

Comparative evaluation of haemoglobin estimation amongst pregnant women in Ibadan: Hemocue – B Haemoglobin analyzer versus haemoglobin cyanide (Standard) method as the gold standard

O. A. Ojengbade¹, S. N. Okonkwo, I. O. Morhason-Bello

ABSTRACT:

This was a comparative cross-sectional study that was conducted among 557 antenatal women at the University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria between 1st April and 30th May 2006. The study compared the accuracy of haemoglobin estimation using an automated HemoCue B analyzer kit with a standard laboratory method (haemoglobin cyanide) as the gold standard.

The result showed a positive correlation of HaemoCue haemoglobin results when compared with the standard methods (Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.892; p value = 0.000).

We concluded that the use of the automated HemoCue kit for haemoglobin estimation gives an objective assessment which overcomes the challenge of extra skilled manpower or power outages in rural areas thereby enhancing access to quality of care in resource poor countries. (*Afr J Reprod Health* 2008; 12[2]:153-159)

RÉSUMÉ

Evaluation comparative de l'estimation de l'hémoglobine chez les femmes enceintes à Ibadan: L'analyseur de Hémoglobine Hemocue B par opposition à la méthode de l'hémoglobine cyanide (standard) comme le standard d'or. Il s'agissait d'une étude transversale comparative qui a été menée au sein de 557 femmes qui fréquentaient le service de consultation prénatale au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Ibadan, Nigéria, entre le 1^{er} avril et le 30 mai 2006. L'étude a comparé l'exactitude de l'estimation de l'hémoglobine à l'aide d'une trousse de l'analyseur automatique de l'Hémo Cue B avec une méthode standard de laboratoire (l'hémoglobine cyanide) comme le standard d'or. Le résultat a montré une corrélation positive des résultats de l'hémoglobine Hemo Cue par rapport aux méthodes standard (le coefficient de corrélation de Pearson = 0,892; p valeur = 0,000). Nous avons conclu que l'emploi de l'analyseur automatique de l'Hémo Cue pour l'estimation donne une évaluation objective qui surmonte le défi de main-d'oeuvre très qualifiée ou des interruptions d'électricité dans les régions rurales promouvant ainsi l'accès à la qualité de soin dans les pays qui ne disposent pas d'assez de ressources. (*Rev Afr Santé Reprod* 2008; 12[2]:153-159)

KEY WORDS: *Haemocue, B analyser, Anaemia in Pregnancy, Haemoglobin estimation*

O. A. Ojengbade¹, S. N. Okonkwo, I. O. Morhason-Bello *all from Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, University College Hospital Ibadan, Nigeria.*

Correspondence to be addressed to: *Professor Oladosu A. Ojengbade Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria. Email: ladosu2002@yahoo.co.uk*

Introduction

Anaemia in pregnancy is a public health problem all over the world¹. The burden of this disease is mainly in developing countries including Nigeria^{1,2,3}. Conservative estimates indicate that about 1500 million people are anaemic worldwide, with about 1400 million in South Asian and African countries¹. In addition, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimate and other hospital based studies reveal that two-fifth of non-pregnant and about half of pregnant women in developing countries have anaemia^{1,4,5}.

Studies have shown that most of the women who suffer complications have moderate to severe anaemia and they also suffer from morbidities such as; recurrent miscarriages, intrauterine growth restriction, preterm labour and fetal wastages^{1,6}. In addition, majority of the pregnant anaemic women live in rural areas that lack basic social amenities⁷.

One of the most crucial aspects of management of anaemia is the determination of haemoglobin concentration. This affords the health care providers the opportunity to determine the severity of the disease and the likely methods of prompt treatment. The 2003 demographic and health survey of Nigeria showed that majority of pregnant women seek antenatal care services at primary health care centers that are manned by midwives or community health extension workers without the luxury of laboratory services handled by trained personnel⁷. As such these women do not have the opportunity of objective assessment of the clinical status by haemoglobin estimation. Studies have shown that clinical assessment of anaemia is very unreliable when compared to other standard methods of haemoglobin

estimation⁸. Even, where the micro-haemtocrit centrifuge machine is available, supply outages and paucity of laboratory scientists in Nigeria remained major impediments to its use.

The WHO introduced both Talquist⁹ and haemoglobin colour scale¹⁰ as a simple method for haemoglobin estimation however, they were later found to be inaccurate and unreliable^{11,12,13}.

The continuous search for a more reliable but simple technology for objective estimation of haemoglobin generally led to the development of Hemocue B-haemoglobin analyzer. This automated kit is portable, rapid and accurate in measuring haemoglobin concentration at the bedside^{14,15}. It is designed in a way to function whether electric current is available or not because it runs on direct current supplied by the widely available batteries (1.5V). The kit is so simple to use by anyone as such, there is no need for a separate manpower unlike the standard centrifuge¹⁴.

Therefore, our objective was to compare the haemoglobin estimation result between haemocue B-haemoglobin analyzer methods and the standard laboratory technique in our own setting.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was an experimental study conducted among the booked antenatal women at the University College Hospital, Ibadan between 1st April and 30th May 2006. An Institutional review Committee approval from the University of Ibadan/University College Hospital Board was obtained. An initial pilot study was conducted at a secondary health care facility to validate the process/protocol of the study. All consenting pregnant women

were recruited for the study after explaining in detail the study protocol.

Information on the age and the result of the investigation from the two methods were recorded on a proforma.

Study protocol:

The hemocue B – haemoglobin analyzer Method^{14, 15, 16,17}

The patient’s finger pulp was cleaned with methylated spirit and then pricked using a lancet/needle. The initial blood was cleaned and thereafter a gentle squeeze of the finger was performed to get a drop of blood. The blood was collected by capillary action into a microcuvette and this was then inserted into the hemocue Hb 201⁺ automated machine system (HemoCue AB Angelholm Sweden). It uses either alternating or direct current. The haemoglobin result is then displayed after about 30 to 45 seconds in g/dl units.

The haemiglobincyanide (Standard/traditional) Method^{18,19}

About 2-mls of venous blood was obtained from the cubital vein of each participants into the sodium EDTA bottle and this is then transferred into the laboratory for analysis. Twenty microliters (0.2mls) of blood was taken from the bottle and this was than added to 4ml of diluent (to make 1 in 201 dilution of blood). Stopper the tube containing the solution and invert several times. Each sample was allowed to stand at room temperature for at least 3-10mins and

this is to allow for complete conversion of haemoglobin to haemiglo-bincyanide.

The sample was then poured into a cuvette and its absorbance in a spectrometer at 540nm or in a photoelectric colorimeter with a suitable filter was read on each occasion. Thereafter, compare the colour change against a reagent blank within 6-hours of beginning the initial dilution.

The haemoglobin concentration is then calculated using the formula:

Alternatively the haemoglobin concentration of each solution can be read from a standard graph/table relating absorbance readings to Haemoglobin in g/dl (mg/dl) specific for each particular photometer¹⁹.

Results

A total of 557 antenatal clinic attendees at the University College Hospital, Ibadan were studied. The mean age of the respondents was 31.3years (SD= 6.2years) with about two thirds of women in the age group 25 to 34years (Table 1).

The mean haemoglobin concentration using the standard laboratory estimation method increased with age (in five year interval) till 34years and thereafter declined. A similar trend was observed across the age group using the Haemocue – B analyzer method. (Table 2).

Although, the overall mean haemoglobin concentration using Haemocue was 10.96g/dl compared to 10.78g/dl using the laboratory method (Paired t = 5.19, p = 0.000), the

$$\text{Hbg/l} = \frac{A^{540} \text{ of test sample} \quad \text{Conc. of standard} \times \text{Dilution factor (201)}}{A^{540} \text{ of standard} \quad 1000} \times$$

A⁵⁴⁰ = absorbance Conc.= concentration

measurement from the two methods were strongly positively correlated (Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.892; $p < 0.0001$). (Table 3). Figure 1 also shows a scatter plot of the relationship between the two methods with a positive slope.

The time range for estimating haemoglobin (from the point of sample collection till availability of the result) using the standard method was 2 to 24-hours while the haemocue-B analyzer time was 30 to 45 seconds.

Table 1: The age group pattern of the women that is attending antenatal clinic at the University College Hospital Ibadan, Nigeria (n = 557)

Age Group (yrs)	Frequency	%
15 – 19	5	0.9
20 – 24	44	7.9
25 – 29	158	28.4
30 – 34	214	38.4
35 – 39	78	14.0
40 – 44	12	2.2
> 45	20	3.6
Not indicated	26	4.7
Total	557	100

Table 2: Pattern of mean haemoglobin estimation with age group


Devices	n	Mean Hb	SD	F value	P value
Haemoglobin Haemocue					
15-19yrs	5	10.50	1.85	2.78	0.012
20-24yrs	44	10.47	2.36		
25-29yrs	158	10.99	1.72		
30-34yrs	214	11.19	1.48		
35-39yrs	78	10.82	1.95		
40-44trs	12	9.89	1.50		
45yrs+	20	10.12	2.80		
Haemoglobin Standard					
15-19yrs	5	10.24	1.59	1.67	0.13
20-24yrs	44	10.35	2.31		
25-29yrs	158	10.81	1.66		
30-34yrs	214	10.96	1.66		
35-39yrs	78	10.65	1.92		
40-44trs	12	10.14	1.76		
45yrs+	20	10.08	2.67		

Table 3: Pearson's correlation coefficient analysis between the two methods

Haemoglobin Haemocue	Haemoglobin Laboratory
Correlation coeff	0.892
P-value	0.000

Figure 2: shows a scatter plot of the relationship between the two methods with a positive slope

scatter diagram of relationship between the 2 methods



Discussion

The objective assessment of haemoglobin is the key to the prevention and management of anaemia in any setting. Effort has been made to ensure that appropriate technique emerges over the years that will combine the quality of comparable accuracy to the standard laboratory methods and at the same time simple and fast to deliver result with minimal or no challenges^{20,21}. This is very important because

delay in characterizing the severity of anaemia may jeopardize the entire management process especially in a pregnant woman. In addition, morbidities associated with anaemia amongst pregnant women such as abortion, intrauterine growth restriction, preterm labour, blood transfusion risks and other complications of labour could be averted when an accurate quantitative assessment of haemoglobin level is made on time and at all times.

This study shows that the mean haemoglobin concentration using haemocue B analyzer was comparable with the haemoglobin cyanide (standard) method despite the seemingly increase in mean values with age. This finding is similar to studies by Kapoor et al and Bhaskaram et al^{22,23}. Although, Nguyen in 2002 examined the effects of environmental temperature changes and or humidity on the accuracy of the haemoglobin estimation among pediatric patient using haemocue B analyzer but, there has been no other reported incidence of such effect till date²⁴.

Our experience from this study revealed that haemocue B analyzer is about 1000 times faster than haemoglobin cyanide method. This observation is significant because prompt availability of investigation result will drastically reduce one of the third level delays in various health facilities especially in developing countries like Nigeria. Therefore, the use of this simple automated kit in the management of obstetric emergencies including haemorrhage will be beneficial.

Furthermore, we observed that there was no change in the haemoglobin value obtained from this kit irrespective of the source of power used (batteries or electric current). This further attests to the manufacturer's claim but, the use of battery that is weak in voltage output will need to be investigated because we had no such experience in our study. Likewise, the estimation of haemoglobin using the haemocue B analyzer needs no technical dexterity for the kit to be operated unlike the standard method.

Therefore, the use of this kit in rural areas where majority of pregnant women that are anaemic resides and which lack social amenities and manpower will be a ready

effective haemoglobin assessment tool in their management.

In conclusion, this study which to the best of our knowledge is the first in Nigeria shows that haemocue B Analyzer when properly used will provide comparable accuracy of haemoglobin estimation irrespective of source of power to it. Furthermore, it is fast and simple to use by any cadre of health care providers irrespective of location of their practice. We therefore recommend that haemocue B Analyzer kit should be adopted for haemoglobin estimation for antenatal women and labour.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported with grant from The Centre for Population and Reproductive Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan through funds from The Gates Institute, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

REFERENCES

1. Aimakhu CO, Afolabi-Brown O, Lamikanra O, Oporum A. Anaemia in pregnancy. *Dokita* Vol 28. No 1 October, 2001. Pg 56 – 62
2. Ogunbode O. Anaemia in pregnancy. *Trop. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 1995; 12(Suppl. 1): 19-25
3. Ogunbode O. Anaemia in pregnancy. In: *Contemporary Obstetrics and Gynaecology for developing countries*. Edited by Friday Okonofua and Kunle Odunsi. Women's Health and Action Research Centre (WHARC) 2003. Chp 28 Pg 514 -529.
4. World Health Organization. Report of the WHO Informal Consultation on Hookworm Infection and Anaemia in Girls and Women. (1996). Geneva: WHO. (WHO/CTD/SIP/96.1)

5. Ojengbode OA. Anaemia in Pregnancy In: Safe Motherhood at the local govt. level in Nigeria. The proceedings of the workshop on strategies for the reduction of high maternal mortality. (Edited by Akuse JT) SOGON 1999; 130-134.
6. Harrison KA. Anaemia in pregnancy In: Maternity Care in Developing Countries. Edited by Lawson JB, Harrison KA and Bergstrom S. RCOG Press. 2001. Chp 8; Pg 112 - 128.
7. The Demographic and Health Survey in Nigeria. Maternal and Health section. 2003. Chp 9.
8. Gies S, Brabin BJ, Yassin MA, Cuevas LE. Comparison of screening methods for anaemia in pregnant women in Awassa, Ethiopia. Trop Med Int Health. 2003 Apr;8(4):301-9
9. Tallqvist TW. [Practical method for the direct evaluation of the amount of haemoglobin in the blood.] Archives of General Medicine 1900; 3:421-5. In French.
10. Stott GJ, Lewis SM. A simple and reliable method for estimating haemoglobin. Bulletin of World Health Organization 1995; 73:369-73.
11. Van Lerberghe W, Keegel G, Cornelis G, Ancona C, Mangelschots E, Van Balen H. Haemoglobin measurement: the reliability of some simple techniques for use in a primary health care setting. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 1983; 61:957-65.
12. Stone JE, Simmons WK, Jutsum PJ, Gurney JM. An evaluation of methods of screening for anaemia. Bulletin of World Health Organization 1984; 62:115-20.
13. Paddle JJ. Evaluation of haemoglobin colour scale and comparison with hemocue haemoglobin assay. Bulletin of World Health Organization 2002;80(10):813-6.
14. Ickeringill M. The hemocue B haemoglobin analyzer. Update in Anaesthesia. Issue 13 (2001) Article 5:Pg1.
15. Bridges et al. Evaluation of a new system for hemoglobin measurement. American Clinical Products Review. April 1987.
16. Bhaskaram P, Balakrishna N, Radhakrishna KV, Krishnaswamy K. Validation of hemoglobin estimation using Hemocue. Indian J Pediatr. 2003 Jan; 70(1):25-8.
17. Prakash S, Kapil U, Singh G, Dwivedi SN, Tandon M. Utility of Hemocue in estimation of hemoglobin against standard blood cell counter method. J Assoc Physicians India. 1999 Oct;47(10):995-7
18. Van Assenelt OW, Lewis SM. Recommended method for determination of the haemoglobin concentration of blood. World Health Organization Document LAB/84.10, Rev 1.
19. Barbara J. Bain & Imelda Bates. Basic Haematological Techniques in Dacie & Lewis Practical Haematology. 9th Edition
20. Chen PP, Short TG, Leug DH et al. A clinical evaluation of the Hemocue haemoglobinometer using capillary, venous and arterial samples. Anaesth Intensive Care. 1992 Nov;20(4):497-500
21. Van den Broek NR, Ntonya C, Mbango E, White SA. Diagnosing anaemia in pregnancy in rural clinics: assessing the potential of the Haemoglobin Colour Scale. Bull World Health Organ. 1999;77(1):15-21.
22. Kapoor SK, Kapil U, Dwivedi SN, Anand K, Pathak P, Singh P. Comparison of HemoCue method with cyanmethemoglobin method for estimation of hemoglobin. Indian Pediatr 2002 Aug;39(8):743-6.
23. Bhaskaram P, Balakrishna N, Radhakrishna KV, Krishnaswamy K. Validation of hemoglobin estimation using Hemocue. Indian J Paediatr 2003 Jan;70(1):25-8.
24. Nguyen HT. High humidity affects HemoCue cuvette function and HemoCue haemoglobin estimation in tropical Australia. J Paed Child Health 2002 Aug;38(4):427-8.