadan, Nigeria

e-mail: adamonuka@yahoo.com or ao.onuka@mail.ui.edu.ng

CONTENTS

| 1. | Introduction Effect of Students' Subject Areas on Psycho-Cognitive | |
|----|---|----|
| | Outcomes in Mathematics. By Adeleke Joshua Oluwatoyin, Ph.D | 1 |
| 2. | Attitude of University Students Towards Moral Issues: | |
| ۷. | Implications for Education in Nigeria. | |
| | By Ben Ejide | 12 |
| 3. | A Causal Model of Some School Factors as Determinants of | |
| | Nigerian Senior Secondary Students' Achievement in Physics. | |
| | By Ven. Prof. Evans Jonathan-Ibeagha and Dr. Akinyele Oyetunde Ariyo | 21 |
| | Di. Akinyele Oyetunde Ariyo | 41 |
| 4. | Influence of Feedback Mechanism on Students' | |
| | Performance in Economics in Kogi State, Nigeria. | |
| | By A. Otu. U. Onuka, Ph.D and I.O. Junaid | 37 |
| 5. | Safety Education: A Vital Ingredient for Senior Secondary | |
| | School Chemistry Students. | |
| | By Francis A Adesoji, Ph.D. | 57 |
| | 0-3 | |
| 6. | Reinforcement and Senior Secondary School Students' | |
| | Academic Performance in Physics. | |
| | By Akinyemi Olufunminiyi Akinbobola, Ph.D, | |
| | Azuanamibebi Derikuma Osu and | 60 |
| | Ademidun Olaitan Adeyemi | 63 |
| 7. | Reaching for More Special Needs Learners in Nigeria | |
| | Through Special Distance Learning. | |
| | By J. Abiola Ademokoya, Ph.D | 75 |

| Internationa | l Journal | of Distance | Education | (Vol.2) |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|
|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|

| 8. | The Relative Effects of Framing and Summarizing Study Methods on Nigerian Students' Learning | |
|-----|---|-----|
| | Outcomes in English Language. | |
| | By J.A. Adegbile, Ph.D | 86 |
| 9. | Pattern of Stress Among University of Ibadan Distance Learning Students. By A.M. Jimoh | 96 |
| 10. | A Study of the Relationship Between Religious Practices and Societal Values. By Ben Ejide | 104 |
| | | 10. |
| 11. | | |
| | Problem of Violence and Gang-Subculture in Nigeria's Tertiary Education. | |
| | By Amaechi Udefi, Ph.D | 111 |
| 12. | | |
| | Senior Secondary School Students' in Agricultural | |
| | Science in Abeokuta South Local Government Area of Ogun State | |
| | By Olagunji, A.M., Ph.D and Oguntade, O.A. | 139 |

EFFECT OF STUDENTS' SUBJECT AREAS ON PSYCHO-COGNITIVE OUTCOMES IN MATHEMATICS

ABSTRACT

This study examined the 'effect of Students' Subject Specializations on their Psycho-Cognitive outcomes'. Three hundred and four students selected through purposive sampling using homogeneous approach constituted the sample for the study. They provided data on their subject areas, psychological and cognitive outcomes in mathematics. The data collected was analyzed using ANOVA and Post hoc test (multiple comparison) to test the significance of the differences at the 0.05 level of significance. All the null hypotheses were rejected.

The cognitive, ego-enhancing, and affiliative needs as well as achievement of students in mathematics were found to be significantly affected by their subject areas. Also, science students were found to have higher cognitive and ego-enhancing needs than other students. The commercial students were found to be better in affiliative needs and academic achievement in mathematics than science and art students.

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that apart from classroom teaching, mathematics teachers should organize various mathematics oriented activities that will boost cognitive, ego-enhancing and affiliative needs of their students

ADELEKE JOSHUA OLUWATOYIN, Ph.D.

International Centre for Educational Evaluation (ICEE), Institute of Education, University of Ibadan.

email: rehoshalom@yahoo.com

across different subject areas. Since mathematics is needed to pursue most of the courses in higher institutions, mathematics teachers should initiate strategies and innovations that will make art students learn mathematics.

Background

The dilemma facing senior secondary school students in deciding their future careers and destinations are influenced by subjects offered. The subject choice dilemma is influencing students' interests, motives and educational outcomes or post secondary school destinations meaningfully (Tawaiyola 2002). This dilemma is connected with learning disabilities of the adolescents. Some of them have typically endured many years of failure and frustration. They are fully aware of their failure to acquire functional skills in the operations and applications of mathematics. Chapman, (1988) cited in Jones, Wilson and Bhojwani (1997) concluded that students who come to doubt their abilities in subject like mathematics tend to blame their academic failures on those deficits; generally consider their low abilities to be unchangeable; expect to fail in the future, and give up readily when confronted with difficult tasks. Unless interrupted by successful experiences, continued failure tends to confirm low expectations of achievement, which in turn sets the occasion for additional failure. Consequently, when they are faced with decision to choose their subjects for specialization, ability in mathematics becomes a major determinant. Ability in mathematics and students' subject areas are likely to cause variations in each other. There is need to look into how some of the dilemma associated with senior secondary school subject choices impact on other important decisions. According to Tawaiyola (2002) the process of subject choice and its impacts are not clearly understood despite years of investigation by various researchers in different countries using different methodologies.

One major variable that demands close attention of researchers is the student achievement needs. Achievement need can be viewed as the ability to behave according to one's own intentions in a flexible way (Kuhl and Kraska 1995). With respect to learning, achievement need bridges the gap between academic performance and two of its determinants namely cognitive abilities and achievement motivation. Some students are sufficiently capable and motivated to perform well on a learning task, but they have problems in initiating and maintaining the required task-relevant behaviour. Kuhl and Kraska (1995) reported that many findings from neuro physiological,

cognitive, and clinical research support the assumption that this non motivational type of under achievement can be attributed to an impairment of a separate mental function or subsystem that mediates the flexible and context-sensitive initiation, maintenance, and termination of self-generated intentions. Self-regulatory mechanism plays vital roles in students achievement need and this varies from student to student. Kuhl and Kraska further explained that self regulatory mechanisms are processes that modulate the interaction between an organism's sub systems. The most important self-regulatory mechanisms which are presumed to serve this purpose are encoding control; action control; emotion control; motivation control; attention control; intention control; coping with failure; and self-reflective thinking. They gave an example to support their view that, a child who decides to finish his homework (possibly in mathematics) can maintain this intention through self regulatory support. If he has cognitive representation of the following facts. "I want to do my homework although I don't feel like doing it at the moment". Achievement need of a child and his achievement in mathematics depend on how he can regulate himself.

Another variable of interest that may bring variation in learning outcomes is individual differences. Individual differences in cognitive development certainly affect the achievement of academic skills. The report presented by Méheut and Psillos (2004) during the 2001-2003 Esera Conferences reveals the impact students' subject choice could have on their psychocognitive outcomes. During these conferences, Méheut and Psillos emphasized that students' scientific knowledge can influence their conception of mathematics and mathematical phenomena. And that mathematics cognitive ego-enhancing, and affliative needs as well as cognitive achievement of students are likely the products of such conception (Méheut and Psillos, 2004). Currently, more attention should be given to students' subject areas and how this affects their learning outcomes especially in mathematics.

There are research studies that concluded that students vary in their psychological behaviours as well as in their cognitive outcomes (Elby, 2001; Hammer, 2000; Viennot, 2001, 2002, 2003a, 2003b). These variations must be as a result of some factors. Does subject choice of a student affect his psycho-cognitive outcomes? This calls for empirical verification.

This study therefore, sought to verify whether psycho-cognitive outcomes of students in mathematics are affected by their subject areas. It aims at finding out empirically the effects subject areas have on psycho-cognitive

outcomes measured in terms of Ego enhancing, cognitive need, affiliative need, achievement score in mathematics.

Research Hypotheses

The following null hypotheses will be tested at the 0.05 level of significant

H_{o1}: Student's cognitive need in mathematics will not be significantly affected by their subject areas.

H_{o2}: There is no significant effect of students' subject areas on their ego enhancing need in mathematics

Ho₃: Students' need for affiliation in mathematics will not be significantly affected by their subject areas.

Ho4: There is no significant effect of students' subject areas on their achievement scores in mathematics.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopted an ex-post facto research procedure because of the exploratory nature of the research. The independent variable, which is subject area, was not in any way manipulated.

Sample

Purposive sampling using the homogeneous sampling approach was used to select a public secondary school in Ibadan metropolis. This facilitated the selection of participants who were very similar in experience were taught by the same mathematics teacher and sat for the same internal examination. The subjects used for this study were three hundred and four senior secondary school Two (SS2) students. This number covered students of different age, backgrounds, sex, and subject combinations.

Research Instrument

Mathematics Achievement Need (MAN)

· Hard

The Students' Mathematics Achievement Need was adopted from Abadom-Bakare (1993). The instrument comprised 37 items; 15 of which measured cognitive need of students, 14 items measured ego-enhancing need while 8 items measured affiliative need of students. It had a 4 points scale that is 4-Strongly Agree; 3-Agree; 2-Disagree and 1-Strongly Disagree for each of the positive items while the points are reversed for negative items.

Data Analysis

The analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to establish significant effect of the independent variable (Students' Subject Specialization) on the dependent variables (cognitive, ego enhancing and affiliative needs and achievement score). Post hoc (Scheffe) was used for further verification for any effect found to be significant

RESULTS

In this section, the results of the four hypotheses tested are presented in tables 1-4.

Hypothesis One

Student's cognitive need in mathematics will not be significantly affected by their subject areas.

Table 1: Analysis Of Variance of Students' Mean Scores in Cognitive, Ego Enhancing and Affiliation Needs Based on Subject Areas.

| | | Sum of squares | Df | Mean square | F | Sig. |
|---------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| Cognitive | Between groups Within groups Total | 671.370 8052.682 8724.052 | 302 | 335.685 26.665 | 12.589* | .000 |
| Ego enhancing | Between group Within group Total | 967.715 10121.125 11088.839 | 2 302 304 | 483.857 33.514 | 14.438* | 000 |
| Affiliation | Between groups Within groups Total | 77.012 3783.992 3861.003 | 2 302 304 | 38.506 12.530 | 3.073* | 0.048 |

^{* -} Significant at 0.05 alpha level of significance.

Table 2: Post Hoc Tests: Multiple comparisons

| Dependent variable | (i)Students course area | (j) students' course area | Mean (i-j) | Std | Sign. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------|-------|
| Cognitive | Arts | Science | -4.0994 | 82 | 000 |
| need | | Commercial | -2.8805 | 7941 | 002 |
| | Science | Arts | 4.0994 | 8200 | 000 |
| | | Commercial | 1.2189 | 6655 | 189 |
| | Commercial | Arts | 2.8805 | .7941 | .002 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Science | -1.2189 | .6655 | .189 |
| Ego - | Arts . | Science | -4.8610 | 29194. | 000 |
| enhancing | | Commercial | -2.4681 | 7461 | 022 |
| | Science | Arts | 4.8610 | .9194 | 000 |
| | | Commercial | 2.3929 | .7461 | 006 |
| | Commercial | Arts | 2.4681 | .8902 | .022 |
| 31 | | Science | -2.3929 | 7461 | .006 |
| Affiliation | Arts | Science | -1.437 | .5621 | 1.00 |
| | | Commercial | -1.0224 | .5443 | .173 |
| | Science | Arts | 1.437 | .5621 | 1.00 |
| | | Commercial | -1.0081 | .4562 | .089 |
| | Commercial | Arts | 1.0224 | .5543 | .173 |
| . Ye | 1 | Science | 1.0081 | .4562 | .089 |

From table 1, F-ratio is 12.589 (p< 0.05), which is significant at 0.05 alpha level of significance. This means that the null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, students cognitive needs in mathematics was significantly affected by their subject areas.

Further verification using post hoc test as shown in table 2 reveals that the mean cognitive need score of science students on mathematics is higher than that of the commercial students while that of the Art students is the lowest.

Hypothesis Two

. 16 ft. J.

There is no significant effect of students subject specialization on their ego enhancing needs on mathematics.

Table 1 reveals that F-ratio is 14.438 (p<0.05). this is significant at 0.05 alpha level of significance, hence the null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore,

there is significant effect of students' subject areas on their ego-enhancing needs on mathematics. Out of the three groups, post hoc test reveals that science students are still the best, followed by commercial and lastly by Art students in ego-enhancing needs.

Hypothesis Three

Student's affiliation needs on mathematics will not be significantly affected by their subject areas. Also in table 1 the F-ratio is 3.073 (p<0.05) thus the null hypothesis is rejected at 0.05 alpha level of significance. Therefore, students' affiliation needs on mathematics was significantly affected by their subject areas. Post hoc test reveals that commercial students are the best in affiliation need, followed by science and lastly by Art students.

Hypothesis Four

There is no significant effect of students' subject areas on their cognitive achievement in mathematics

Table 3: Analysis of Variance of Mean Achievement Score in Mathematics Based on Subject Areas

| | Sum of | df | Mean | F | Sig. |
|----------------|------------|-----|----------|---------|------|
| | Square | | Square | | |
| Between groups | 9281.793 | 2 | 4640.896 | 44.719* | 000 |
| Within groups | 21.378.676 | 206 | 103.780 | | |
| Total | 30660.469 | 208 | | | |

* - Significant at 0.05 alpha level of significance

Table 3 reveals that F-ratio is 44.719 (p<0.05) significant at 0.05 alpha level of significance. Hence, the null hypothesis is rejected. Thus, there was significant effect of students' subject areas on their cognitive achievement in mathematics.

Table 4: Post Hoc Test: Multiple comparisons

| Dependent Variable | (i) students course area | (j) students course area | Mean Difference | Std. (i-j) Error | Sig |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| Achievement | Arts | Science | -1.7800 | 1.97931 | .674 |
| | | Commercial | -14.1339 | .7116 | .000 |
| | Science | Arts | 1.7600 | 1.9793 | .674 |
| | | Commercial | -12.3740 | | .000 |
| | Commercial | Arts | 14.1339 | 1.7116 | .000 |
| | | Science | 12.3740 | 1.7330 | .000 |

From the post hoc test in table 4, the best group in cognitive achievement is commercial followed by science and lastly by Art students.

DISCUSSIONS ON THE FINDINGS

Cognitive needs of students in mathematics was found to be significantly affected by students' subject areas. Level of application of mathematics in different subject areas varies. Student's self-efficacy in solving mathematical problems depends on this demand. This corroborates the opinion of Pajares and Miller's (Jones et al 1997) that students' judgments of their ability to solve specific types of mathematics problems were useful predictors of their actual ability to solve those problems. Two important issues emanate from this finding, first, judgments of cognitive needs are task specific and generally accurate. Second, student judgment of cognitive needs may provide insights that will be valuable supplements to teacher assessments of performance skills. The student that sees the need for meaningful academic achievement in mathematics will perform better in the subject. This supports the finding of Chapman (1988) in Jones et al. (1997) that students who come to doubt their abilities in subject like mathematics give up readily when confronted with difficult mathematics task. The reasons behind this, bother on their level of preparedness, perseverance and self-will to succeed. The result also shows that ego-enhancing needs of the students was significantly affected by students subject areas. This finding corroborates that of Tawaiyola (2002) that the interests and motives (ego boosting and affiliation) of students are strongly associated with their choice of subjects at the senior secondary school level. Investigations into subject choice among 11 and 12 year old students by Ainley, Robinson, Harvey-Beavis, Elsworth and Flemming (1994) revealed that intrinsic reasons such as student enjoyment and interests are strongly associated with subject choice. Those students who need meaningful achievement in mathematics to boost their ego amidst colleagues and parents strive to achieve more.

Affiliation Needs of the students in Mathematics was also found to be significantly affected by their subject areas. Subject areas as a subject of investigation has generated wealth of information contained in research reports, journal articles and other publications (Elby, 2001; Hammer, 2000; Viennot, 2001, 2002, 2003a, 2003b). While these investigations differ in methods, scope, contexts and population from which study samples are drawn, the findings arrived at are usually or generally similar. What appears from the literature to be a common finding according to Tawaiyola (2002) is that, the psychological outcomes of students are strongly associated with the subjects they choose to study in their senior secondary school years. For any student to cope in sciences and function effectively amidst classmates, knowledge of mathematics is needful. The need of mathematics for association therefore is a motivational factor on its own.

Students' subject areas was found to significantly affecting their academic achievement in Mathematics. This finding also corroborates the view of Tawaiyola(2002) who concluded that the cognitive achievement of students is strongly associated with their subject choices. This is found to be so even in Mathematics. Most students always consider their strength in mathematics before making their subject choice. As students' achievement in mathematics predicts subject areas so the latter does the former.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The current economic reforms dictated by technological changes and globalization indicates a problematic future. The preparation for tomorrow's challenges should not exclude the formal education sector. Beyond teaching within the four walls of the classroom, mathematics oriented activities e.g Mathematics club with challenging programmes, quiz competition and similar programmes that will boost students' cognitive, ego, and affiliative needs in mathematics should be organized across different subject choices. This is needful because the application of the knowledge of mathematics to everyday life can never be over emphasized. Also, we are now in the world of innovations, therefore mathematics teachers should initiate strategies and innovations that will make students learn mathematics better especially the art students. The mathematics curriculum designers should make it dynamic

to incorporate aspects, which are relevant to the current needs of the society and suited to the diverse post-secondary school destinations. The curriculum should be flexible enough to allow students to study mathematics oriented subjects across disciplines and increase their chances of studying subjects that they may be interested in, in the future, Current practices of channeling students into science or art areas need to be reviewed as this has a limiting or restrictive effect on students performance in a major subject like mathematics. Having multiple skills or qualifications across more than one specialized area or discipline would broaden career and life options for this population who have many years ahead of them. With double opportunities in which every student in secondary school is having to write two major examinations (WAEC and NECO final examinations), students can cut across different specialization areas. Practicing mathematics teachers should desist from 'you can never know it' attitude they put up while teaching Art students and see how the cognitive, ego enhancing and affiliative needs of the students across the subject areas are improved. This is necessary as long as these are found to account for variations in achievement in Mathematics. The findings also have implication for effective counseling in schools. Motivational talks should be organized from time to time to boost psychological needs of the students in Mathematics.

REFERENCES

Abadom-Bakare (1993) Student Achievement Need (Mathematics).

Ainley, J. Robinson, L., Harvey-Beavis, A., Elsworth, G., Flemming, M. (1994): Subject Choice in Years 11 and 12. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing.

Elby, A. (2001) Helping Physics Students learn about learning, *American Journal of Physics* (Physics Education Research Suppliment), 69.(7 suppl).

Hammer, D. (2000). Students resources for Learning Introductory Physics.

American Journal of Physics (Physics Education Research Suppliment), 69, S45 – S50.

Jones, E. D. Wilson, R. and Bhojwani, S. (1997) Mathematics Instruction for Secondary Students with Learning Disabilities Journal of Learning Disabilities Volume 30, Number 2, pp.151-163 copyright by PRO - ED, Inc

Kuhl and Kraska K. (1995) Self-regulation in learning. *The international encyclopedia of Education* 2nd ed vol. 9

- Me Cheut, M and Psillos, D. (2004). Teaching Learning Sequences: aims and tools for science education research. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26, 515 536.
- Nsuarez-Orozco, M and Devos, G.A. (1995), Culture and Achievement Motivation in Education, *The international Encyclopedia of Education* vol. 3 2nd ed
- Tawaiyole, S. P. K. (2002) Subject Choice Dilema: Student Perceptions
 About Senior Secondary Education in Papau New Guinea.
 International Education Research Conference University of
 Queensland Australian Centre for Studies in Education Macquarie
 University.
- Viennot, L (2003a). Relating Research in Didactics and Actual Teaching Practice Impact and Virtues of Critical Details. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Science Education in the Knowledge Based Society, ESERA meeting, Thessaloniki Greece.
- Viennot, L. (2001). Physics Education Research. Inseparable Contents and Methods. Vatanen (eds.). Research on Mathematics and Science Education, Institute for Educational Research, University of Jyvaskyla, pp 89 100.
- Viennot, L. (2002). Enseigner La Physique. Bruxellas: De Boeck.
- Viennot, L. (2003b). The design of teaching sequences in Physics. Can research inform practice? Proceedings of the Enrico Fermi Summer School, Course CLVI. Bolognai Italian Physical Society.